



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Biotechnology Advances

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biotechadv

Research review paper

Heterologous protein expression in *Hypocrea jecorina*: A historical perspective and new developments

Arjun Singh^a, Larry E. Taylor II^b, Todd A. Vander Wall^b, Jeffrey Linger^a, Michael E. Himmel^b, Kara Podkaminer^b, William S. Adney^b, Stephen R. Decker^{b,*}

^a National Bioenergy Center, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, United States

^b Biosciences Center, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 August 2014

Received in revised form 18 November 2014

Accepted 23 November 2014

Available online xxx

Keywords:

*Trichoderma reesei**Hypocrea jecorina*

QM6a

Rut-C30

cbh1

Cel7A

Cellobiohydrolase

cbh1 deletion

Fungal transformation

Cellulase expression systems

ABSTRACT

Hypocrea jecorina, the sexual teleomorph of *Trichoderma reesei*, has long been favored as an industrial cellulase producer, first utilizing its native cellulase system and later augmented by the introduction of heterologous enzymatic activities or improved variants of native enzymes. Expression of heterologous proteins in *H. jecorina* was once considered difficult when the target was an improved variant of a native cellulase. Developments over the past nearly 30 years have produced strains, vectors, and selection mechanisms that have continued to simplify and streamline heterologous protein expression in this fungus. More recent developments in fungal molecular biology have pointed the way toward a fundamental transformation in the ease and efficiency of heterologous protein expression in this important industrial host. Here, 1) we provide a historical perspective on advances in *H. jecorina* molecular biology, 2) outline host strain engineering, transformation, selection, and expression strategies, 3) detail potential pitfalls when working with this organism, and 4) provide consolidated examples of successful cellulase expression outcomes from our laboratory.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Contents

Introduction	0
<i>Hypocrea jecorina</i> as an expression host	0
Host strains for heterologous protein expression	0
Deletion of <i>cbh1</i> from the genome of <i>T. reesei</i> Rut-C30	0
Deletion of <i>cbh1</i> from the genome of <i>T. reesei</i> QM6a	0
Transformation and selection methods	0
PEG-mediated DNA uptake	0
Agrobacterium-mediated transformation	0
Biolistic transformation	0
Spore electroporation	0
Selection mechanisms	0
Nitrogen utilization	0
Auxotrophic mutant complementation	0
Antibiotic resistance	0
Control of heterologous expression and production levels	0
Promoter selection	0
Site of integration	0
Effect of signal sequence	0
Expression of recombinant Cel7A in the <i>cbh1</i> Δ strains	0

* Corresponding author at: NREL, 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, Colorado 80401, United States. Tel.: +1 303 384 7759.
E-mail address: Steve.decker@nrel.gov (S.R. Decker).

62	Conclusions	0
63	Acknowledgments	0
64	References	0

65

66 Introduction

67 Most cellulolytic enzymes used today in the biomass to biofuels or
 68 bioproducts industry are produced in the filamentous fungus, *Hypocrea*
 69 *jecorina* (Merino and Cherry, 2007). It is almost certain that for this in-
 70 dustry, this organism will be the source for such hydrolytic enzymes
 71 in the foreseeable future. Originally isolated by Mary Mandels and
 72 Elwyn Reese from rotting cotton goods brought to the U.S. Army Quarter
 73 Master Research and Development Center at Natick, Massachusetts
 Q18 from the Solomon Islands during World War II, *Trichoderma viride*
 75 QM6a (as it was originally called, the “QM” designation is derived
 76 from Quarter Master) was soon demonstrated to be a prolific cellulase
 77 producer. Later, the parent *T. viride* species was shown to be distinctly
 Q19 different from *T. viride* and so it was renamed *Trichoderma reesei* in
 79 honor of its discoverer. Much later, it was determined to be a sexual
 80 anamorph of a well-characterized fungus, *Hypocrea jecorina*, though
 81 much of the current literature continues the use of *T. reesei* (Kuhls
 82 et al., 1996).

83 Beginning in the 1970s, several groups randomly mutagenized the
 84 parent QM6a strain, resulting in several hyper-producing strains includ-
 85 ing QM9414 (catabolite repressed, hyper-producer strain from Natick
 Q20 Labs) and RUT-C30 (catabolite de-repressed, hyper-producer strain
 87 from Rutgers University) (Peterson and Nevalainen, 2012). The
 88 RUT-C30 strain formed the parent for all or nearly all commercial cellu-
 89 lase production strains of *T. reesei* (Seiboth et al., 2011). A more detailed
 90 lineage of strains developed for increased productivity has already been
 91 published and so this topic will not be expounded upon here (Seiboth
 92 et al., 2011). Although several genes encoding the hydrolytic enzymes
 93 from *H. jecorina* have been expressed in other organisms; for example,
 94 in yeasts (Boer et al., 2000; Boonvitthya et al., 2013; Den Haan et al.,
 95 2007; Godbole et al., 1999; Hong et al., 2007; Mitsuiishi et al., 1990;
 96 Reinikainen et al., 1992; Takada et al., 1998), bacteria (Abdeljabbar
 97 et al., 2012; Laymon et al., 1996), and plants (Dai et al., 1999; Liu et al.,
 98 2004), the critical volumetric productivity levels required for cost effective
 99 cellulase deployment has been demonstrated only in fungi, with
 100 *H. jecorina* setting the bar at over 100 g/L for certain protein expression
 101 scenarios (Cherry and Fidantsef, 2003). Furthermore, consistent
 102 attainment of native-like specific activity (performance) characteristics
 103 for *H. jecorina* enzymes expressed in non-*H. jecorina* hosts has not been
 104 demonstrated.

105 There are several likely reasons for poor expression and/or activity
 106 levels observed for the heterologously expressed *H. jecorina* enzymes.
 107 One factor resides in differential protein glycosylation (Nevalainen
 108 and Peterson, 2014). It is known that protein glycosylation, both quan-
 109 titative amounts and patterning, differs in yeast compared to filamen-
 110 tuous fungi. Other critical post-translational modifications that differ
 111 are protease activity and N-terminal processing of proteins. In addition,
 112 the Cel7A (cellobiohydrolase I from *H. jecorina*) fold is highly dependent
 113 upon di-sulfide bonds (specifically 10) for stability and many heterolo-
 114 gous expression systems do not seem to be able to make the correct
 115 connections in this regard (Xu et al., 2014). While functional expression
 116 of Cel7A has been demonstrated in non-native fungal host strains,
 117 results have been mixed regarding activity and stability. Regardless, it
 118 is apparent that to ensure that the functionality of heterologous or
 119 genetically improved hydrolytic enzymes is accurately evaluated for
 120 properties in an appropriate production strain for the biomass conver-
 121 sion industry, engineered genes should ultimately be expressed in that
 122 strain, which is very likely to be *H. jecorina*.

123 Expression of heterologous proteins in *H. jecorina* has been carried
 124 out for several decades, beginning in 1987 when Penttillä et al. reported

a basic transformation protocol for this fungus (Penttilä et al., 1987). In
 this review, we will cover three main areas of *Hypocrea* molecular biol-
 ogy; 1) expression strains, 2) vector construction, and 3) selection pro-
 tocols. Optimization of expression and biochemical characterization of
 the proteins will be left to other reviews, of which there are many.
 Recent reviews by Nevalainen et al. (Nevalainen et al., 2005), and
 Kruszewska (Kruszewska, 1999) cover much of the general protein
 expression knowledge base in filamentous fungi today. We will focus
 on heterologous cellulases, particularly Cel7A and engineered variants. 133

Hypocrea jecorina as an expression host 134

Aside from proprietary industrial strains used to produce enzymes at
 very high titers, multiple research laboratories have transformed
T. reesei to express a variety of proteins, including both native and
 heterologous cellulases. When evaluating a system of heterologous ex-
 pression, several criteria must be considered: the host strain, the trans-
 formation mechanism, the selective pressure, and control of expression. 140

Host strains for heterologous protein expression 141

For general heterologous protein expression, simple random inte-
 gration into any strain with a given selection is the general approach
 and has been carried out for decades. Several strains have been devel-
 oped with specific traits useful for protein expression, such as increased
 protein production and secretion, decreased protease activity, or specifi-
 c gene knockouts (Table 1). Utilization of some of these strains was lim-
 ited, as they were proprietary to industry or developed in-house by
 various academic labs. Many are no longer readily available; however,
 the properties developed (hyper-production, gene knock-out, auxotro-
 phic selection, low protease) are found in some more modern strains
 and the published methodology makes re-creation of these strains
 fairly straightforward for labs with reasonable understanding of the
 technology. 154

In the 1970s, the Natick lab began mutational studies on QM6a, as
 did Eveleigh and Montencourt at Rutgers. Both groups developed a
 series of mutated strains, eventually leading to QM9414 and RUT-C30, re-
 spectively (Montencourt and Eveleigh, 1979; Peterson and Nevalainen,
 2012). The RUT-C30 strain secretes large amounts of cellulases and syn-
 thesis of these enzymes is not repressed by glucose (Montencourt and
 Eveleigh, 1979; Tangnu et al., 1981). It has been heavily engineered,
 resulting in large deletions of its genome, which is known to affect protein
 secretion and cellulase repression (Montencourt and Eveleigh, 1979;
 Seidl et al., 2008; Tangnu et al., 1981). The QM6a strain produces a com-
 plete cellulase system when induced by cellulose, cellulose hydrolysis
 products such as cellobiose or cello-oligomers, or specific disaccharides,
 such as sophorose or lactose (Mandels et al., 1962; Sternberg and
 Mandels, 1979); however, cellulase production is severely inhibited by
 growth on glucose (Mandels and Reese, 1957; Nisizawa et al., 1972).
 While cellulase production can be repressed by growth on glucose (catab-
 olic repression) in the parental QM6a strain and the hyper-producing
 QM9414 mutant strain of *H. jecorina*, the hyper-producing RUT-C30 strain
 is de-repressed and the native cellulase expression system is always “on,”
 making separation and subsequent characterization of the heterologous
 protein from the native proteins extremely difficult, though productivity
 in RUT-C30 is generally higher than most other strains. 176

In the 1980s and 1990s, several groups worked on developing
H. jecorina strains for transformation, with VTT from Finland being the
 most prolific. Dozens of VTT-D-XXXXXXX strains were developed, 177
 with the VTT-D-79125 being the most commonly used starting point 180

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10231487>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10231487>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)