Accepted Manuscript

Title: Adhering to best practice guidelines in outcomes measurement

Authors: David Morley, Crispin Jenkinson

 PII:
 S0149-7634(17)30208-7

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.07.021

 Reference:
 NBR 3189

To appear in:

Received date: 8-3-2017



Please cite this article as: Morley D, Jenkinson C, Adhering to best practice guidelines in outcomes measurement, *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.07.021

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Adhering to best practice guidelines in outcomes measurement

*David Morley & Crispin Jenkinson

Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Old Road Campus, Oxford OX3 7LF, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author:

David Morley Health Services Research Unit Richard Doll Building Nuffield Department of Population Health University of Oxford Old Road Campus Oxford OX3 7LF United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0) 1865 289432

Email: david.morley@dph.ox.ac.uk

Keywords: FDA guidelines; outcomes measurement; patient reported outcome measure; PDQ-39; PROM

We read with great interest the recent review of Asakawa et al (2016). In their opening section the authors review what they term as 'subjective assessments for motor symptoms' in which they include a number of patient reported outcome measures (PROMs), one of which is the 39-item Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire (PDQ-39). As developers of the PDQ-39 (Peto et al, 1995; Jenkinson et al, 2012) and its e-based equivalent the ePDQ (Morley et al, 2014; Morley et al 2015), our attention was initially drawn to some rather striking errors in one of the tables in the article. Under Table 1, the PDQ-39 is listed as a 'scale for subjective evaluation of motor symptoms'. The PDQ-39 is, in fact, a measure of health related quality of life and has been used as such in over 500 trials and studies listed in PubMed. Additionally, the PDQ-39 is described as 'somewhat complicated'. There is no evidence for this as both the PDQ-39 and ePDQ have been shown to be highly acceptable to

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10134477

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10134477

Daneshyari.com