Fuel 92 (2012) 162-170

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Fuel

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuel

Modeling the slag layer in solid fuel gasification and combustion – Formulation and sensitivity analysis

Sze Zheng Yong^{a,*}, Marco Gazzino^b, Ahmed Ghoniem^a

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA ^b ENEL Ingegneria e Innovazione S.p.A., 56122 Pisa, Via Andrea Pisano 120, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 March 2011 Received in revised form 29 June 2011 Accepted 30 June 2011 Available online 18 July 2011

Keywords: Slag model Coal Particle capture

ABSTRACT

A steady-state model has been developed to describe the flow and heat transfer characteristics of the slag layer in solid fuel gasification and combustion. The model incorporates a number of sub-models including one for particle capture, and takes into consideration the temperature and composition dependent properties of slag, the contribution of momentum of captured particles and the possibility of slag resolidification. An equally important issue is the interaction of the particles colliding with the slag layer. High inertia particles tend to rebound whereas slower particles are trapped in the slag layer. Since only trapped particles are relevant to the slag layer build-up, a particle capture criterion for colliding particles is introduced. The model predicts the local thickness of the molten and the solid slag layers, the average slag velocity, the temperature distribution across the layer and the heat flux to the coolant, taking into account the influence of molten and resolidified slag layers coating the combustor or reactor wall.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Solid fuels and in particular, coal contain inorganic mineral matter. When burned, the inorganic compounds form an incombustible ash residue. In most coal boilers and reactors, coal ash is captured from the flue gas chimneys in the form of fly ash or removed from the combustor bottom as bottom ash. When operating the combustor above the ash fusion temperature, coal ash melts. Some of these molten particles are deposited along the wall, forming a slag layer that flows along the internal walls of the combustion chamber. This molten slag is collected from a molten ash port located at the downstream end of the combustor. The layer of molten slag can act as a thermal barrier to protect the combustor walls. However, because of wall cooling, a portion of this molten slag may resolidify, clogging the molten ash port. Therefore, to maintain a free passage at the molten ash port, understanding the behavior of slag is an integral part of reactor design process.

Several models have been proposed to predict slag formation and its flow characteristics in entrained-flow gasifiers. Seggiani [1] has proposed an analytical time-dependent slag accumulation and flow model to predict both the solid and molten slag layer thicknesses for the gasifier of the IGCC plant in Puertollano, Spain. As an extension to that model, Bockelie et al. [2] introduced a numerical scheme for predicting the molten layer thickness. Similarities in both models include the assumptions of negligible shear stress at the slag surface and a linear temperature profiles across

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: szyong@mit.edu (S.Z. Yong).

0016-2361/\$ - see front matter @ 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2011.06.062

both the solid and molten slag layers. Wang et al. [3] used an approach similar to that of Seggiani [1] but included an important feature, that is, the influence of particle deposition on the slag flow momentum. However, Wang et al.'s work stopped short of applying energy conservation to predict the slag temperature, and hence could not predict resolidification.

Suggestions have also been made that particles may more readily captured by a reactor wall that is covered by molten slag layer than in the case of uncovered walls. A model that is able to predict the probability of capture but does not differentiate between particles of different sizes and velocities was given in Shimizu and Tominaga [4]. Benyon [5] has earlier asserted that a crude check of the capture criterion be made based on the angle and velocity of the particle impact. Alternatively, Tominaga et al. [6] suggested that the criterion be based on the viscosities of the slag and incoming particles at the time of collision. Montagnaro and Salatino [7] has confirmed using order of magnitude estimates that the plunging and overlaying of particles are not likely to occur but did not provide a conclusive capture criterion. In contrast, Emory and Berg [8] brought up the role of a vapor film between the particle and slag layer which introduced another element of complication. A simple but more encompassing capture criterion is necessary.

In this paper, we combine the models described in Seggiani [1] and Wang et al. [3]. Moreover, an energy balance is derived for the steady-state case, and a cubic temperature profile across the molten slag layer is used to replace the linear temperature profile assumed in Seggiani [1]. A slag capture criterion is proposed in Section 2.2. The criterion involves determining the stickiness of the slag layer and the impacting particles. This sub-model





Nomenclature

| Symbols | | T_{gas} | bulk gas temperature (K) | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--|
| c_p | slag specific heat (J/kg K) | T_p | particle temperature (K) | |
| $C_{p,p}$ | particle specific heat (J/kg K) | T_s | slag surface temperature (K) | |
| d_p | particle diameter (m) | T _{wi} | internal wall temperature (K) | |
| D | combustor diameter (m) | T_{wo} | outer wall temperature (K) | |
| g | gravitational constant (m/s ²) | u_{avg} | local average slag velocity (m/s) | |
| G_s | gravity contribution to slag flow $(kg/m^4 s)$ | u_p | particle velocity in the direction of slag flow (m/s) | |
| h _{melt} | particle heat of fusion (J/kg) | v_p | particle velocity in the direction normal to slag flow | |
| ho | convective heat transfer coefficient to coolant (W/m ² K) | - | (m/s) | |
| j | current computational cell index (–) | Ζ | distance from the slag surface (m) | |
| k | slag thermal conductivity (W/m K) | | | |
| k _{wall} | wall thermal conductivity (W/m K) | Greek let | Greek letters | |
| k _{sld} | solid slag thermal conductivity (W/m K) | α | reactor inclination from the horizontal (°) | |
| \dot{m}_d'' | local particle deposition flux (kg/m ² s) | δ | total slag thickness (m) | |
| m' _{ex} | cell mass flow rate/unit length (kg/m s) | δ_l | molten slag thickness (m) | |
| ṁ″ _f | local particle feeding flux (kg/m ² s) | δ_{sld} | slag thickness (m) | |
| ṁ″ _{melt} | particle phase change flux (kg/m ² s) | δ_{wall} | wall thickness (m) | |
| \dot{m}_{rb}'' | local particle rebound flux (kg/m ² s) | Δx | cell slag surface length (m) | |
| <i>т</i> ′′′ | particle volumetric consumption/devolatilization rate | μ_s | slag viscosity (Pa s) | |
| | $(kg/m^3 s)$ | $ ho_p$ | particle density (kg/m ³) | |
| M_p | momentum contribution to slag flow $(kg/m^4 s)$ | $ ho_{s}$ | slag density (kg/m³) | |
| q _{in} | heat flux to the slag surface (W/m^2) | σ_{sp} | slag-particle surface tension (N m) | |
| q_{loss} | heat loss to coolant (W/m^2) | σ_p | particle-air surface tension (N m) | |
| $Q'_{ex,j}$ | cell heat transfer rate/unit length (W/m) | σ_s | slag-particle surface tension (N m) | |
| T_c | coolant temperature (K) | $	au_p$ | average shear stress on slag surface (Pa) | |
| T_{cv} | temperature at critical viscosity (K) | θ | contact angle (°) | |
| T _{int} | interface temperature (K) | | | |
| | | | | |

deterministically predicts the particles that are captured, as opposed to the probabilistic sub-model used in Wang et al. [3] which was based on Shimizu and Tominaga [6].

2. Slag model

The slag model is developed to better predict the wall boundary condition of a CFD framework for modeling coal combustion or gasification (see Fig. 2). The combustor or gasifier simulation supplies the slag model inputs: the local per unit area particle feeding rate m''_f , the particle temperature T_p , the particle velocity in the direction of slag flow u_p , the slag density ρ_p and the per unit area heat flux to the slag surface q_{in} . The slag model computes the slag surface temperature, T_s , that is fed back as the wall boundary condition for the next CFD iteration, as well as the average slag velocity, u_{avg} , the molten and solid slag thickness, δ_l and δ_{sld} , the inner



Fig. 1. Mass and heat transfer to reactor wall.

 τ_p average shear stress on slag surface (Pa) θ contact angle (°)and the outer wall temperatures, T_{wi} and T_{wo} , the mass flow rateper unit length, m''_{ex} , and the per unit area heat flux to the coolant, q_{loss} . For the slag model, the wall properties and the wall coolingconditions must be supplied and these inputs include the wallthermal conductivity k_{wall} , the wall thickness δ_{wall} , the heat transfercoefficient to the coolant h_o and the coolant temperature T_c . Iterations between the CFD solution and the slag model are performedwith every particle phase calculation of the reactor until steady-

The slag model employs an Eulerian approach which uses the readily defined CFD mesh cells. For each control volume or cell, computations are performed using an analytical model to reduce computational time. Therefore, the accuracy of this model is dependent on the CFD mesh resolution along the reactor walls.

state is achieved in both fluid and particle phases.

2.1. Slag flow model

The slag flow model is based on mass, energy and momentum conservation using the following assumptions:



Fig. 2. Slag model in CFD framework.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10272318

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10272318

Daneshyari.com