Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tws



Full length article

A component-based model for innovative prefabricated beam-to-hybrid tubular column connections



THIN-WALLED STRUCTURES

Seyed Nima Sadeghi^a, Amin Heidarpour^{a,*}, Xiao-Ling Zhao^a, Riadh Al-Mahaidi^b

^a Department of Civil Engineering, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC 3800, Australia

^b Department of Civil and Construction Engineering, Swinburne University of Technology, VIC 3122, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Hybrid fabricated column Modular bolted connection Component-based model Ductility Ultra-high strength Moment-rotation curve Hybrid tubular column

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the results of a component-based model developed for an innovative type of connection, recently proposed by the authors, for beam-to-hybrid fabricated column (HFC) connections. The active constitutive components of the connection are identified and their relevant resistance and flexural stiffness are presented. The moment-rotation curve of the joint is reproduced using the assembly of these components. The failure point of the connection is also predicted using the failure modes observed in the experimental tests. The results obtained from the developed component-based model are then compared to those provided by a three-dimensional (3-D) finite element (FE) model and experimental tests. It is shown that the component-based model shows good accuracy in the prediction of the initial stiffness, rotation capacity and failure of the connection.

1. Introduction

Of the different types of closed section columns, hybrid fabricated columns (HFCs) are a reliable alternative to conventional tubular box columns. HFCs (part 7 in Fig. 1) are composed of structural mild steel plates, which may be flat or corrugated, welded to hollow thin-walled tubes at two opposite edges. The concept of HFC was first introduced by Aoki [1]; however, since then it has been studied by many researchers [2-13]. HFC columns exhibit higher load-bearing capacity, and better post-buckling strength and energy absorption compared to the equivalent tubular columns [2-4]. Javidan et al. [2] have demonstrated that the capacity of hybrid fabricated columns is significantly higher than the corresponding conventional welded box column. For instance, when ultra-high strength tubes with external diameter of 76.1 mm and wall thickness of 3.2 mm are added to the corners of a 2-meter $210 \text{ mm} \times 210 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm}$ welded box column, its axial capacity increases at least 10 times. The ductility of this HFC section is also 200% more than the welded box column. An HFC with high strength or ultrahigh strength corner tubes can carry two to three times more axial load compared to an HFC with mild steel tubes, respectively [7]. Thus, considering the high capacity to weight ratio and economic benefits [2], the application of these columns in high-rise buildings sounds reasonable and feasible. The main obstacle to the broad usage of these columns in construction has been the issue of a lack of a robust connection, for which the authors of the present paper have recently

proposed a solution (Fig. 1) that can be effectively used along with HFCs [14,15]. In spite of the complex look of the connection components, the manufacturing of the components is rather easy and quick. In the experimental tests conducted on the connection, the parts were machine cut from mild steel (Grade 250). However, the recommended manufacturing process for large-scale production is "casting". Having this perspective in mind, different components of the connection have been designed in a way that poses no difficulties in the casting process. Avoiding complex shapes or cavities, not having sharp edges or very thin features are among these measures. Therefore, achieving a consistent and production tolerance is possible. The design philosophy behind this connection is 'weak beam-strong column' which aims at shifting the failure from the column and connection towards the beam ends such that the connection is the latest component which may fail. In these research studies, the behaviour of an innovative modular connection under monotonic loading has been studied experimentally and numerically using finite element (FE) analysis. The advantage of using this connection over conventional connections has been numerically studied before by the authors in Ref. [15]. It was demonstrated that the conventional connections, namely flush and extended end-plate and reverse channel connections, cannot utilise the superior capacity of the corner tubes in HFCs and behave as a pinned or very close to a pinned connection according to Eurocode 3 classification. However, this innovative connection was able to take full advantage of the HFC capacity, and perform as a full-strength, semi-rigid or rigid connection

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: amin.heidarpour@monash.edu (A. Heidarpour).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2018.08.021

Received 23 January 2018; Received in revised form 15 July 2018; Accepted 18 August 2018 0263-8231/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



Nomenclature k _b		
		k_{bt}
A_s	Thread area of bolt	k_{ct}
A_{vc}	Shear area of the column	k_{ct}
b_1	Width of bottom corner part	k_{cv}
$b_{eff,ta}$	Effective width of angle in bending	k_{pl}
b_{wx}	Thickness of web extension	k_r^T
D	Flexural rigidity of tube	k_{ta}
D_{tb}	Tube external diameter	k_w
d_0	Diameter of bolt hole	k_w
d_{M16}	Diameter of M16 bolt	L
d_b	Bolt diameter	
E	Modulus of elasticity	L_{a}
e_b	Distance of bolt line to free edge in the direction of applied	L_h
	load	Lte
ep	Distance from bolt line to the free edge of T-stub	Let
e_1	Distance from bolt line to the free edge of angle	Lat
e_2	Distance between bolt holes in top/seat angle	
\tilde{F}_{Rd}^{i}	Component plastic resistance	 L.,
F_{Rd}^{Tr}	Plastic resistance at bolt row <i>r</i>	 L
F _{Total}	Total external force	$M_{\rm h}$
$F_{at,Rd}$	Resistance of angle leg in tension	M.
$F_{hs Rd}$	Resistance of bolt row in shear	M.
$F_{bt Rd}$	Resistance of a single bolt	M_t
$F_{ctc Rd}$	Resistance of corner tube in compression	M
F _{ctt} _{Pd}	Resistance of corner tube in tension	1v1 _l
Four Pd	Resistance of column web	m
F _{ph Pd}	Resistance of plate in bending	n n
Etab Rd	Resistance of angle in bending	п. n.
Ewat Pd	Resistance of web angle in tension	nb
Ewst Pd	Resistance of web extension in bending	n _c
f	Summation of thickness and fillet size of web angle	n _s
f,	Ultimate strength of bolt	n _t
fun	Ultimate strength of plate	n
fuw	Ultimate strength of weld	P_b
funna	Ultimate strength of web angle	Tw T
fuwr	Ultimate strength of web extension	11 T
f _{va}	Yield strength of angle	12 t
fue	Design shear stress of the bolt	ia t
f _{ym}	Yield strength of the column web	l _{ep}
futh	Yield strength of the tube material	lp
g	Gap between the beam end and face of column/connection	l _{pb}
g ₁	Distance from the bolt hole centre to the face of beam on	ι _{ta}
51	the outstanding leg of angle	
g,	Gauge length of the angle	$S_{j,i}$
H_1	Column height	V _{CN}
H_{en}	Height of bottom corner part	W1
h_r	Component lever arm	<i>w</i> ₂
h_{wr}	Height of web extension	w
h_t	Tension lever arm	w_p
I	Second moment of inertia of the angle leg	Δ_{sl}
Iwr	Second moment of inertia of the cross section of web ex-	α
	tension	γ_M
K1. K2 K37 Component reference number in the model (Fig. 5)		
K ^c	Overall equivalent stiffness of the compression zone	γ_M
K^t	Overall equivalent stiffness of the tension zone	γ_M
k^i, k^i_i	Component extensional deformability	ε
k _{at}	Stiffness of angle leg in tension	θ_{Ca}
	~ ~	

k_{bs}	Stiffness of bolt row in shear
k_{bt}	Stiffness of bolt in tension
k _{ctc}	Stiffness of corner tube in compression
k _{ett}	Stiffness of corner tube in tension
k _m	Stiffness of column web
k	Stiffness of plate in bending
к _{рb} Ir T	Total autonoional deformability of your number r
κ _r	Ctifference of early in heading
κ_{tab}	Stiffness of angle in bending
κ_{wat}	Stimess of web angle in tension
κ_{wxb}	Stiffness of web extension in bending
L	Effective length measured along the mid-line of angle leg
	along the leg
L_a	Full length of the outstanding leg of angle
L_b	Grip length of bolt
L_{ta}	Length of top/seat angle
$L_{eff.a}$	Effective length of angle leg
L _{eff n}	Effective length of plate
Low	Column faceplate width
Lwa	Length of the web angle along the length of column
	Length of the web extension
M ₁ _D	Flexural resistance of plate
М . – 1	Flexural resistance of the joint
M.	Plastic moment of the angle leg
M	Total external moment
IVI Total M	Ultimate moment of connection
1v1 _u	Distance from holt line to the corner of T stub
m _p	Characteristic length of angle
m _{ta}	Number of components in row r
11	Number of components in row r
n _b	Number of bolt rows in compression zone
n _c	Number of cheer planes passing through the helt
n _s	Number of belt rows in tension zone
n _t	Forme
1 n	Spacing between belts
P_b	Column foconlate wold radius
Tw T	Column plate thickness
1 ₁	Column plate unckness
12	Thiskness of angle log
l _a	Thickness of angle leg
lep	Dista this mass
l _p	Thiskness of the plates subjected to holt sheep former
l _{pb}	Analy this large
I _{ta}	Angle thickness
t _{wa}	Inickness of web angle
S _{j,ini}	Initial rotational stiffness of the joint
V _{cws}	Design shear resistance of the panel zone
W_1	Width of column measured between tube axes
W_2	Depth of column measured between tube axes
w	Distance between internal bolt lines of angle
w_p	Vertical distance between bolt lines
Δ_{sh}	Deformation capacity of angle
α	Angle of engagement of corner tube in compression
γ_{M0}	Partial safety factor for design shear resistance of panel
	zone
γ_{M5}	Partial safety factor for resistance of corner tube in tension
γ_{Mb}	Partial safety factor for resistance of bolt in tension
ε_u	Ultimate tensile strain of material
θ_{Cd}	Rotation capacity of connection

which makes them a suitable choice for moment resisting frames. Additionally, unlike the conventional connections, this connection was able to rotate more than 0.04 rad which is required for the connections used in seismic applications [16]. The FE model, which was verified against the data extracted from the experimental tests, can accurately predict the overall behaviour of the connection [14]. However, the FE model is a full three-dimensional (3-D) representation of the joint, which is computationally expensive.

The behaviour of a connection is generally reflected in its momentrotation (M- θ) curve (Fig. 2) by providing essential parameters such as initial stiffness ($S_{j,ini}$), moment resistance ($M_{j,Rd}$), and rotation capacity (θ_{cd}). Many researchers try to relate these parameters to the mechanical Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10132197

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10132197

Daneshyari.com