FISEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Seizure

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yseiz



Duration of electroencephalographic recordings in patients with epilepsy

Chih-hong Lee a, Siew-Na Lim a, Frank Lien b, Tony Wu a,*

- a Section of Epilepsy, Department of Neurology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan
- ^b Department of Medicine, Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 19 November 2012
Received in revised form 21 February 2013
Accepted 24 February 2013

Keywords:
Video-EEG monitoring
Epilepsy
Seizure classification
Outpatient
Inpatient
Interictal epileptiform discharge

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Previous studies have demonstrated different diagnostic yields with electroencephalography (EEG). Due to the small sample sizes or different patient populations (outpatients or inpatients only) in these previous studies, the clinical use of routine EEG and outpatient/inpatient video-EEG monitoring (VEM) needs further clarification. In this study, we investigated EEGs obtained from patients referred by epileptologists; by comparing the results of different EEG methods, we sought to determine the optimal durations and specific types of EEG recordings for different clinical situations.

Methods: The data from 335 routine EEGs, 281 3 h outpatient VEMs, and 247 inpatient VEMs (>48 h) were reviewed. We analyzed the latency to the first epileptiform discharge or clinical event.

Results: In patients undergoing outpatient VEMs, 48% of the first epileptiform discharges appeared within 20 min, and 64% appeared within 30 min. In patients undergoing inpatient VEMs, 21.2% had their first attack within 3 h. The second peak of event occurrence was during the 33rd–36th h. Only 3.5% of the seizures were recorded after 57 h. The detection rate of epileptiform discharges was higher for 3 h outpatient VEM than for routine EEG (54.1% versus 16.4%, p < 0.01). Epileptic and/or nonepileptic events were recorded in 45.8% of the inpatient VEMs, the diagnostic yield of which was higher than for outpatient VEMs (p < 0.01). Since the patients in this study had been selected to limit the bias between each group, the diagnostic yield of EEGs in this study are likely to have been higher than those found in routine practice. Patients with generalized epilepsy had a shorter latency to the first epileptiform discharge compared to patients with localization-related epilepsy (mean, 22.1 min versus 33.9 min, p < 0.05).

Conclusions: Two-thirds of epileptiform discharges were detected within 30 min of VEM. A 30-min recording is recommended for routine EEG examinations that aim to detect epileptiform discharges. A 3 h outpatient VEM is a reasonable option when a routine EEG fails to detect epileptiform discharges. The latency to the first epileptiform discharge was shorter in patients with generalized epilepsy than in patients with localization-related epilepsy. 48 h of inpatient VEM might be adequate for detecting the target events.

© 2013 British Epilepsy Association. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Electroencephalography (EEG), which remains the gold standard electrophysiological modality for obtaining diagnostic information in patients with epilepsy, helps to determine the syndrome, treatment, and prognosis of the epilepsy. Patients with seizures and generalized spike-wave complexes on EEG recordings have a recurrence rate of 58% at 5 years, but the risk decreases to 26% if they have nonepileptiform EEG.¹ However, initial routine EEGs in patients with epilepsy have a yield of only 29%–56%.^{2–4}

With subsequent EEGs, the yield can increase to 82%.³ In contrast, 2.2% of individuals without epilepsy have been reported to have an epileptiform discharge on EEG.⁵

In a specialist clinic, the misdiagnosis of epilepsy in patients with refractory epilepsy can be as high as 26%.⁶ Since the introduction of video-EEG monitoring (VEM), ^{7,8} its high diagnostic value in adult patients with recurrent seizures has been confirmed.^{9–15} However, the use of long-term inpatient VEM is limited by its cost, the labor intensiveness of the trained personnel, and the lengthy duration of the monitoring. Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of prolonged outpatient VEM in adults.^{16–18} Other studies have investigated the latency to the first interictal epileptiform discharge ^{19,20} in order to help determine the duration of EEG that is required for optimal diagnostic yield. However, some of these studies have had small sample sizes, some have focused only on the VEM yield in outpatients or inpatients,

^{*} Corresponding author at: Section of Epilepsy, Department of Neurology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Chang Gung University College of Medicine, 5, Fuxing Street, Guishan, Taoyuan, Taiwan. Tel.: +886 3 3281200x3944; fax: +886 3 3287226. E-mail address: tonywu@adm.cgmh.org.tw (T. Wu).

and others did not record the time to the first epileptiform discharge. In order to determine the optimal duration of an EEG examination for determining diagnoses, the present study examined data from 335 routine EEGs, 324281 3 houtpatient VEMs, and 247 long-term inpatient VEMs. We present a complete database of the different EEG methods and recommend specific types and durations of EEG recordings for different clinical situations.

2. Methods

This was a single-center, retrospective study that was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CGMH; No. 100-3561B) and that was in compliance with the ethical standards that were established in the Declaration of Helsinki. EEGs were recorded in 3 groups of patients with epilepsy with different protocols between July 2010 and June 2011. The groups that underwent routine EEG and 3 h VEM consisted of patients in the outpatient department, and 3-day VEM data were acquired from inpatients.

CGMH is a tertiary referral medical center in Northern Taiwan. Patients with epilepsy who are referred to this center mainly come from the North, but they also come from elsewhere in Taiwan. In local hospitals, patients with probable diagnoses of epilepsy are typically screened by routine EEG examinations. Doctors may then initiate antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) and/or refer patients to tertiary medical centers.

The National Health Insurance system in Taiwan allows for routine EEG examinations with only short durations. At CGMH, a routine EEG typically takes 20 min, of which 10–12 min consists of the actual EEG recording time. Most patients are examined while awake, although some are asked to sleep. Provocation tests that consist of 3 min of hyperventilation and intermittent photic stimulation (3–24 Hz) are included in the routine EEGs. Routine EEGs can be scheduled by neurologists in other subspecialties or by doctors in different fields. In this study, however, we only included patients who were referred from epileptologists and underwent routine EEGs that were indicated for seizure classification.

In contrast to routine EEGs, only patients who are treated by epileptologists undergo 3 h outpatient or 3-day inpatient VEMs. CGMH's epilepsy monitoring unit had 1 outpatient VEM bed and 3 inpatient VEM beds; this number was increased to 5 in 2011. More than 200 patients a year are admitted for long-term VEM, and approximately 30 patients per month undergo 3 h outpatient VEMs. Patients received VEM for one of the following indications: classification of seizures, differential diagnosis of paroxysmal events, presurgical evaluation, postsurgical follow up, assessment for the discontinuation of AEDs, monitoring of status epilepticus, or evaluation of sleep disorders.

During the 3 h outpatient VEM, patients maintained their regular dosages of AEDs, and they were asked to fall asleep without medical induction after hyperventilation and photic stimulation. The VEM ended after a continuous 3 h period. For the 3-day inpatient VEM, patients were admitted for 3 days; occasionally, the length of admission was extended to 7 days or longer if indicated. A dosage-reduction protocol was applied for all patients, except for those who were planning to discontinue AEDs or for the monitoring of status epilepticus. AEDs were reduced to a half dose on the first day, and they were stopped on the second day if no events were recorded. Once the targeted events were recorded twice, the patients were given an additional dose of AEDs, and they were instructed to resume their usual AED regimen.

VEMs were performed with 32- or 64-channel digital video-EEG systems (BMSI 6000, Nicolet Biomedical, Inc., Madison, WI, USA; Nicolet vEEG, CareFusion Corporation, Middleton, WI, USA). The electrodes were arranged according to the international 10–20 system, which included anterior temporal leads (T1 and T2).

Sphenoid electrodes were inserted when indicated. Bentonite was used as the media in routine EEGs. Ten20 Conductive Paste (Weaver and Company, Aurora, CO, USA) was used as the media, and Collodion Adhesive (SLE Ltd., South Croydon, UK) was used as the glue in outpatient and inpatient VEMs.

Each day, the entire recording was visually reviewed by 8 epileptologists, and the time to the first epileptiform discharge or the first clinical event was recorded. The definition of interictal epileptiform discharges was paroxysmal spikes or sharp transients (with or without a slow wave component) that could be clearly detected above the background rhythms. The following spikes or sharp waves were surveyed to confirm the epileptiform morphology. If the transients had very short duration, had symmetric upslope and downslope, were not focally located, and occurred only during drowsiness, they were assumed as benign epileptiform transients of sleep. The identified interictal epileptiform discharges and recorded seizures were discussed by all epileptologists in a weekly conference.

After acquiring data that included seizure type, neuroimaging, purpose of the EEG, and diagnosis or treatment changes after the examinations, we analyzed the latency to the first epileptiform discharge in outpatient VEMs and the latency to the first clinical event (epileptic or nonepileptic) in inpatient VEMs in order to determine the optimal duration of the EEG recordings.

Statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows, version 17.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). A chi-square test was used to compare the detection rates between the different EEG methods. An independent *t*-test was used to compare the group means of the time to the first epileptiform discharge between the generalized and localization-related epilepsy syndromes. A *p*-value less than 0.05 in a 2-tailed test was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

In total, 2538 patients underwent routine EEGs between January and April 2011; 657 of them were diagnosed with epilepsy, but only 335 were referred from epileptologists. Among them, epileptiform discharges were recorded in 55 patients (16.4%), and seizures were recorded in 2 (0.6%).

During a one-year period, 328 patients who were all referred from epileptologists underwent 3 h outpatient VEMs. Excluding the indications for the differential diagnosis of paroxysmal events, only 281 patients had a diagnosis of epilepsy. Among them, epileptiform discharges were recorded in 152 patients (54.1%), and seizures were recorded in only 15 patients (5.3%). Both the detection rates of the epileptiform discharges and seizures were higher in the group undergoing 3 h VEMs than in the group undergoing routine EEGs (p < 0.01).

In the 152 patients with epileptiform discharges that were recorded during outpatient VEMs, 20 (13.2%) had frontal lobe epilepsy (FLE), 78 (51.3%) had temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE), 36 (23.7%) had generalized epilepsy, and 18 (11.8%) had other epilepsies or complex partial seizures of uncertain origins. The mean latency from the beginning of the EEG recordings to the appearance of the first epileptiform discharge was 31.1 min (median, 21 min). The mean latency to the first epileptiform discharge was 39.2 min for patients with FLE, 30.6 min for patients with TLE, 22.1 min for patients with generalized epilepsy, and 42.8 min for patients with other epilepsies. Patients with generalized epilepsy had shorter latencies to the first epileptiform discharge compared to patients with localization-related epilepsy (mean, 22.1 min versus 33.9 min, p = 0.02; 95% confidence interval, 1.93 to 21.75). Fig. 1 summarizes the distribution of the latencies to

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10308581

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10308581

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>