

Technical note

Bond behaviour of steel plate reinforced concrete beams

Mazin M. Sarhan, Muhammad N.S. Hadi^{*}, Lip H. Teh

School of Civil, Mining and Environmental Engineering, University of Wollongong, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 June 2018

Received in revised form 4 September 2018

Accepted 7 September 2018

Keywords:

Beam-end test

Concrete bond

Chequer steel plate

Reinforced concrete

Reinforcement slippage

Reinforcement toughness

Steel plate reinforcement

ABSTRACT

This technical note presents an experimental study on the bond behaviour of chequer steel plate reinforcements in concrete members based on the beam-end method. The effects of lozenges of the chequer steel plate, the use of steel bolts, and the thickness of the concrete cover on the bond behaviour are investigated. The experimental program includes five specimens designed as beam-end pullout members. Each specimen is 225 mm wide, 300 mm high and 600 mm long. Stirrups with 80 mm centre-to-centre spacing are used as confinement for all specimens. The first specimen is reinforced with a deformed steel bar whereas the remaining specimens are reinforced with steel plates. All specimens except for the one reinforced with a smooth steel plate failed by pullout accompanied by splitting crack. The lozenges of chequer steel plate increased the ultimate pullout failure load by 80% compared to that of the specimen reinforced with a smooth steel plate. It has also been found that the pullout failure load of a steel plate reinforced concrete member can be significantly affected by the thickness of the concrete cover. Two other significant findings are that the pre-ultimate slippage of a steel plate reinforced concrete member is much less than that of a deformed steel bar reinforced one, and that the post-ultimate behaviour of the former is much more ductile than the latter. Comparisons between the present test results and the earlier test results involving reinforced concrete beams subjected to four-point bending tests suggest that the beam-end method may not be an appropriate method for comparing the bond strength of a chequer steel plate against that of a reinforcing bar.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The bond strength between concrete and its steel reinforcement is a key factor for the ultimate load-carrying capacity of a reinforced concrete member. It also influences some serviceability design issues such as crack width, crack spacing and deflection of the member [1–3]. According to ACI-408R-03 [4], the transfer of forces from a deformed reinforcement bar to the surrounding concrete takes place by (a) chemical adhesion between the bar and the concrete, which is controlled by the surface condition of the bar and the concrete type; (b) frictional forces between the bar and the concrete, which depends on the interface's roughness, normal forces on the surface of the bar, and relative slippage between the bar and the concrete; and (c) mechanical anchorage or bearing of the ribs against the concrete.

There are five well-known methods to investigate the bond between concrete and steel reinforcement bars. The first method

is the direct pullout test recommended by RILEM-7-II-128 [5] and employed by Alavi-Fard and Marzouk [6], Chan et al. [7], Campione et al. [8], Fang [9], Fang et al. [10], Bamonte and Gambarova [11], Cattaneo and Rosati [12], Tastani and Pantazopoulou [13], Belarbi et al. [14], and Desnerck et al. [15]. The direct pullout method uses a concrete cylinder with a known bonded length of the bar, and can be carried out with either the concentric or eccentric position of the bar. There are several reasons for selecting this method, including the ease of fabrication, the simplicity of the test, and the ability to isolate the different parameters that have effects on the overall bond behaviour.

The second and third methods are the anchorage beam and the splice beam tests recommended by ACI-408R-03 [4], depicted in Fig. 1(a) and (b), respectively. The anchorage beam method uses a concrete beam with a specified bonded length of the bar and two flexural splits, tested under four-point bending [16]. The splice beam method uses a concrete beam with a known bonded length of the bar and a known splice length of the bars (the splice length exists in the constant moment zone). The splice beam specimen is relatively easy to fabricate, and provides a similar bond strength to that obtained using the beam anchorage method. The splice beam

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mms912@uowmail.edu.au (M.M. Sarhan), mhadi@uow.edu.au (M.N.S. Hadi), lteh@uow.edu.au (L.H. Teh).




Fig. 1. Bond test methods: (a) Anchorage beam [4]; (b) Splice beam [4]; and (c) Beam-bending [22].

method has been used by several researchers, for example Zuo and Darwin [17], Ichinose et al. [18], Mazaheripour et al. [19], Bandelt and Billington [20], and Prince et al. [21].

The fourth method is the beam-bending test introduced by RILEM-7-II-28D [22], depicted in Fig. 1(c). The specimen consists of two symmetrical blocks connected to each other by a steel hinge at the top and by the reinforcement bar near the bottom. It is subjected to four-point bending during the test. It has been employed by Belarbi et al. [14], Desnerck et al. [23], Kotynia [24], Almeida Filho et al. [25], Chikh et al. [26], Mazaheripour et al. [19], and Tuti-kian et al. [27].

The fifth method is the beam-end test recommended by ASTM-A944-10 [28], which uses a concrete beam with a known bonded length of the bar, as depicted in Fig. 2. In order to avoid conical surface failure of the specimen, a certain length of the bar close to the beam end is unbonded by using plastic sleeves, as shown in Fig. 2. The beam-end method has been used by El-Hacha et al. [29], Sofi et al. [30], Sarker [31], Sarker [32], Hongwei and Yuxi [33] and Moen and Sharp [34].

The present study investigates the bond behaviour of beams reinforced with chequer steel plates using the beam-end method recommended by ASTM-A944-10 [28]. It also provides comparisons between the present test results and those obtained by the authors for plate and bar reinforced concrete beam specimens subjected to four-point bending tests [35].

2. Experimental program

2.1. Specimen configurations and preparation

A total of five chequer-plate reinforced concrete specimens, confined with stirrups of 10-mm plain steel bars spaced at 80 mm from each other, were tested. Each concrete specimen was 225 mm wide, 300 mm high, and 600 mm long, embedding a 100 mm by 10 mm steel chequer plate over 225 mm in the manner shown in Fig. 2. The specimen designations are shown in Table 1.

The first specimen (BE-N20) had a N20 steel bar (20-mm-diameter deformed steel bar of 500 MPa nominal yield stress), as shown in Fig. 2(a). Each of the remaining four specimens (BE-HP, BE-HSP, BE-HBP, and BE-VP) had a chequer steel plate of a yield stress between 330 and 390 MPa. The steel plate was installed horizontally in Specimen BE-HP, as shown in Fig. 2(b). In Specimen BE-HSP, the steel plate had two smooth faces as the lozenges were removed, as indicated in Fig. 2(c). Specimen BE-HBP had a steel bolt of 20 mm diameter and 100 mm length welded to the steel plate (on the smooth face) at the mid-distance of the embedded length, as shown in Fig. 2(d). The nominal yield stress of the steel bolt was 460 MPa. Specimen BE-VP had the same details as Specimen BE-HP except that the steel plate was embedded vertically, as shown in Fig. 2(e).

Fig. 3 shows the geometry of the lozenges in the chequer steel plates used in the present study. The plate had a regular pattern of raised lozenges on one of the two faces, the reverse face was smooth (featureless face). Each lozenge was 5.5 mm wide, 26 mm long, and 1.5 mm high. The perpendicular distance between any two parallel neighbouring lozenges was 22.5 mm, and the lozenges came in two right angle directions.

The lozenges of the chequer steel plate for Specimen BE-HSP were removed using a grinder, resulting in a featureless surface as shown in Fig. 4(a). The steel bolt in Specimen BE-HBP was completely welded around its circumference to the smooth surface of the chequer steel plate, as shown in Fig. 4(b).

The steel bar and chequer steel plates were unbonded by using PVC pipes and PVC tapes, respectively. Silicone glue was used at the ends (circumferences) of the unbonded areas to prevent the encroachment of concrete. Steel wires were used to fasten the stirrups to the longitudinal steel bars. Steel chairs having a height of 20 mm were placed under the stirrups to provide the bottom cover for each specimen. Steel screws were placed on the bottom of the formwork to prevent horizontal movement of the chequer steel plate during concrete casting. The interior surfaces of the formwork and the reinforcements were cleaned from dust using compressed air prior to casting the concrete. A ready-mix concrete with a maximum aggregate size of 10 mm was used. To remove air bubbles from the concrete, an electrical vibrator was used for each specimen. The specimens were cured by keeping them wet using Hessian rugs and plastic sheets for 28 days.

2.2. Material properties

For the purpose of determining the concrete compressive strength, concrete cylinders were cast based on Australian Standards 1012.9–1999 [36], 100 mm in diameter and 200 mm in height. The concrete cylinders were cured in a water tank until the respective days of the tests. The compressive strengths, each as the average of three samples, were 32.6, 42.3, and 49.2 MPa at 7, 28, and 56 days, respectively.

In order to obtain the indirect tensile strength of concrete, concrete cylinders were cast according to Australian Standards 1012.10–2000 [37], 150 mm in diameter and 300 mm in height. The indirect tensile strength of concrete was found to be 3.5 MPa.

Three 500-mm long samples of both the plain (R10) and the deformed (N20) steel bars were tested in tension according to Australian Standards 1391–2007 [38] using a 500-kN Instron universal testing machine. The average yield stress of the plain bar was found to be 365 MPa, and that of the deformed bar was 540 MPa. The corresponding tensile strengths were 490 MPa and 625 MPa, respectively.

Five tension coupons of the chequer steel plates, each being 80 mm wide and 500 mm long, were also tested according to Australian Standards 1391–2007 [38]. The average yield stress was found to be 370 MPa and the tensile strength was 484 MPa.

2.3. Test procedure

The beam-end specimens were tested in the manner depicted in Fig. 5. The tests were carried out by using the 600 kN actuator. Each beam-end specimen was placed on a steel beam and partially capped at the top with a 25-mm thick steel plate. The concrete beam-end specimen was thus anchored to the steel beam by running two 28-mm steel threaded rods through itself between the steel beam flange and the cap steel plate, secured with nuts. Two supports were used to restrain the specimens in the horizontal direction, as indicated in Fig. 5.

All the tests were carried out under a displacement controlled loading regime at the stroke rate of 1 mm/min. The applied axial tension load and the displacement were recorded through an internal load cell. Each beam-end pullout specimen

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10145817>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10145817>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)