



Thermodynamic analysis of integrated LNG regasification process configurations



Mehdi Mehrpooya^{a*}, Mohammad Mehdi Moftakhari Sharifzadeh^b, Mohammad H. Katooli^a

^a Renewable Energies and Environment Department, Faculty of New Sciences and Technologies, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

^b Department of Chemical Engineering, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 27 October 2017

Accepted 17 June 2018

Available online 9 September 2018

Keywords:

Renewable energy

Cold recovery

Liquefied natural gas

Thermodynamic cycle

Exergy analysis

Energy analysis

ABSTRACT

Effective utilization of liquefied natural gas (LNG) cold energy during its regasification in both renewable and nonrenewable processes is discussed and analyzed. Conventional and non-conventional thermodynamic cycles, are described and categorized. Expressions for exergy and energy efficiencies are developed to facilitate evaluation of the processes. Finally suggestions for improving the efficiency of such systems are developed and the technical advantages and challenges are pointed out. The obtained results indicate that, among the considered cycles, the highest energy and exergy efficiencies are about 86.3% and 80.0% respectively; which is related to the combined cycles. Conversely the lowest energy and exergy efficiencies occur in other application of LNG cold energy cycles (i.e., production of hydrogen by a solar aid liquefied natural gas hybrid CO₂ cycle) and Rankine cycle (i.e., CO₂ transcritical geothermal power generation cycle) with the values of 7.39% and 7.95%; respectively.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 2. Electrical power generation cycles by using cold energy of LNG | 3 |
| 2.1. Organic Rankine cycles based designs | 4 |
| 2.2. Brayton cycle based designs | 6 |
| 2.3. Stirling cycle based designs | 6 |
| 2.4. Combined cycle based designs | 7 |
| 2.5. The other applications of LNG regasification | 9 |
| 3. Effect of LNG thermodynamic parameters on the cycle performance | 15 |
| 4. Conceptual design | 17 |
| 5. Working fluid | 17 |
| 5.1. Categories | 17 |
| 5.2. Thermodynamic and physical properties | 17 |
| 5.3. Selection | 17 |
| 5.4. Limitations | 17 |
| 5.5. Diagrams | 19 |
| 5.6. Proposed working fluids for cycles | 19 |
| 6. Exergy and energy efficiency relations | 19 |
| 7. Results and discussion | 22 |
| 8. Conclusions | 23 |

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mehrpooya@ut.ac.ir (M. Mehrpooya).

Nomenclature

| | |
|-------------|--|
| e | Specific flow exergy (kJ/kg) |
| \dot{E}_x | Exergy rate (kW) |
| \dot{W} | Work transfer rate (kW) |
| \dot{m} | Mass flow rate (kg/s) |
| h | Specific enthalpy (kJ/kg) |
| T | Temperature (°C) |
| p | Pressure (bar) |
| s | Specific entropy (kJ/kg K) |
| g | Gravitational constant (m/s ²) |
| v | Speed (m/s) |
| x | Mole fraction (-) |
| R | Gas constant (J/ K mol) |

Greek letters

| | |
|--------|------------|
| η | Efficiency |
|--------|------------|

Subscripts

| | |
|-----|------------|
| i | Inlet |
| i | Component |
| sh | Shaft |
| F | Fuel |
| th | Thermal |
| ex | Exergy |
| CMB | Combustion |
| mix | Mixture |

Reference state

| | |
|-----|------------|
| p | Potential |
| L | Lost |
| tot | Total |
| mec | Mechanical |

Subscripts

| | |
|------|----------------------|
| alt | Alternator |
| RG | Regasification plant |
| ov | Overall |
| chem | Chemical |
| ph | Physical |
| el | Electrical |
| k | Kinetic |

Abbreviations

| | |
|------|-------------------------------|
| LNG | Liquefied Natural Gas |
| CAES | Compressed air energy storage |
| CC | Combined cycle |
| CD | Condenser |
| C-i | Compressor |
| Exp | Expander |
| E-i | Exchanger |
| GT-i | Gas turbine |
| G-i | Generator |
| RO | Reverse Osmosis |
| LCC | Life cycle cost |
| ORV | Open rack vaporizer |
| WF | Working Fluid |
| CCPP | Combined cycle power plant |
| LHV | Lower Heating Value |
| NG | Natural Gas |
| PEM | Proton exchange membrane |
| IEA | International Energy Agency |
| ORC | Organic Rankine cycle |
| BOG | Boil Off Gas |
| ANG | Adsorbed Natural Gas |

| | |
|-----------|--|
| CAS | Cryogenic air separation |
| ASU | Air separation unit |
| HRSG HX-i | Heat Recovery Steam Generator Heat exchanger |
| CHP | Combined heat and power |
| CES | Cryogenic energy storage |
| FSRU | Floating storage & regasification unit |
| ODP | Ozone depletion potential |
| GWP | Global warming potential |
| RC TK | Rankine cycle Tank |
| BC | Brayton cycle |
| SOFC | Solid oxide fuel cell |
| GT P-i | Gas turbine Pump |
| V-i | Valve |

1. Introduction

With the current growing rate of energy consumption and environmental issues, it is necessary to find energy resources that can be utilized with higher efficiency. Renewable energies are good resources to reduce the greenhouse gas effects [1]. To overcome this issue and reducing emission of greenhouse gases many attempts have been done to utilize the exhaust waste heat from the flue gases [2]. Cold energy recovery of liquefied natural gas (LNG) decreases the required refrigeration and causes energy saving in the process [3]. LNG is transported by ship to the receiving terminal at atmospheric pressure and temperature of about 110 K [4]. Natural gas liquefaction process consume a considerable amount of energy, approximately 500 kW/h electric energy per ton of LNG, at about -161°C , which contains a significant amount of the cold energy [5]. The required power for natural gas liquefaction process changes between 0.45 and 0.55 kWh/kg [6]. The proximity of these values shows that improving the liquefaction process performance is almost difficult and limited. In the conventional supply chain of natural gas, LNG after production is loaded into the especial insulated tanks and after arriving to destination, it is pressurized to suitable pressure for transportation via pipeline and then is vaporized to reach the ambient temperature.

During the last two decades, regasification systems have not been improved considerably, and 1.5% of LNG energy is consumed through the vaporization [7]. In order to increasing LNG cold energy recovery, various types of regasification processes have been investigated [8–10]. Vaporization systems usually use air or sea water as the heat sources and therefore cold energy of LNG is wasted [11,12]. In order to overcome this issue, LNG regasification can be integrated with other types of processes [13]. For instance, electrical power cycle and other applications [14,15]. In power generation cycles, the obtained cold energy through the regasification can be utilized as a heat sink [5,16]. Also, for other applications the LNG cold energy has been utilized to provide the required cold energy, like cryogenic air separation unit [17,18] and seawater desalination [19]. For generation of 240 kWh of electrical power near one ton of LNG is consumed [20]. Before the gasification, it is better to increase pressure of the LNG to the required pressure for transportation or various usages of the produced natural gas. Because if regasification is carried out at low pressure, the produced natural gas pressure must be increased by a compressor which its required power is much more than the pump [21]. Outlet natural gas pressure in LNG vaporization terminals depends on the downstream process (Table 1) [22].

Temperature difference between the LNG and ambient air (or sea water) is about 182 K. So there is considerable cold energy source which can be recovered by two basic methods: using the cold energy directly and electrical power generation. Direct cold energy

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10150666>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10150666>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)