Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jece

Please cite this article in press as: A. Mathur, et al., Cytotoxicity of titania nanoparticles towards waste water isolate Exiguobacterium acetylicum

under UVA, visible light and dark conditions, J. Environ. Chem. Eng. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2015.06.026

- Cytotoxicity of titania nanoparticles towards waste water isolate
- Exiguobacterium acetylicum under UVA, visible light and dark 2
- conditions 3

7

8

- Ankita Mathur^a, Archanaa Raghavan^b, Pallavi Chaudhury^a, J.B. Johnson^a, Rajdeep Roy^a, 4 01 5
 - Jyoti Kumari^a, Gouri Chaudhuri^a, N. Chandrasekaran^a, G.K. Suraishkumar^b,
- 6 Amitava Mukherjee^{a,*}

02 ^a Centre for Nanobiotechnology, VIT University, Vellore 632014, India ^b Department of Biotechnology, IIT Madras, Chennai, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 January 2015 Accepted 27 June 2015

Keywords: TiO₂ nanoparticles Bacteria Waste water UVA Visible light Dark condition

ABSTRACT

The aim of the current study was to explore the different modes of the cytotoxicity effects of titania nanoparticles (TiO₂ NPs) towards the dominant waste water isolate Exiguobacterium acetylicum at an exposure dose of $\leq 1 \,\mu$ g/mL in the sterilized and filtered waste water already containing $0.770 \pm 0.02 \,\mu$ g/ mL dissolved Ti⁴⁺ ions, under different conditions (UVA, Visible Light and Dark). The aggregation behaviour of TiO₂ NPs in the experimental matrix was studied for 0.25, 0.5 and 1 μ g/mL concentrations at different time intervals (0, 6 and 12 h). The reduction in cell viability under UVA was significantly more than that of other two conditions, i.e., dark and visible light. At 1 μg/mL of titania NPs for an exposure period of 6 h the cell viability with respect to control was found to be as follows: UVA ($11.1 \pm 0.33\%$) <visible light (83.8 \pm 1.3%) <dark (84 \pm 1.3%). The generation of oxidative stress (hydroxyl and superoxide radicals) was more in the bacterial cells exposed to UVA condition as compared to the cells exposed to visible light and dark condition. A significant increase in the membrane permeability was noted under UVA conditions in comparison to that in dark and visible light conditions. The fluorescence microscopy confirmed cell damage due to exposure to the nanoparticles. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis demonstrated the morphological distortion in the nanoparticle treated bacterial cells. The adsorption and further internalization of nanoparticles were confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and elemental analysis.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

Introduction

20

9

Manufactured TiO₂ NPs are being continuously used in the commercial and consumer products like sunscreens, paints, cosmetics, surface coatings, medical use, food additives, dismantling of batteries, recycling of plastic/glass/metal with nanocoating, groundwater remediation etc. Hence, it enhances the potential risk of the nanoparticles entering into the aquatic environment [1]. The expanding commercial usage of the particles has led to the ambiguity regarding use and disposal of nanoparticles into the environment, and the released nanoparticles finally ends up in the waste water [2]. The concentration of a nanomaterial in the waste water is dependent on various factors:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2015.06.026 2213-3437/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. (a) The amount of nanomaterial produced; (b) The amount of nanomaterial that reaches the waste water stream and the degree of dilution; (c) The amount of 'fixed' and 'free' nanomaterial in the commercial product; (d) The extent of agglomeration or adsorption occurring in waste water streams that changes the form of the **Q3** 26 nanoparticle or move it out from solution.

The draft permissible exposure limit (PEL) for TiO₂ NPs proposed by the National Institute for Occupational Health and safety (NIOSH) has been set to 1.5 mg m^{-3} and a recommended exposure level (REL) has been set at 0.1 mg/m³ [3]. However, the concentration of titania NPs in waste water treatment plants as reported was around 185 µg/L [4]. In another study Ti concentration in the waste water treatment plant was found to be in a range of 181–1233 µg/L [5]. The effluents released from waste water treatment plants and waste incineration of products was observed to be the primary entry points of TiO₂ NPs to the aquatic environment.

Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: amit.mookerjea@gmail.com, amitav@vit.ac.in (A. Mukherjee).

41

47

49

51

57

A. Mathur et al./Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

38 Bacteria being the key factor of food-chain play an essential role 39 in the maintenance of the ecosystem. Any adverse effect on the 40 bacterial population can cause destructive effects on the ecosystem [6]. The presence of titania NPs in the water affects the 42 microbial community that in turn leads to deleterious effects on 43 the environment [7]. The interactions of manufactured nano-44 particles and bacteria may lead to the alteration of bacterial 45 morphology leading to partitioning, changes in the nutrient cycling 46 and trophic transfer to higher organisms [8]. The activity of TiO_2 NPs in the environment relies on the colloidal stability of the 48 nanoparticles and the increment in the diameter of the particles [9]. Nano titania is predominantly photocatalytic in nature [10]. 50 According to a report, 100% mortality of Escherichia coli with a cell number of 10⁶ was observed due to the exposure of the cells to 52 100–1000 µg/mL of titanium dioxide with photocatalytic UV 53 conditions [11]. The reduction of bacterial cell viability was 54 possible even in dark condition demonstrating the possibility of 55 non-photocatalytic mechanism [12]. It has been reported that the 56 TiO₂ NPs can induce the oxidative stress in bacteria, which plays a significant role towards its toxicity [13]. The ROS production 58 results in oxidative stress in the micro-organisms, leading to cell 59 death [14].

60 The present study has been carried out to demonstrate the 61 interaction between TiO₂ NPs and Exiguobacterium acetylicum 62 (isolated from VIT sewage treatment plant, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, 63 India) under UVA, visible light and dark conditions in the waste 64 water matrix itself. It can be hypothesized that TiO₂ NPs being 65 photocatalytic in nature would cause more cytotoxicity on 66 Exiguobacterium acetvlicum under UVA than that of visible light 67 and dark condition. UV radiation can penetrate to a considerable 68 depth of aquatic eco-system. Based on the wavelength there are 69 three types of UV radiations; UVA, UVB and UVC. UVA is the longest 70 wavelength ranging from 320 to 400 nm that comes from the 71 sunlight directly and reaches the surface of the earth [15]. 72 Considering the fact that TiO₂ NPs are photocatalytic in nature 73 UVA irradiation was selected as photo-condition for the present 74 study. The predicted environmental concentration of TiO₂ NPs was 75 computed at $1 \mu g/L$ [16]. In addition, the type of environmental 76 matrix may play a significant role in controlling the aggregation 77 behaviour of the nanoparticles, and thus may modulate their toxic 78 effects [17]. To mimic the environmental conditions filtered and 79 sterilized waste water already containing dissolved Ti⁴⁺ ions was 80 selected as the experimental matrix for the overall study, with 81 added TiO₂ NPs at low exposure concentrations ($\leq 1 \mu g/mL$). To the 82 best of our knowledge, the present study is first of its kind that 83 deals with the interactions of TiO₂ NPs with waste water bacterial 84 isolate which was already exposed to Ti4+ ions, in a medium 85 mimicking the chemical conditions prevalent in the waste water. 86 The study also emphasizes on the comparisons of the environ-87 mental relevant conditions like UVA, visible light and dark.

88 Materials and methods

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

Titanium dioxide (IV) nanoparticle anatase (99.7%) with a particle size <25 nm, CAS no: 637,254 was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA) suppliers. 2-[6-(4'-amino) phenoxy-3Hxanthen-3-on-9-yl] benzoic acid (APF), DHE (Dihydroethidium) fluorescence probes were procured from Life Technologies. Acridine Orange (AO) was obtained from Himedia Pvt. Ltd., and Ethidium Bromide from Medox India Pvt. Ltd. All the chemicals used were of analytical grade.

The waste water samples were collected in sterile polypropylene bottles from VIT sewage treatment Plant (Secondary treatment tank), Vellore, India. The primary filtration was carried out with the help of 20 µm sieve to remove the solid particles further followed by Whatman No. 1 filter paper and secondary filtration with $0.22 \ \mu m$ filter to elude intrusion with the colloidal particles. The filtrate samples were autoclaved and stored in a sterile screwcapped bottle. The waste water used for the experiments exhibited a pH of 7.5, dissolved oxygen, 5.38 mg/L, total dissolved salts, $1.14\pm0.05\,\mu g/mL$, conductance 161 $\pm0.88\,mS$, total organic carbon $16.16 \pm 0.59 \,\mu g/mL$, inorganic carbon $33.7 \pm 0.02 \,\mu g/mL$. The parameters were determined following the American Public Health Association protocols [18]. The metal ions present in the waste water were quantified by ICP-OES (PerkinElmer optima, 5300, USA) (Table S1 supporting material). The waste water medium contained $0.770 \pm 0.02 \,\mu$ g/mL dissolved Ti⁴⁺ ions prior to addition of TiO₂ nanoparticles. The filtrate waste water was used as a natural matrix for bacteria and titania nanoparticle interaction studies.

Particle size determination of titania nanoparticles in the test medium

A stock suspension of Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticle was prepared for 100 µg/mL in Milli Q water and subjected to ultrasonication at 130W for 10 min. (Ultrasonics, USA). The working concentrations of 0.25, 0.5 and 1 µg/mL were prepared by adding the appropriate amount of stock dispersion in the waste water under UVA and visible light conditions. The effective diameters of the particles were measured using 90 plus particle size analyser (Brookhaven Instruments corporations, USA).

Isolation and identification of the isolate

The cultures were isolated from the waste water samples collected from VIT Sewage treatment Plant, Vellore, India and were serially diluted using aseptic techniques. The isolation was primarily carried out by streaking the cultures on a nutrient agar plate and incubating at 37 °C for 24 h. The isolated colonies were subcultured to obtain a pure culture [19]. Morphological characterization, Gram staining was performed, and the isolates were submitted for 16S-rRNA characterization.

The morphology of the dominant bacterial isolate was found to be circular, rod shaped. The molecular characterization was executed for the isolate and was found to be Exiguobacterium acetylicum (VIT WW 1). The dominant waste water isolate showed 99% similarity in BLAST search to the corresponding sequences available and the length of the sequence was estimated to be 818 bp. The bacterial genomic DNA was extracted using phenolchloroform and fluorescent dye terminator method (ABI Prism, Big dye terminator cycle sequencing kit 3.1) [20]. Sequences were scrutinized with BLAST and aligned with the help of CLUSTAL W utilizing the sequences phylogenetic tree was constructed using neighbour joining method. The 16SrRNA sequence of the strain was submitted to Genbank, and the accession ID (KJ146070) was obtained.

Cell viability

A stock of 100 µg/mL titania nanoparticle was prepared in filtered and sterilized waste water. The lower exposure concentrations of 0.25, 0.5, 1 µg/mL was prepared by diluting the stock suspension. An initial bacterial count of 5×10^8 CFU/mL was selected for the overall studies. Three conditions namely, UVA (1 mW/cm², 18 W, 350 nm, Philips), visible Light (0.44 mW/cm², 18 W, fluorescence lamp, Philips) and dark conditions were employed. The distance between the light source and samples were maintained at 30 cm The power used for both UVA and visible light were 18 W. Thus, it could be established that both the light exposures were under similar power densities. The dark condition was maintained by covering the beakers with opaque sheets. The experiments were conducted at 37°C; 50 rpm in a shaking 146 147

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

148 149

156

157

158

159

160

161

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10277187

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10277187

Daneshyari.com