

European Journal of Internal Medicine 16 (2005) 314 – 320



www.elsevier.com/locate/ejim

Review article

The metabolic syndrome: A vascular perspective

J.T. Tamsma*, I.M. Jazet, E.D. Beishuizen, A.J. Fogteloo, A.E. Meinders, M.V. Huisman

Vascular Medicine, Dept. of General Internal Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, C1-R45, P.O. Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, Netherlands

Received 20 July 2004; received in revised form 21 December 2004; accepted 20 January 2005

Abstract

The metabolic syndrome (MS) is a clustering of cardiovascular risk factors. Current definitions of MS use hypertension, waist circumference, fasting glucose, triglyceride and HDL-cholesterol levels as defining variables. The prevalence of MS is increasing in our society due to lifestyle changes that result in decreased physical activity and increased body weight. Patients with MS have a three times greater risk of coronary heart disease and stroke, and a two to four times greater risk of dying from atherosclerotic coronary heart disease than those without MS. Imaging studies have shown an increased burden and progression of atherosclerosis. Also, MS patients seem to be more vulnerable to events at comparable levels of atherosclerosis. First-line treatment for MS is therapeutic lifestyle intervention, including exercise and weight reduction. Medical intervention strategies using blood pressure-lowering medication, statins, fibrates and metformin seem the most appropriate to date. The effects of thiazolidinediones on cardiovascular endpoints have not been studied to a large extent in the setting of MS. Evidence regarding risk assessment and optimal medical strategies will be an important aspect of vascular research in the coming years.

© 2005 European Federation of Internal Medicine. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Metabolic syndrome; Atherosclerosis; Cardiovascular disease

Contents

1.	Introduction	315	
2. The metabolic syndrome: definition and criteria			
	2.1. Impaired fasting glucose (IFG)	315	
	2.2. Insulin resistance or hyperinsulinemia	315	
	2.3. Hypertriglyceridemia and HDL-cholesterol	316	
	2.4. Hypertension and microalbuminuria	316	
	2.5. Waist circumference	316	
	2.6. MS-associated factors	316	
3.	Vascular perspective—epidemiology	316	
4.	Vascular studies	316	
5.	Vascular perspective: risk assessment	317	
6.	Vascular perspective: treatment	317	
	6.1. Statins	317	
	6.2. Fibrates	317	

E-mail address: jttamsma@lumc.nl (J.T. Tamsma).

^{*} Corresponding author.

	6.3.	Metformin	317
	6.4.	Thiazolidinediones (TZD)	318
7.	Concl	usion	318
Refe	erences		318

1. Introduction

In addition to the classic cardiovascular risk factors, the metabolic syndrome (MS)—a clustering of cardiovascular risk factors [1,2]—is increasingly being recognized as an important factor in the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis and as a target of therapy [3]. MS is closely related to present-day changes in lifestyle and their ensuing consequences, such as a lack of physical activity and an increase in body weight. The prevalence of MS has exceeded 20% of the adult population in many countries and is still increasing [4].

Because MS is defined as a clustering of risk factors, some have argued that MS-associated cardiovascular risk is nothing more than the sum of the risks of its defining components. Intriguingly, combinations of risk factors seem to enhance each other. This has been shown in MS, as the syndrome more strongly predicted mortality than did its individual components [5]. Another example of risk enhancement was recently observed for nine environmental risk factors, several reminiscent of MS [6]. In this article, we will address MS from a vascular perspective.

2. The metabolic syndrome: definition and criteria

MS has been defined by the WHO, the American National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) and the European Group for the Study of Insulin Resistance (EGIR) [3,7,8]. The EGIR definition is a specification of the WHO classification system. MS is defined as a sum of the criteria

listed in Table 1. The "calculation rules" used in the different classifications are as follows:

- 1. WHO/EGIR: the presence of impaired fasting glucose or insulin resistance is a *prerequisite* for the definition. In addition, two or more criteria have to be present.
- 2. NCEP: three or more criteria are present.

A patient can thus be classified or diagnosed as having MS (ICD code: 277.7 [9]). The discussion and studies comparing the classification systems [10–15] in order to assess which one to follow in daily practice is beyond the scope of this review. Given the emerging central role for abdominal adiposity [16–19], this risk factor will be discussed in some detail. The other criteria will be mentioned only briefly.

2.1. Impaired fasting glucose (IFG)

Fasting glucose levels of 5.6 to 5.9 mmol/L have recently been proposed as the cut-off point for IFG as this predicts future diabetes most precisely [20]. The classification systems have not yet adopted this criterion [21] for MS, although the discussion is ongoing [22].

2.2. Insulin resistance or hyperinsulinemia

This aspect is regarded by some as a pathophysiologic key to the metabolic and vascular changes of MS. Insulin has vasoactive properties. Furthermore, hyperinsulinemia has

Table 1 Criteria and definition of the metabolic syndrome

Criteria of the metabolic	syndrome	NCEP/ATP III [3]	EGIR-modified WHO [7,8]	
Glucose	fasting	>6.1 mmol/L	>6.1 mmol/L or DM	
Insulin	fasting	_	Upper 25% normal	
	resistance		Lowest 25% "QUICKI"	
Visceral obesity		>102 cm (>94 cm)*	≥94 cm	
·	♂ WHR	_	>0.91	
	♀ waist girth	>88 cm	>80 cm	
	♀ WHR	_	>0.86	
Triglycerides		>1.7 mmol/L	>1.7 mmol/L	
HDL-cholesterol	3	<1.0 mmol/L	<0.9 mmol/L	
	₽	<1.3 mmol/L	<1.0 mmol/L	
Blood pressure		>130/>85 mm Hg	>140/>90 mm Hg (WHO >160/90)	
Microalbuminuria		No	No (WHO yes)	
Definition of the metabol	ic syndrome	The presence of 3 or	Hyperinsulinemia, IGT or diabetes and	
	-	more of the criteria listed above	2 or more of the criteria listed above	

Some male patients can develop multiple metabolic risk factors when the waist circumference is only marginally increased. Such patients may have a strong genetic contribution to insulin resistance [3].

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10045305

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10045305

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>