Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

ELSEVIER



Transportation Research Part E

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tre

Enhancing metro network resilience via localized integration with bus services



Jian Gang Jin^{a,*}, Loon Ching Tang^b, Lijun Sun^{c,d}, Der-Horng Lee^c

^a School of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Civil Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

^b Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore

^c Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore

^d Future Cities Laboratory, Singapore-ETH Centre, Singapore

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 July 2013 Received in revised form 5 January 2014 Accepted 7 January 2014

Keywords: Metro system Resilience Network disruption Bus service Public transport

ABSTRACT

This paper advances the field of network disruption analysis by introducing an application to a multi-modal transport network, capitalizing on the redundancies and improved connectivity of an integrated metro-bus network. Metro network resilience to disruptions can be enhanced by leveraging on public bus services. To ensure better acceptance among operators and commuters, we focus on introducing localized integration with bus services instead of designing an entirely new bus network to achieve the desired resilience to potential disruptions. This is accomplished by increasing the capacity of bus services that run in parallel with affected metro lines as well as those connecting to different metro lines. Our analysis starts with a network representation to model the integrated metro and bus system. A two-stage stochastic programming model is further developed to assess the intrinsic metro network resilience as well as to optimize the localized integration with bus services. The approach is applied to a case study based on the Singapore public transit system and actual travel demand data. The results show that the metro network resilience to disruptions can be enhanced significantly from localized integration with public bus services.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Metro systems have been acting as a key solution for supporting mobility needs in high-density urban areas. Being a mode of shared transportation service, metro systems carry large quantity of commuters in a more environmentally friendly manner than private transport. The dependence on metro systems keeps growing in many cities over the world. Take Singapore as an example, a city with a population of 5 million generated around 1 million metro trips per day in 2011. Such a heavy dependence imposes enormous strains on metro systems and makes service disruptions hardly affordable. Even limited service disruptions in metro systems could result in significant productivity loss and widespread confusion. Take the 16th December 2011 disruption in Singapore's metro network for example: train services at 11 stations were disrupted for 5 h and more than 100,000 commuters were affected. Thus, the reliability of metro network and its resilience to potential disruptions should be well ensured.

Resilience of a system refers to the ability to withstand disruptions within acceptable reduction in service performance. In the context of metro systems, the resilience could be measured by the loss of capacity and the service level recovery efforts for disruption responses. Instead of relying on post-disruption recovery operations (e.g., running bus bridging services), a more effective way is to improve the intrinsic resilience so that possible disruptions (within a certain disruption scale) incur

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 15821519920. E-mail addresses: jinjg05@gmail.com (J.G. Jin), isetlc@nus.edu.sg (L.C. Tang), sunlijun@nus.edu.sg (L. Sun), ceeleedh@nus.edu.sg (D.-H. Lee).

^{1366-5545/\$ -} see front matter @ 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2014.01.002

| Nomenclature | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Sets: | |
| W | set of origin–destination (OD) pairs |
| Ω | set of disruption scenarios |
| \mathcal{N}_{M} | set of metro arcs |
| \mathcal{N}_{B} | set of hierorales |
| $\mathcal{A}_B^{\mathcal{I}}$ | set of bus arcs, union of parallel bus arc set \mathcal{A}^1_B and inter-line bus arc set \mathcal{A}^2_B |
| \mathcal{A}_T | set of transfer arcs |
| | $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_M \cup \mathcal{N}_B$ $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_M \cup \mathcal{A}_B \cup \mathcal{A}_B$ |
| \mathcal{K}_{w} | set of feasible paths in the metro-bus network for OD pair w |
| В | set of bus lines |
| P_b | set of localized integration plans for a certain bus line <i>b</i> |
| Ψ_b | $\Psi_b := P_b \times P_b$, set of integration plans that cannot be introduced simultaneously for bus line b |
| Paramet | ers: |
| ς d | amount of travel demand that is satisfied under disruption condition between OD pair w |
| a_w | amount of travel demand that is satisfied under disruption condition between OD pair w |
| D_W | total travel demand for OD pair w under normal condition |
| (ρ_i^1, ρ_i^2) | representation of a node with ρ_i^2 and ρ_i^2 indicating the metro station and travel mode, respectively |
| C _{ij} | service capacity (maximum number of commuters per hour) of arc $(i,j) \in A$ |
| t _{ij} | travel/transfer time of arc $(i,j) \in A$ |
| (u_w, v_w) | origin and destination nodes of OD pair w |
| β_w | maximum travel time increase allowed for OD pair w |
| T_w^0 | journey time of OD pair w when no disruption occurs |
| C _b | the hourly spare service capacity of bus line b that can be used by metro commuters during disruptions |
| $\theta_{ij}^{\scriptscriptstyle D}$ | 1 if bus arc (i,j) is covered by the current bus line b; and 0 otherwise |
| δ_{pij} | 1 if bus arc (i,j) is newly covered in the integration plan p; and 0 otherwise |
| δ_{pij} | 1 if the currently covered bus arc (i,j) is removed in the integration plan p ; and 0 otherwise |
| $\Delta c_{ij}(\xi)$ | the capacity reduction on arc $(i,j)\in \mathcal{A}_M$ under disruption scenario $\xi\in \Omega$ |
| γ_{wij}^k | 1 if arc (i,j) is used by the <i>k</i> th shortest path of OD pair <i>w</i> ; and 0 otherwise |
| q_i^1 | the commuter in-flow capacity for station <i>i</i> |
| q_i^2 | the commuter out-flow capacity for station <i>i</i> |
| $\Delta q_i^1(\xi)$ | the reduction of metro node in-flow capacity under disruption scenario ξ for station i |
| $\Delta q_i^2(\xi)$ | the reduction of metro node out-flow capacity under disruption scenario ξ for station i |
| $Z(\xi)$ | the fraction of travel demand fulfillment under disruption scenario ξ |
| L_1^b | maximum number of employed integration adjustments for bus line b |
| q_p^b | additional number of buses needed if localized integration plan p for bus line b is introduced |
| L_2 | number of additional buses available |
| φ^1_{wik} | 1 if commuter flow w on path k belongs to the in-flow of the metro station i; and 0 otherwise |
| φ^2_{wik} | 1 if commuter flow w on path k belongs to the out-flow of the metro station i ; and 0 otherwise |
| p_{ξ} | the weight of disruption scenario ξ |
| Decision variables: | |
| x_{ij}^k | 1, if arc (i,j) belongs to the <i>k</i> th shortest path; 0, otherwise |
| y_p^b | 1, if the localized integration plan $p \in P_b$ of bus line b is selected; 0, otherwise |
| $f_w^k(\xi)$ | \ge 0. The commuter flow of OD pair w on path k under disruption scenario ξ |
| | |

minimum performance reduction or even no negative impact ideally. Measures of improving metro network resilience include (1) building a well-connected network with self-adaptive ability to recover from disruptions, and (2) integrating metro and bus systems in such a way that bus system provides as much backup capacity as possible during metro disruptions. The Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1023335

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1023335

Daneshyari.com