## Author's Accepted Manuscript

Joint kinematic calculation based on clinical direct kinematic versus inverse kinematic gait models (Ms. Ref. No.: BM-D-15-00779 Rev. 1)

H Kainz, L Modenese, DG Lloyd, S Maine, J Walsh, CP Carty



PII:S0021-9290(16)30413-4DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2016.03.052Reference:BM7673

To appear in: Journal of Biomechanics

Received date: 6 July 2015 Revised date: 31 December 2015 Accepted date: 28 March 2016

Cite this article as: H Kainz, L Modenese, DG Lloyd, S Maine, J Walsh and CI Carty, Joint kinematic calculation based on clinical direct kinematic versu inverse kinematic gait models (Ms. Ref. No.: BM-D-15-00779 Rev. 1), *Journa of Biomechanics*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2016.03.052

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted fo publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version o the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain

### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

Joint kinematic calculation based on clinical direct kinematic versus inverse kinematic gait

models (Ms. Ref. No.: BM-D-15-00779 Rev. 1)

Kainz H<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Modenese L<sup>a,b,d,e</sup>, Lloyd DG<sup>a,b</sup>, Maine S<sup>c</sup>, Walsh J<sup>c</sup>, Carty CP<sup>a,b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Allied Health Sciences, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Australia

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Australia

<sup>c</sup> Queensland Children's Motion Analysis Service, Queensland Paediatric Rehabilitation Service, Children's Health Queensland Hospital and Health Services, Brisbane, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Sheffield

<sup>e</sup> INSIGNEO institute for in silico medicine, The University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

#### Abstract

Most clinical gait laboratories use the conventional gait analysis model. This model uses a computational method called Direct Kinematics (DK) to calculate joint kinematics. In contrast, musculoskeletal modelling approaches use Inverse Kinematics (IK) to obtain joint angles. IK allows additional analysis (e.g. muscle-tendon length estimates), which may provide valuable information for clinical decision-making in people with movement disorders.

The twofold aims of the current study were: (1) to compare joint kinematics obtained by a clinical DK model (Vicon Plug-in-Gait) with those produced by a widely used IK model (available with the OpenSim distribution), and (2) to evaluate the difference in joint kinematics that can be solely attributed to the different computational methods (DK versus IK), anatomical models and marker sets by using MRI based models.

Eight children with cerebral palsy were recruited and presented for gait and MRI data collection sessions. Differences in joint kinematics up to 13 degrees were found between the Plug-in-Gait and the gait 2392 OpenSim model. The majority of these differences (94.4%) were attributed to differences in the anatomical models, which included different anatomical segment frames and joint constraints. Different computational methods (DK versus IK) were responsible for only 2.7% of the differences. We recommend using the same anatomical model for kinematic and musculoskeletal analysis to ensure consistency between the obtained joint angles and musculoskeletal estimates.

Words: 4,032

#### 1 Introduction

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10431059

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10431059

Daneshyari.com