Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

ELSEVIER

Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jgar

Short Communication

Isolation and antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolated from farm chickens in Taif, Saudi Arabia



Aly E. Abo-Amer^{a,b,*}, Mohammed Y. Shobrak^c, Abdullah D. Altalhi^a

^a Division of Microbiology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Taif, P.O. Box 888, Taif, Saudi Arabia
^b Division of Microbiology, Department of Botany and Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Sohag 82524, Egypt
^c Division of Zoology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Taif, P.O. Box 888, Taif, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 September 2017 Received in revised form 17 May 2018 Accepted 18 May 2018 Available online 26 May 2018

Keywords: Antimicrobial resistance Escherichia coli Farm chickens Resistance genes

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Poultry is one of the main sources of food in the world. Antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli* can be transmitted to humans by contact with poultry waste or by contaminated poultry products, contributing to the increasing crisis of antimicrobial resistance. This study aimed to determine the incidence of antimicrobial resistance in *E. coli* isolated from chickens in Taif province, Saudi Arabia, and to identify the genes responsible for any resistance observed.

Methods: A total of 150 cloacal swabs were aseptically obtained from chickens from different farms, from which 180 colonies of *E. coli* were identified using standard microbiology procedures. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by the Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method. The genes *bla*_{SHV}, *aac*(3)-*IV*, *tet*(A), *tet*(B), *aadA1*, *catA1*, *cmlA*, *ere*(A) and *sul1* were detected by PCR.

Results: Most of the *E. coli* isolates showed resistance to oxacillin (99%), lincomycin (98%) and oxytetracycline (97%). The prevalence of resistance to chloramphenicol (73%), ciprofloxacin (59%) and ampicillin (51%) was lower. Genes conferring resistance to β -lactams (*bla*_{SHV}) and tetracyclines [*tet*(A) and *tet*(B)] were observed at prevalences of 96% and 95%, respectively, among the *E. coli* isolates. Chloramphenicol (*catA1* and *cmlA*) and erythromycin [*ere*(A)] resistance genes showed prevalences of 72% and 15%, respectively, whereas gentamicin [*aac*(3)-*IV*], streptomycin (*aadA1*) and sulfonamide (*sul1*) resistance genes were detected in 20%, 20% and 10% of the studied isolates, respectively.

Conclusion: A significant prevalence of antimicrobial resistance genes was observed among *E. coli* isolates from farm chickens, supporting strict regulatory procedures for the use of antimicrobial agents.

© 2018 International Society for Chemotherapy of Infection and Cancer. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Poultry is an increasing source of food in the world. However, it is also one of the most consumed foodstuffs commonly associated with outbreaks of foodborne disease. Pathogenic micro-organisms can be transferred to humans by contact with poultry waste or by contaminated poultry foodstuffs. The avian gut has been considered as a reservoir of *Escherichia coli* that could potentially be transmitted from birds to humans [1]. *Escherichia coli* is a Gram-negative bacterium that generally acts as a natural commensal in the digestive tracts of humans, animals and birds, but some strains are significant intestinal and extraintestinal pathogens [2].

Pathogenic E. coli from animals, birds and humans can cause a variety of diseases, ranging from self-limiting gastrointestinal infections to bacteraemia. Antimicrobial agents have been used for various veterinary and agricultural purposes, including animal husbandry and poultry production where poultry feed is supplemented with antibiotics [3]. Moreover, antibiotics are widely utilised to control infectious illnesses and as growth promoters in poultry production. Application of antimicrobials and their misuse is considered to be the most important selecting influence for the spread of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria both in human and veterinary medicine [4]. Indeed, antimicrobial resistance developed in pathogens colonising animals can cause the emergence and distribution of resistant E. coli that are subsequently transmitted to humans by contact with infected animals or derived products [5]. During carcass processing, resistant bacteria from the poultry gastrointestinal tract can contaminate the meat product. Even wild migrating and resident birds can act as carriers and transmitters of multidrug-resistant (MDR) E. coli and *Escherichia vulneris* [1].

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2018.05.020

2213-7165/© 2018 International Society for Chemotherapy of Infection and Cancer. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. Present address: Division of Microbiology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Taif University, Taif 888, Taif Province, Saudi Arabia. *E-mail address:* a.abo-amer@hotmail.com (A.E. Abo-Amer).

Recently, the levels of antimicrobial resistance reported in bacteria have increased due to the high use of antibiotics in veterinary medicine, partly mediated by the spread of resistanceconferring plasmids between and within bacterial species [6].

MDR but non-pathogenic E. coli in the gastrointestinal tract could be a significant reservoir of resistance genes [7]. Therefore, the aim of this work was to isolate *E. coli* strains from chickens in different farms of Taif (Makkah Province, Saudi Arabia) in order to evaluate their resistance patterns to selected antimicrobial agents and to identify the genes conferring any resistance detected.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection

Sterile swab sticks moistened with sterile normal saline were inserted into the cloacae of 150 chickens from different farms in Taif and were placed in sterile vials. Following sample collection, the samples were transported immediately to the laboratory in an insulating foam box with ice and were stored at 4°C until use.

2.2. Isolation and identification of Escherichia coli

Cloacal swabs were inoculated on MacConkey agar plates (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) and were incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 h. Then, 300 bacterial colonies (2 colonies per chicken) were picked from the MacConkey agar as smooth pink colonies. Only 180 colonies were analysed further. Suspected colonies of E. coli were grown on nutrient agar plates (Oxoid Ltd.) after a series of subculturing on MacConkey agar. The isolates were characterised by Gram staining, triple sugar iron agar and lysine iron agar, and for oxidative/fermentative degradation of glucose, citrate utilisation, urease production, indole test, tryptophan degradation, glucose degradation (methyl red test) and motility. The E. coli isolates were stored in tryptic soy broth (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) with 15% glycerol at $-20 \,^\circ\text{C}$.

2.3. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility was determined by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method as described by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [8] on Mueller–Hinton agar plates using single antimicrobial disks (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hemel Hempstead, UK). The following antimicrobials were used: cefaclor; oxacillin; ampicillin; chloramphenicol; cefalexin; neomycin; colistin;

Table 1

ciprofloxacin; oxytetracycline; norfloxacin; lincomycin; gentamicin; amoxicillin; enrofloxacin; piperacillin; amikacin; cefalotin; cefuroxime; cefoxitin; ceftazidime; ceftriaxone; cefepime; aztreonam; amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (AMC); piperacillin/tazobactam (TZP); trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (SXT); and levofloxacin. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and the inhibition zone diameter was measured with a meter rule and was recorded.

2.4. DNA extraction of Escherichia coli isolates

Escherichia coli isolates were subcultured overnight in Luria-Bertani broth and genomic DNA was extracted using a Wizard[®] Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Southampton, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.5. Primers and PCR assay for specific genes

The incidence of genes related to resistance to β -lactams (*bla*_{SHV}), gentamicin [*aac*(3)-*IV*], streptomycin (*aadA1*), tetracyclines [*tet*(A) and *tet*(B)], chloramphenicol (*catA1* and *cmlA*), erythromycin [*ere* (A)] and sulfonamides (sul1) was determined by basic PCR. The set of primers used for each gene is shown in Table 1.

The primers were designed using the Primer-BLAST website according to Ye et al. [9].

PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 25 µL using GoTaq[®] Green Master Mix (Promega), including 12.5 µL of GoTaq[®] Green Master Mix ($2\times$), 2.5 µL of upstream primers (10 µM), $2.5 \,\mu\text{L}$ of downstream primers (10 μ M), $2.5 \,\mu\text{L}$ of nuclease-free water and $5 \,\mu$ L (40–260 ng/ μ L) of DNA. Amplification reactions were carried out using a DNA thermocycler (Fisher Scientific UK. Loughborough, UK) as follows: 3 min at 95 °C; 35 cycles each consisting of 1 min at 94°C, 90 s at the annealing temperature (Table 1) and 1 min at 72 °C; followed by a final extension step of 10 min at 72 °C. PCR amplification was performed in duplicate. Amplified samples were analysed by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gel and were stained with ethidium bromide. A molecular weight marker with 100-bp increments (100-bp DNA ladder) was used as a size standard.

3. Results

3.1. Isolation and identification of Escherichia coli

A total of 300 bacterial isolates (2 colonies per chicken) were selected from MacConkey agar as smooth pink colonies. According

Antimicrobial class/agent	Resistance gene	Primer sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	PCR product size (bp)	Melting temperature (°C)	Annealing temperature (°C)
β-Lactams	bla _{SHV-199}	F-CTATCGCCAGCAGGATCTGG	543	60.04	55
		R-ATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCGGC		59.90	
Gentamicin	aac(3)-IVa	F-ATGTCATCAGCGGTGGAGTG	454	60.11	55
		R-GGAGAAGTACCTGCCCATCG		59.89	
Streptomycin	aadA1	F-TCGCCTTTCACGTAGTGGAC	816	60.04	55
		R-CAACGATGTTACGCAGCAGG		59.90	
Tetracyclines	tet(A)	F-CCTCAATTTCCTGACGGGCT	712	60.04	55
		R-GGCAGAGCAGGGAAAGGAAT		60.03	
	tet(B)	F-ACCACCTCAGCTTCTCAACG	586	59.97	55
		R-GTAAAGCGATCCCACCACCA		60.04	
Chloramphenicol	catA1	F-GAAAGACGGTGAGCTGGTGA	473	59.97	55
		R-TAGCACCAGGCGTTTAAGGG		60.04	
	cmlA5	F-GTGACATTTACGCAGGTCGC	532	59.91	55
		R-TGCGAAGCCCATATTTCGGT		60.11	
Erythromycin	ere(A)	F-CGATTCAGGCATCCCGGTTA	897	59.89	55
		R-CCATGGGGGGCATCTGTCAAT		60.11	
Sulfonamides	sul1	F-ACTGCAGGCTGGTGGTTATG	271	60.32	55
		R-ACCGAGACCAATAGCGGAAG		59.54	

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10143957

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10143957

Daneshyari.com