#### JID: ESWA

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

Expert Systems With Applications xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

[m5G;July 30, 2015;14:21]



Q1

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Expert Systems With Applications**



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/eswa

## An efficient strategy for covering array construction with fuzzy logic-based adaptive swarm optimization for software testing use

### Thair Mahmoud<sup>a</sup>, Bestoun S. Ahmed<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Engineering, Edith Cowan University, 270 Joondalup Drive, Joondalup, WA 6027, Australia
 <sup>b</sup> Software Engineering Department, Engineering College, Salahaddin University-Hawler (SUH), 44002 Erbil, Kurdistan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Covering array Fuzzy logic Combinatorial design Particle swarm optimization Software testing Test generation tools Search-based software engineering

#### ABSTRACT

Recent research activities have demonstrated the effective application of combinatorial optimization in different areas, especially in software testing. Covering array (CA) has been introduced as a representation of the combinations in one complete set.  $CA_{\lambda}(N; t, k, v)$  is an  $N \times k$  array in which each *t*-tuple for an  $N \times t$  sub array occurs at least  $\lambda$  times, where *t* is the combination strength, *k* is the number of components (factors), and *v* is the number of symbols for each component (levels). Generating an optimized covering array for a specific number of *k* and *v* is difficult because the problem is a non-deterministic polynomial-time hard computational one. To address this issue, many relevant strategies have been developed, including stochastic population-based algorithms. This paper presents a new and effective approach for constructing efficient covering arrays through fuzzy-based, adaptive particle swarm optimization (PSO). With this approach, efficient covering arrays have been constructed and the performance of PSO has been improved for this type of application. To measure the effectiveness of the technique, an empirical study is conducted on a software system. The technique proves its effectiveness through the conducted case study.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1 1. Introduction

Combinatorial testing (CT) based on combinatorial design has 2 3 been researched extensively in the software field over the past decade, and studies focus on identifying the applications of this 4 method. Moreover, combinatorial design and optimization have been 5 applied as a sampling technique (e.g., Sahib, Ahmed, & Potrus, 2014; 6 7 Sulaiman & Ahmed, 2013; Yilmaz et al., 2014) given its effectiveness and usefulness in the software testing field (e.g., Ahmed, Zamli, & Lim, 8 9 2012a; Barrett & Dvorak, 2009; Qu, Cohen, & Woolf, 2007). A covering array (CA) is a mathematical representation of the combinations 10 in one complete set. In this set, every t-combination of the input must 11 be covered at least once by the CA (Zhanga, Yan, Zhao, & Zhang, 2014). 12 13 Hence, the finite set of elements is arranged into patterns (subsets, 14 words, and arrays) according to specific rules (Ahmed, Abdulsamad, & Potrus, 2015; Yilmaz et al., 2014). 15

A CA is difficult to generate because this issue is a nondeterministic polynomial-time (NP)-hard computational problem.
Computation time and problem complexity increase exponentially with an increase in the number of input parameters

(Nie & Leung, 2011). In addition, no unique arrangement and size is 20 set for the array. To solve this problem, researchers have adopted artificial intelligent optimization theories. 22

Strategies based on simulated annealing (SA) (Cohen, 2004), ant 23 colony algorithm (ACA) (Chen, Gu, Li, & Chen, 2009), tabu search 24 (TS) (Nurmela, 2004), genetic algorithm (GA) (Shiba, Tsuchiya, & 25 Kikuno, 2004), and particle swarm optimization (PSO) (Ahmed & 26 Zamli, 2011b; Ahmed et al., 2012a; Chen, Gu, Qi, & Chen, 2010) can 27 effectively generate CAs in optimized sizes. Due to its robustness and 28 simplicity, PSO efficiently produces CAs for different experimental 29 sets; however, our experience and that of other researchers is that 30 PSO is prone to parameter tuning problems (Ahmed et al., 2012a; 31 Lessmann, Caserta, & Arango, 2011). As per an analysis of PSO search 32 performance in optimising the structures for CAs, the stochastic ap-33 proach in PSO can be enforced further with knowledge-based rules 34 to automatically shift the path of this approach to the correct di-35 rection. This hypothesis is developed based on the literature postu-36 lating that PSO performance is mainly dependent on the values of 37 search adaptation parameters. In other words, PSO combines the two 38 roles of searching mechanisms, namely, exploration and exploitation. 39 In the former, PSO performs global optimum solution searching; in 40 the latter, PSO seeks accurate optimum solutions by converging the 41 search around a promising candidate. For instance, the determina-42 tion of appropriate values for these parameters should be based on a 43 compromise between the local and global explorations that facilitate 44

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2015.07.029 0957-4174/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: T. Mahmoud, B.S. Ahmed, An efficient strategy for covering array construction with fuzzy logic-based adaptive swarm optimization for software testing use, Expert Systems With Applications (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2015.07.029

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +964 750 1725998.

*E-mail addresses*: t.mahmoud@ecu.edu.au (T. Mahmoud), bestoon82@gmail.com, bestoon82@yahoo.com (B.S. Ahmed).

2

## ARTICLE IN PRESS

#### T. Mahmoud, B.S. Ahmed / Expert Systems With Applications xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

accelerated convergence. Evidence shows that depending on problem 45 46 complexity, different parameter values are required to obtain the optimum solution (Huimin, Qiang, & Zhaowei, 2014; Xu, 2013). This is-47 48 sue can be solved by supporting the PSO algorithm with a mechanism that adapts the parameters to process scenarios and thus controls op-49 timization performance. This strategy utilizes a Mamdani-type fuzzy 50 inference system (FIS), and the FIS parameters can be designed to suit 51 the optimization problem to be solved. In the current work, three 52 53 FIS designs are proposed to tune the three main PSO parameters. The proposed FISs are built to monitor PSO performance and adjust 54 55 the parameters to overcome optimization process problems, thus im-56 proving efficiency. This approach is applied to CA generation.

57 Thus, the contributions of this research are threefold. First, the 58 proposed methodology is intended to overcome the drawback of parameter tuning in the conventional PSO algorithm when generating 59 CA structures by employing a set of rules to monitor PSO perfor-60 mance. As a result, CAs with improved size can be generated. Sec-61 ond, the monitoring mechanism proposed in this work is applied via 62 fuzzy logic. A specific rule-based system is established and a mem-63 bership function design is customized to improve CA generation ef-64 ficiency. This mechanism can be applied to detect faults within an 65 artifact effectively for software mutation testing. Third, the imple-66 67 mentation of this methodology establishes a unified strategy for CA generation. This methodology includes adding the fuzzy logic-based 68 adaptive PSO to a set of other algorithms that can automatically 69 generate arrays and configure the relevant PSO covering structure 70 71 accordingly.

72 On this basis, the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the theoretical backgrounds, mathematical notations, and defini-73 tions of the CA. Section 3 reviews relevant literature and highlights 74 75 the most important findings. Section 4 introduces and provides an 76 overview of PSO. Section 5 introduces in detail and justifies the pro-77 posed strategy with the design and implementation, including the 78 appropriate algorithms. Section 6 presents the evaluation results. Section 7 lists the threats to the validity of the conducted experi-79 ments. Finally, Section 8 provides the concluding remarks. 80

#### 81 2. CA mathematical preliminaries and notations

CAs first appeared as a generalization of orthogonal arrays. An or-82 thogonal array  $OA\lambda$  (*t*, *k*, g) is an array with index  $\lambda$  that has strength 83 *t*, *k* factors, and g levels. For a set of columns  $B = \{b0, ..., b, t-1\} \subseteq \{b, ..., b, t-1\}$ 84 {0, ..., k - 1}, we say that *B* is  $\lambda$ -covered if the N × s sub array over 85 the columns of *B* has each *t*-tuple over *v* as a row at least  $\lambda$  times. The 86  $\lambda$  parameter is often omitted when  $\lambda = 1$  (Cheng, 1980). Orthogonal 87 Arrays have been used in the literature in the design of experiments 88 by taking each row in the array as a test case. The main drawback of 89 90 the OA is its limited usefulness in this application since it requires the factors and levels to be uniform (Beizer, 1990; Ronneseth & Colbourn, 91 2009). To address this limitation, the Covering Array (CA) has been 92 93 introduced to complement OA.

A Covering Array  $CA_{\lambda}(N; t, k, v)$  is an  $N \times k$  array over  $\{0, ..., v - 1\}$ such that every  $B \in {\binom{\{0, ..., k-1\}}{t}}$  is  $\lambda$ -covered such that every  $N \times t$ 

sub-array contains all ordered subsets from v values of size t at least 96  $\lambda$  times (Nie et al., 2015; Yilmaz et al., 2014). For optimality, we nor-97 mally want *t*-tuples to occur at least once. As such, we consider the 98 99 value of  $\lambda = 1$ , which is often omitted. Hence the notation becomes CA(N;t,k,v) (Hartman & Raskin, 2004). We say that the array has size 100 101 *N*, strength *t*, *k* factors, *v* levels, and index  $\lambda$ . Given *t*, *k*, *v*, and  $\lambda$ , the smallest N for which a  $CA_{\lambda}(N; t, k, v)$  exists is denoted  $CAN_{\lambda}(t, k, g)$ . A 102  $CA_{\lambda}(N; t, k, v)$  with  $N = CAN_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$  is said to be optimal. 103

One serious problem in CA is that the levels for each input factor are considered to be uniform. In other words, each input factor must have equal numbers of levels. However, most of the time, the inputfactors have different levels in practice. For this case, mixed level covering array (MCA) is notated. A mixed level covering array, MCA (N; d, 108 k, ( $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ , ...,  $v_k$ )), is an  $N \times k$  array on v levels, where the rows of each 109  $N \times d$  sub-array cover and all d-tuples of values from the d columns 110 occur at least once (Xiao, Cohen, & Woolf, 2007). For more flexibility 111 in the notation, the array can be presented by MCA (N; d,  $v^k$ )) and can 112 be used for a fixed-level CA, such as CA (N; d,  $v^k$ ) (Lei et al., 2008). 113

#### 3. Review of literature and related work

CA generation is an NP-hard problem; hence, methods for ad-115 dressing this issue effectively have been sought. Two main methods 116 are employed to generate CAs, namely, horizontal and vertical gener-117 ation methods (Nie & Leung, 2011). In the vertical method, an input 118 factor is generated each time, that is, one-factor-one-time (OFOT). 119 This approach is sometimes called one-parameter-at-a-time. At the 120 end of the generation process, whole rows form the final CA. By con-121 trast, the horizontal method is known as one-test-at-a-time (OTAT) 122 (Bryce, Colbourn, & Cohen, 2005; Nie & Leung, 2011). 123

The OFAT method begins with an initial array that consists of sev-124 eral selected factors (Othman, Zamli, & Mohamad, 2013). To certify 125 combination coverage, the array is extended horizontally by adding 126 one factor at a time. The CA is extended vertically with the intro-127 duction of new test cases. This method was first implemented in the 128 in-parameter-order (IPO) algorithm (Yu & Tai, 1998), and this strat-129 egy was further developed to generate variations of the IPO algo-130 rithm, such as IPOG (Lei, Kacker, Kuhn, Okun, & Lawrence, 2007), 131 IPOG-D (Lei, Kacker, Kuhn, Okun, & Lawrence, 2008), IPOG-F (Forbes, 132 Lawrence, Lei, Kacker, & Kuhn, 2008), and IPO-s (Calvagna & Gargan-133 tini. 2009). 134

The OTAT method normally iterates through all elements of the 135 combinations, and an entire test case is generated per iteration. Most 136 methods begin by generating numerous solutions and then select-137 ing the best solution that covers the majority of the *t*-tuples; this 138 process requires an optimization mechanism. According to Nie and 139 Leung (2011), these techniques can be classified into four main groups 140 of algorithms: random, greedy, heuristic search, and metaheuristic al-141 gorithms. In random optimization algorithms, test cases are selected 142 at random from a complete set of such cases based on input distri-143 butions (Nie & Leung, 2011). The selection process is based on the 144 coverage of the *t*-tuples and simply works by approaching favorable 145 positions in the search space iteratively. These positions are sampled 146 around the current position. Greedy algorithms generally construct 147 a set of objects from the smallest possible elements recursively. Prob-148 lems are solved through recursion, in which the solution to a partic-149 ular problem depends on solutions to smaller instances of the same 150 problem. Greedy algorithms are used with the OTAT method to cover 151 many uncovered combinations in each row of the final combinato-152 rial test suite (Wang, Xu, & Nie, 2008). A considerable amount of re-153 search has been conducted to develop different algorithms and tools, 154 such as the algorithm applied to pair-wise generation in the CATS 155 tool (Sherwood, 1994), the greedy algorithms used in the PICT tool 156 (Czerwonka, 2006), and the density-based greedy algorithm (Bryce & 157 Colbourn, 2007). 158

Heuristic search- and artificial intelligence (AI)-based techniques 159 have been employed effectively in CA construction. These techniques 160 generally start with a random set of solutions. Then, a transforma-161 tion mechanism is applied to this set to transfer it to a new set in 162 which the solutions are particularly efficient for *t*-tuple coverage. The 163 transformation equations must generate a more efficient set for each 164 iteration. Despite the detailed variations in heuristic search tech-165 niques, the essential difference lies in the transformation functions 166 and mechanisms. In the current study, techniques such as SA (Cohen, 167 Dwyer, & Shi, 2007), TS (Nurmela, 2004), GA (Shiba, et al., 2004), 168 ACA (Chen et al., 2009; Shiba et al., 2004), and PSO (Ahmed, Sahib, 169 & Potrus, 2014; Ahmed, Zamli, & Lim, 2012b) are effectively used for 170 CA construction. 171

113

Please cite this article as: T. Mahmoud, B.S. Ahmed, An efficient strategy for covering array construction with fuzzy logic-based adaptive swarm optimization for software testing use, Expert Systems With Applications (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2015.07.029

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10321787

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10321787

Daneshyari.com