[Theoretical Computer Science](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2018.06.005) ••• (••••) •••-•••

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

## Theoretical Computer Science



**TCS:11628** 

[www.elsevier.com/locate/tcs](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/tcs)

# Generalized homogeneous polynomials for efficient template-based nonlinear invariant synthesis

### Kensuke Kojima <sup>∗</sup>, Minoru Kinoshita, Kohei Suenaga

Department of Communications and Computer Engineering, Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Honmachi, Sakyo-ku, *Kyoto 606-8501, Japan*

### A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

*Article history:* Received 19 May 2017 Received in revised form 5 June 2018 Accepted 5 June 2018 Available online xxxx Communicated by D. Sannella

*Keywords:* Static analysis Polynomial invariants Homogeneous polynomial

The *template-based* method is one of the most successful approaches to algebraic invariant synthesis. In this method, an algorithm designates a *template polynomial p* over program variables, generates constraints for  $p = 0$  to be an invariant, and solves the generated constraints. However, this approach often suffers from an increasing template size if the degree of a template polynomial is too high.

We propose a technique to make template-based methods more efficient. Our technique is based on the following finding: If  $p = 0$  is an algebraic invariant, then  $p$  can be decomposed into the sum of specific polynomials that we call *generalized homogeneous* polynomials, that are often smaller. This finding justifies using only a smaller template that corresponds to generalized homogeneous polynomials.

Concretely, we state and prove our finding above formally. Then, we modify the templatebased algorithm proposed by Cachera et al. so that it generates only generalized homogeneous polynomials. This modification is proved to be sound. Furthermore, we also empirically demonstrate the merit of the restriction to generalized homogeneous polynomials. Our implementation outperforms that of Cachera et al. for programs that require a higher-degree template.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### **1. Introduction**

We consider the following *postcondition problem*: Given a program *c*, discover a fact that holds at the end of *c* regardless of the initial state. This article focuses on a postcondition written as an *algebraic condition*  $p_1 = 0 \land \cdots \land p_n = 0$ , where  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  are polynomials over program variables; this problem is a basis for static verification of functional correctness.

One approach to this problem is *invariant synthesis*, in which we are to compute a family of predicates  $P_l$  indexed by program locations *l* such that *Pl* holds whenever the execution of *c* reaches *l*. The invariant associated with the end of *c* is a solution to the postcondition problem.

Because of its importance in static program verification, algebraic invariant synthesis has been intensively studied [\[1–4\]](#page--1-0). Among these proposed techniques, one successful approach is the constraint-based method in which invariant synthesis is reduced to a constraint-solving problem. During constraint generation, this method designates *templates*, which are poly-

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* [kozima@fos.kuis.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:kozima@fos.kuis.kyoto-u.ac.jp) (K. Kojima).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2018.06.005> 0304-3975/© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: K. Kojima et al., Generalized homogeneous polynomials for efficient template-based nonlinear invariant synthesis, Theoret. Comput. Sci. (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2018.06.005

### Doctopic: Logic, semantics and theory of programming

2 *K. Kojima et al. / Theoretical Computer Science* ••• *(*••••*)* •••*–*•••

1:  $x := x_0; v := v_0; t := t_0;$ 2: while  $t - t_1 \neq 0$  do  $(x, v, t) := (x + v dt, v - g dt, t + dt);$  $3:$ 4: end while  $5:$ 

**Fig. 1.** Program *cfall*, which models a falling mass point. The symbols in the program represent the following quantities: *x* is the position of the point, *v* is its speed, *t* is time,  $x_0$  is the initial position,  $v_0$  is the initial speed,  $t_0$  is the initial value of the clock  $t$ ,  $g$  is the acceleration rate,  $t_1$  is the time limit, and *dt* is the discretization interval. The simultaneous substitution in the loop body numerically updates the values of *x*, *v*, and *t*. The values of *x*, *v*, and *t* are numerical solutions of the differential equations  $\frac{dx}{dt} = v$  and  $\frac{dv}{dt} = -g$ .

nomials over the program variables with unknown parameters at the coefficient positions [\[1\]](#page--1-0). The algorithm generates constraints that ensure that the templates are invariants, and obtains the invariants by solving the constraints.<sup>1</sup>

**Example 1.** The program *cfall* in Fig. 1 models the behavior of a mass point with weight 1 and with a constant acceleration rate.<sup>2</sup> For this program, the postcondition  $-gt + gt_0 - v + v_0 = 0$  holds regardless of the initial state.

We describe how a template-based method computes the postcondition in Example 1. The method described here differs from the one we explore in this article; this explanation is intended to suggest the flavor of a template method.

A template-based method generates a *template polynomial* over the program variables that represent a postcondition. For example, the template polynomial of degree 2 is

$$
p(x_0, v_0, t_0, x, v, t, t_1, dt, g) := a_1 + a_{x_0}x_0 + a_{v_0}v_0 + \cdots + a_{dtg}dtg,
$$

where  $a_1, a_{x_0}, a_{y_0}, \ldots, a_{dx}$  are unknown parameters representing coefficients of 1,  $x_0, v_0, \ldots, dx$ , respectively. The procedure then generates constraints under which  $p(x_0, v_0, \ldots, g) = 0$  is indeed a postcondition of  $c_{fall}$ . The method proposed by Sankaranarayanan et al. [\[1\]](#page--1-0) that uses Gröbner bases [\[5\]](#page--1-0) in invariant synthesis generates the constraints as equations over the parameters; in this case, a solution to the constraints gives  $-gt + gt_0 - v + v_0 = 0$ , which indeed holds at the end of *cfall*.

One of the drawbacks of the template-based method is excessive growth of the size of a template. Blindly generating a template of degree *d* for a degree parameter *d* makes the algorithm less scalable for higher-degree postconditions. For example, the program in Example 1 has a postcondition  $-gt^2 + gt_0^2 - 2tv + 2t_0v_0 + 2x - 2x_0 - tgdt + t_0gdt = 0$  at Line 4. Template-based invariant synthesis procedures proposed so far, which typically increase the degree *d* from smaller ones iteratively, eventually discover this invariant when they try  $d=3$ . However, a degree-3 template contains as many as  $\binom{9+3}{3}$ 220 monomials. Computation with such large templates is often prohibitively expensive.

We propose a hack to alleviate this drawback in the template-based methods. Our method is inspired by a rule of thumb in physics called the *principle of quantity dimension*: A physical law should not add two quantities with different *quantity dimensions* [\[6\]](#page--1-0). If we accept this principle, then, at least for a physically meaningful program such as *cfall*, relevant relations among variables that hold at the end of the program (and therefore a template) should consist of monomials with the same quantity dimensions.

Indeed, the polynomial  $-gt + gt_0 - v + v_0$  calculated in Example 1 consists only of quantities that represent velocities. The polynomial  $-gt^2 + gt_0^2 - 2tv + 2t_0v_0 + 2x - 2x_0 - tgdt + t_0gdt$  above consists only of quantities corresponding to the length. If we use *L* and *T* to represent quantity dimensions for lengths and times (the notation in physics), the first and second polynomials consist only of monomials with the quantity dimension  $LT^{-1}$  and *L*, respectively.

By leveraging the quantity dimension principle in the template synthesis phase, we can reduce the size of a template. For example, we could use a template that consists only of monomials for, say, velocity quantities

$$
a_{v_0}v_0 + a_vv + a_{tg}tg + a_{dtg}dtg + a_{t_1g}t_1g
$$

instead of the general degree-2 polynomial, which consists of 55 monomials.

The idea of the quantity dimension principle can be nicely captured by generalizing the notion of *homogeneous polynomials*. A polynomial is said to be *homogeneous* if it consists of monomials of the same degree; for example, the polynomial  $x^3 + x^2y + xy^2 + y^3$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3. We generalize this notion of homogeneity so that a *degree* is an expression corresponding to a quantity dimension (e.g.,  $LT^{-1}$ ).

Let us describe our idea using an example, deferring formal definitions. Suppose we have the following *degree assignment* for each program variable:

<sup>1</sup> The constraint-based method by Cachera et al. [\[4\]](#page--1-0), which is the basis of the current article, uses a template also for other purposes. See Section [5](#page--1-0) for details.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Although the guard condition  $t - t_1 \neq 0$  should be  $t - t_1 < 0$  in a real-world numerical program, we use the current example for presentation purposes.

Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10225748>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10225748>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)