1

Δ

9

NEUROSCIENCE



B. Ishak et al. / Neuroscience xxx (2018) xxx-xxx



23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

Feasibility, Safety, and Efficacy of Subcutaneous Peripheral Nerve 2 Field Stimulation for the Treatment of Refractory Low Back Pain: 3 A Two-year Single-center Study

Basem Ishak, Benito Campos, Heike Brunn, Andreas W. Unterberg and Rezvan Ahmadi* 5

Department of Neurosurgery, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany 6

Abstract-Chronic low back pain (CLBP) is challenging to treat. Minimal invasive neurostimulation therapies, 8 such as subcutaneous peripheral nerve field stimulation (SPNS), improve pain relief and quality of life. The goal of the present study was to assess the usefulness, safety, and efficacy of SPNS in patients with CLBP. Twenty-six consecutive patients with CLBP were prospectively included in the study. For trial neurostimulation, two electrodes were implanted vertically at a depth of 1 cm into the subcutaneous tissue. <10 cm from the region of maximum pain. Trial neurostimulation was performed in all patients for 14 days. A successful outcome was defined as at least 50% pain relief. To monitor the effects of permanent neurostimulation, the Visual Analog Scale (VAS), the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI), and quality of life (EQ-5D-3L) were scored preoperatively and at 6-month and 24-month follow-ups. Thirteen patients responded to trial stimulation and had a permanent neurostimulator implanted. The use of pain medication, including opioid analgesics, was reduced in 92% of patients after 24 months. VAS, ODI, and EQ-5D-3L scores were significantly improved in these patients at the 24-month follow-up. The complication rate was 23% (3/13 patients). In non-responders, VAS and ODI at 24 months dropped significantly as well but the decrease was less pronounced compared to responders and had not led to a decrease in pain medication. SPNS is a novel, safe, and effective treatment for CLBP and may have advantages over interventional treatments including intrathecal therapy and spinal cord stimulation.

This article is part of a Special Issue entitled: Pain Circuits. © 2017 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Key words: subcutaneous peripheral nerve field stimulation, SPNS, neurostimulation, chronic low back pain, quality of life.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic low back pain (CLBP) is one of the most common 10 chronic pain disorders in the western industrialized world 11 and represents a high socioeconomic burden (Hoy et al., 12 2014). It is a major cause of disability in both elderly and 13 young patients, affecting work performance, causing dis-14 abling pain, and significantly decreasing quality of life 15 (Deyo and Weinstein, 2001). 16

The diagnosis and treatment of CLBP are complicated 17 because the pain is complex and often resistant to 18 19 conventional medical therapies and management 20 strategies (Rainov et al., 2007). In the majority of CLBP 21 cases, the etiology is unknown and psychosomatic components play an important role (Ghaffari et al., 2008). 22

Failed back surgery syndrome (FBSS) refers to continued pain after surgery and occurs after 5-74.6% of spinal surgeries. However, FBSS only plays a minor role in CLBP (Hussain and Erdek, 2014; Shapiro, 2014). The pain-generating mechanism in CLBP is poorly understood. Nociceptive and neuropathic pain components have been distinguished in 20-35% of patients in large epidemiological studies (Freynhagen et al., 2006a,b, Torrance et al., 2006).

Neuromodulation represents a major advance in the management of CLBP and was first introduced in 1967 as spinal cord stimulation (SCS). Electrodes were placed in the epidural space to stimulate the dorsal column of the spinal cord (Barolat et al., 2001, Alo and Holsheimer, 2002, Cameron, 2004). SCS has successfully relieved pain in the lower extremities and buttocks, but is not recommended for treatment of CLBP in the current national guidelines (http://www.awmf.org/uploads/tx szleitlinien/nvl-007I_S3_Kreuzschmerz_2017-03.pdf). However, maintaining long-term pain relief in patients with CLBP has been difficult, despite recent advances in SCS technology, such as programmable multicontact electrodes and the self-adjustment of the stimulation intensity

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.12.011

0306-4522/© 2017 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1

^{*}Corresponding author. Address: Heidelberg University Hospital, Department of Neurosurgery, Im Neuenheimer Feld 400, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany. Fax: +49-6221-5633861.

E-mail address: rezvan.ahmadi@med.uni-heidelberg.de (R. Ahmadi). Abbreviations: AACCI, age-adjusted Charlson's Comorbidity Index; BMI, body mass index; FBSS, failed back surgery syndrome; ODI, Oswestry's Disability Index; SCS, spinal cord stimulation; SPNS, subcutaneous peripheral nerve field stimulation; CLBP, chronic low back pain; VAS, Visual Analog Scale.

2

B. Ishak et al. / Neuroscience xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

(Barolat et al., 2001; North et al., 2006; Winkelmueller 46 et al., 2016). Pain-paresthesia overlap is necessary for 47 effective pain relief using SCS (Burton, 1977; North 48 et al., 2006). Paresthesia refers to an uncomfortable sen-49 sation in the legs, flanks, or abdomen as the stimulation 50 intensity increases (Barolat et al., 1993). Patients with 51 CLBP often require frequent pain medication, including 52 53 opioids. These are administered orally or by local injection and cannot be discontinued. Therefore, intrathecal pumps 54 need to be implanted. The constant administration of mor-55 phine has significant adverse effects (Yakovlev et al., 56 2011). Invasive therapy in general including intrathecal 57 morphine is not recommended in the current guidelines 58 59 for CLBP (http://www.awmf.org/uploads/tx szleitlinien/ nvl-007I S3 Kreuzschmerz 2017-03.pdf). 60

Subcutaneous peripheral nerve field stimulation 61 (SPNS) is a novel approach to treating well-localized 62 chronic pain syndromes and was first used to treat 63 intractable occipital neuralgia (Weiner and Reed, 1999). 64 SPNS has successfully treated a variety of neuropathies, 65 including trigeminal, facial, intercostal, pelvic, and inguinal 66 pain syndromes (Johnson and Burchiel, 2004, Tamimi 67 68 et al., 2009; Yakovlev and Resch, 2010; Yakovlev et al., 69 2010). However, the efficacy of SPNS in patients with 70 CLBP has not been well investigated (Paicius et al., 2007; Krutsch et al., 2008, McRoberts et al., 2013, 71 72 Kloimstein et al., 2014).

The neurophysiological mechanism of SPNS is not 73 completely understood. According to the 'gate-control-the 74 ory', subcutaneous stimulation of myelinated Aß afferent 75 nerve fibers inhibits myelinated A δ and unmyelinated C 76 fibers at the level of the spinal cord (Wall and Sweet, 77 1967). Local anti-inflammatory and membrane-78 depolarizing effects on subcutaneous fiber endings, or 79 central activation of A β nerve afferents may also play a 80 role (Reverberi et al., 2009). 81

Because treatment of CLBP with SPNS has not been well investigated, treatment guidelines are lacking. To the best of our knowledge, only a few studies have evaluated SPNS as therapy for CLBP. When investigating treatment of CLBP with SPNS, it is important to define patient selection, duration of trial stimulation, electrode selection and position, and follow-up care.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the feasibility, safety, and efficacy of SPNS for isolated CLBP after conservative and/or surgical treatment had failed.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

93 Patient demographics and preoperative diagnosis

92

Approval for this study was obtained from the local ethics 94 committee (no. S-198). Twenty-six patients suffering from 95 intractable CLBP for a minimum of 6 months without 96 radiating leg pain were prospectively included. Detailed 97 information on all patients including age, sex, duration of 98 pain, other pain locations, psychiatric diseases, blood 99 values (CRP, leukocytes and hemoglobin), previous and 100 current surgical treatment as well as concomitant 101 102 disease is contained in Table 1. Chronic symptoms 103 were defined as persistent daily symptoms. Additional inclusion criteria included failed guideline-based conser-104 vative and medical treatment (http://www.awmf.org/ 105 uploads/tx_szleitlinien/nvI-007I_S3_Kreuzschmerz_2017-106 03.pdf, 2017), including opioids, that was supervised by 107 the center of pain medicine for 6 months. All included 108 patients had a recent CT and MRI scan that indicated 109 no further spinal surgical intervention would be neces-110 sary. In addition, prior to treatment, all patients were 111 referred to a psychiatrist to identify potential psychiatric-112 psychosomatic diseases that might interfere with treat-113 ment. One patient in the responder group and one patient 114 in the non-responder group suffered from endogenous 115 depression. In addition, one patient in the responder 116 group suffered from bipolar disease. However, all three 117 patients were stable, i.e. asymptomatic under psychiatric 118 medication during the time course of this study. The inclu-119 sion and exclusion criteria are listed in Table 2. Patients 120 were enrolled between December 2013 and December 121 2014. Informed consent was obtained from all patients. 122 Surgeries were performed by the same surgeon (R.A.) 123 at the same institution. The average patient age at sur-124 gery was 55.8 years (range 36-75 years). 125

Study documentation and clinical parameters

In responders, we measured low back pain with the Visual 127 Analog Scale (VAS) preoperatively, 6 and 24 months after 128 implantation of a permanent stimulator. Oswestry's 129 Disability Index (ODI) and quality of life (EQ-5D-3L 130 questionnaire) completed were by patients 131 preoperatively, and 6 and 24 months postoperatively. 132 The EQ-5D-3L questionnaire is a widely used instrument 133 to measure health-related quality of life involves five 134 questions. The score ranges from -0.11 to 1, with a 135 higher score indicating better quality of life (Shaw et al., 136 2005; Devlin and Brooks, 2017). For non-responders, pre-137 operative and follow-up scores at 24 months were avail-138 able (VAS, EQ-5D-3L and ODI) for 11/13 patients. Two 139 patients were lost to follow-up. Co-morbidities were 140 assessed preoperatively using the age-adjusted Charlson 141 Comorbidity Index (AACCI) (Deyo et al., 1992; de Groot 142 et al., 2003). A routine clinical and neurological follow-up 143 was performed before each patient was discharged from 144 hospital. Further follow-ups were performed 14 days, 145 6 months, and 24 months after surgery. Variables such 146 as current medical treatment, previous lumbar surgery, 147 and body mass index (BMI) were recorded. Perioperative 148 and postoperative complications were registered. 149

In responders, pain medication was reduced under supervision and was monitored at 3, 6, and 24 months after surgery. For non-responders, pain medication was assessed prior to test stimulation and at 24 months postoperatively. Operation success was determined by a subjective satisfaction rate based on a three-scale grading system: 'highly satisfied', 'satisfied', and 'not satisfied'.

Surgical technique

157

126

Trial stimulation. All included patients received 158 temporary percutaneous stimulation for 14 days with 159 Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10223148

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10223148

Daneshyari.com