ARTICLE IN PRESS

Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy 2018;xxx(xx):xxx-xxx







https://www.journals.elsevier.com/brazilian-journal-of-physical-therapy

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Effect of flexibility deficit on scapular asymmetry in individuals with and without shoulder pain

6 Q1 Elif Turgut^{a,*}, Gul Baltaci^b

⁷ ^a Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

- ⁸ ^b Private Guven Hospital, Ankara, Turkey
- 9 Received 15 May 2017; received in revised form 13 March 2018; accepted 22 March 2018

KEYWORDS Abstract 10 Background: Many studies have investigated the relationship between soft tissue tightness Pectoralis minor: 11 Posterior capsule; and shoulder kinematics. However, there is a lack of information on the dynamic properties 12 Kinematics; responsible for side-to-side differences such as scapular asymmetry. 13 Tightness; Objective: To determine the relationship between a deficit in soft tissue flexibility and scapular 14 Physical therapy asymmetry. 15 Methods: A total of 58 individuals (29 patients with shoulder pain and 29 asymptomatic partici-16 pants) were enrolled. Bilateral shortening of the pectoralis minor muscle and posterior shoulder 17 tightness were assessed. Additionally, side-to-side flexibility deficit was calculated. Scapular 18 kinematics were measured with an electromagnetic tracking device while individuals were 19 standing in a resting position and during arm elevation. The symmetry angle was calculated 20 to quantify scapular asymmetry. 21 Results: The pectoralis minor and the posterior capsule flexibility deficit showed a signifi-22 cant positive relationship with the symmetry angle in the resting position separately for both 23 asymptomatic (r = 0.47, r = 0.37 relatively) and symptomatic groups (r = 0.58, r = 0.38 relatively), 24 indicating that the increased deficit in the pectoralis minor and posterior capsule flexibility were 25 associated with increased scapular asymmetry. However, no significant relationship was found 26 between flexibility deficit and scapular asymmetry during arm elevation and lowering for both 27 asymptomatic and symptomatic groups. 28 Conclusion: The findings of the study provided information on the relationship of a flexibility 29 deficit on the scapular position and orientation in asymptomatic and symptomatic populations. 30 © 2018 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier 31 Editora Ltda. All rights reserved. 32

33

Q2 * Corresponding author at: Hacettepe University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Samanpazari, 06100 Ankara, Turkey.

E-mail: elifcamci@hacettepe.edu.tr (E. Turgut).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.03.007

1413-3555/© 2018 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Turgut E, Baltaci G. Effect of flexibility deficit on scapular asymmetry in individuals with and without shoulder pain. *Braz J Phys Ther.* 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.03.007

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

2

³⁴ Introduction

It is widely accepted that the scapula plays an important 35 role in providing mobility and stability for the shoulder joint 36 complex.^{1,2} During shoulder elevation, the scapula moves 37 through upward rotation, posterior tilt, and internal or 38 external rotation.³ Abnormal movement alterations in these 39 scapular movements are known as scapular dyskinesis.⁴ 40 Kinematic alterations and side-to-side kinematic asymmetry 41 in the shoulder complex across symptomatic and asymp-42 tomatic populations have been previously reported.5-8 43 Although there are several factors that might affect scapu-44 lar kinematics, research till date has shown that pectoralis 45 minor and posterior capsule tightness may be potential 46 mechanisms for the development of kinematic alterations 47 in the shoulder complex with movement dysfunctions, such 48 as impingement symptoms.9 49

Borstad and Ludewig¹⁰ categorized healthy shoulders 50 as having a short or long pectoralis minor resting length. 51 They reported that tightness in the pectoralis minor mus-52 cle resulted in significantly less scapular posterior tilt and 53 greater scapular internal rotation during arm elevation. Sim-54 ilarly, forward shoulder posture with the protraction of the 55 scapula was also related to soft tissue tightness of the pec-56 toralis minor muscle,¹¹ which was regarded as a contributing 57 factor to shoulder impingement.¹² 58

The posterior capsule of the glenohumeral joint has a 59 complex structure,¹³ and its tightness has been associated 60 with altered scapular kinematics.¹⁴ Borich et al.¹⁴ conducted 61 a study on asymptomatic shoulders with posterior capsular 62 tightness and reported that the posterior capsule tight-63 ness resulted in increased scapular anterior tilt when the 64 humerus moved toward internal rotation. In a cadaveric 65 study, Harryman et al.¹⁵ showed that the tightening of the 66 posterior capsule also resulted in significant superior trans-67 lation of the humerus on the glenoid. 68

A recent study has shown that both involved and non-69 involved shoulders have alterations in scapular kinematics in 70 individuals with shoulder impingement.¹⁶ Additionally, these 71 symptomatic individuals had increased scapular asymmetry 72 when compared to asymptomatic individuals.^{16,17} Although 73 there are many investigations conducted on the relationship 74 between soft tissue tightness and shoulder kinematics, there 75 is a lack of information concerning the dynamic proper-76 ties responsible for side-to-side differences such as scapular 77 asymmetry.^{10,14,15} It is not yet clear whether side-to-side 78 pectoralis minor and posterior capsule flexibility deficits 79 have an effect on the observed scapular asymmetry in 80 asymptomatic and symptomatic individuals. 81

This study investigated the relationship between pectoralis minor and posterior capsule flexibility deficits and scapular asymmetry in the resting position and during arm elevation in asymptomatic and symptomatic individuals. We hypothesized that there would be a positive relationship between soft tissue flexibility deficits and scapular asymmetry.

89 Methods

A total of 58 individuals participated in the study, including
both symptomatic individuals with unilateral shoulder pain

in the dominant arm lasting more than six weeks (n = 29) and asymptomatic individuals (n = 29). Symptomatic individuals with unilateral shoulder pain were selected from a group of patients who were diagnosed with unilateral rotator cuff tendinopathy. The symptomatic individuals recruited for the current study met at least two of the following criteria: (1) painful arc during flexion or abduction, (2) a positive Neer¹⁸ or Hawkins-Kennedy test,¹⁹ and (3) painful resisted external rotation or painful Jobe's test.²⁰ Asymptomatic individuals were selected among age-matched controls who had no history of shoulder pain or injury related to the upper extremities. They were included in the present study if they had 180° of shoulder flexion and abduction, 90° external rotation, and 70° internal rotation measured with a universal goniometer.²¹ We excluded participants if they regularly participate in any overhead sports and patients with a rotator cuff tear >5 cm. a tendon tear in the long head of biceps, or degenerative joint disease based on magnetic resonance imaging or ultrasound findings. The Institutional Review Board (186-35, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey) approved the protocol for this study, and all volunteers were informed about the nature of the study and signed a written consent form.

Bilateral indirect measurement of shortening of the pectoralis minor muscle was assessed using the pectoralis minor length test described by Lewis and Valentine²² [intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) ranging from 0.90 to 0.97]. Posterior shoulder tightness was assessed using measurements suggested by Tyler et al.²³ (ICC values ranging from 0.92 to 0.95). For the pectoralis minor length test (Fig. 1), the linear distance from the treatment table to the posterior aspect of the acromion was measured for each individual in a supine position.²² The posterior shoulder tightness measurement was made with each individual in a side-lying position (Fig. 2).^{23,24} During this measurement, the assessor first stabilized the scapula, and then the humerus was slowly lowered to a horizontally adducted position. The limit of posterior shoulder flexibility was considered as the onset



Figure 1 The pectoralis minor tightness assessment in asymptomatic and symptomatic shoulder groups.

Please cite this article in press as: Turgut E, Baltaci G. Effect of flexibility deficit on scapular asymmetry in individuals with and without shoulder pain. *Braz J Phys Ther.* 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.03.007

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10224630

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10224630

Daneshyari.com