

Accepted Manuscript

Whatever happened to the 'social' science in *Social Science and Medicine*? On golden anniversaries and gold standards

Kirsten Bell

PII: S0277-9536(18)30168-0

DOI: [10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.04.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.04.009)

Reference: SSM 11686

To appear in: *Social Science & Medicine*

Received Date: 6 March 2018

Revised Date: 24 March 2018

Accepted Date: 9 April 2018

Please cite this article as: Bell, K., Whatever happened to the 'social' science in *Social Science and Medicine*? On golden anniversaries and gold standards, *Social Science & Medicine* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.04.009.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Whatever happened to the ‘social’ science in *Social Science and Medicine*? On golden anniversaries and gold standards

Keywords: scholarly publishing, knowledge production, interdisciplinarity, social sciences, standardization

Introduction

In 2017, *Social Science & Medicine* celebrated its golden anniversary, with the section editors looking back over its 50-year history and presenting their thoughts on the journal’s past and future from the vantage point of their own disciplines (Coast 2018; Elliott 2018; Kawachi & Subramanian 2018; Panter-Brick & Eggerman 2018; Johnson & Acabchuk 2018; Timmermans & Tietbohl 2018; Yip 2018). Bracketed by an overarching editorial reflecting on the journal’s aims and scope and how these have played out in its pages (Timmermans 2018), the pieces provide a fascinating lens into the life of a journal that has been extremely successful in bringing the social sciences and medicine into dialogue – something rightly celebrated in the editorials.

As someone invested in the journal’s intellectual project and who has engaged with its content over the years in various capacities (as a reader, author and reviewer), I nevertheless felt there were absences – some alluded to but brushed over; others elided entirely. In many respects, this is as it should be. Golden anniversaries are typically not the place where one airs one’s laundry. But an occasion of this magnitude provides an invaluable opportunity to reflect on the possibilities – and conceptual limits – of the journal’s unique interdisciplinary project.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10127633>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10127633>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)