ARTICLE IN PRESS



Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2013) xxx-xxx



lanotechnology, Biology, and Medicin

NANO-00838; No of Pages 15

nanomedjournal.com

02 012

3 **Q3**4

5

7

9

11

14

15

16

18

19

Nanotherapeutic approaches for brain cancer management

Laura Saenz del Burgo, Rosa María Hernández, Gorka Orive, PhD, Jose Luis Pedraz*

Laboratory of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of the Basque Country, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain Networking Biomedical Research Center on Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine, CIBER-BBN, SLFPB-EHU, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain Received 19 June 2013; accepted 1 October 2013

Abstract 6

Around the world, cancer remains one of the most important causes of morbidity and mortality. Worldwide, approximately 238,000 new cases of brain and other central nervous system tumors are diagnosed every year. Nanotherapeutic approaches hold tremendous potential for diagnosis and treatment of brain cancer, including the ability to target complex molecular cargoes to the tumor sites and the capacity of 10 crossing the blood-brain barrier and accessing to the brain after systemic administration. A new generation of "smart" nanoparticles has been designed as novel targeted delivery devices for new therapies including gene therapy, anti-angiogenic and thermotherapy. This review highlights the latest research, opportunities and challenges for developing novel nanotherapeutics for treating brain cancers. 12 © 2013 Published by Elsevier Inc. 13

Key words: Biomaterials; Drug delivery; Targeted delivery; Magnetic therapy; Anticancer drugs

Introduction Q417

Cancer remains one of the most important causes of morbidity and mortality around the world. Among them, brain tumors account

Abbreviations: 5-ALA, 5-amino-levulinic acid; RGD, arginine-glycineaspartic acid; BBB, blood-brain barrier; BCNU, carmustine; CASANT, carmustine sustained-release implant; CNS, central nervous system; CED, convection-enhanced delivery; c(RGDyK), cyclic arginine-glycine-aspartic acid-D-tyrosine-lysine; DGL, DendriGraft poly-L-lysine; EPR effect, enhanced permeability and retention effect; EGF, epidermal growth factor; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; Fc-diOH, ferrocenyl diphenol; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; GFAP, glial fibrillar acidic protein; GLUT1, glucose transporter 1; LNC, lipid nanocapsule; LRP1, lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1; MR, magnetic resonance; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; MEMS, micro-electromechanical system; MAb, monoclonal antibody; PAMAM, poly(amido amine); CPP:SA, polyanhydre poly(1, 3-bis-[p-carboxyphenoxy propane]co-]sebacic anhydride]); PCL, poly(ɛ-caprolactone); PEG, polyethylene glycol; PLA, polylactic acid; PLGA, poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid); PEI, polyethyleneimide; PEEP, poly(ethyl ethylene phosphate); RES, reticularendothelial system; SLN, solid lipid nanoparticle; TMZ, temozolomide; Tf, transferrin; TfR, transferrin receptor; TRAIL, tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand.

Conflicts of interest statement: There are no conflicts of interest.

*Corresponding author: Laboratory of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of the Basque Country, 01006 Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain.

E-mail address: joseluis.pedraz@ehu.es (J.L. Pedraz).

1549-9634/\$ - see front matter © 2013 Published by Elsevier Inc. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2013.10.001

for 85% to 90% of all primary central nervous system (CNS) 20 tumors.¹ Worldwide, approximately a guarter of million new cases 21 of brain and other CNS tumors were diagnosed in the year 2008, of 22 which around 81% suffered from glioma, the most common type of 23 primary brain tumor and the most aggressive one.^{2–4} According to 24 The World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Tumors 25 of the Central Nervous System, gliomas are neuroepithelial tumors 26 of grade IV that diffusely penetrate throughout the brain and extend 27 far beyond the original tumor mass that is observable with 28 neuroimaging.^{5,6} Unfortunately, this characteristic means that not 29 every last tumor cell can be surgically removed.

Since the late 1970s when the surgical resertion of brain tumors 31 led to a median survival of 3 months, many efforts have been 32 carried out with the only purpose of increasing the effectiveness of 33 treatments as complete elimination of the tumor is not usually 34 accomplished. In fact, how much is removed depends on the type 35 of glioma and its location within the brain.⁷ Nowadays, additional 36 radiotherapy and chemotherapy successfully prolong median 37 survival of the patient up to more than a year.⁸ The most 38 harrowing thing is that several achievements toward the treatment 39 of the disease have failed to profoundly impact in patient survival. 40 These therapeutic agents suffer from poor pharmacokinetics and 41 inappropriate biodistribution which causes insufficient penetration 42 to tumors. They are rapidly cleared from the circulation and tend to 43 accumulate in and cause toxicity toward many healthy organs.

Nanomedicine can be defined as the use of nanotechnology 45 with several medical purposes. This new and promising 46

Please cite this article as: del Burgo L.S., et al., Nanotherapeutic approaches for brain cancer management. Nanomedicine: NBM 2013;xx:1-15, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2013.10.001

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

L.S. del Burgo et al / Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2013) xxx-xxx

discipline is based on the utilization of nanomaterials for various 47applications, including brain cancer treatment. In recent years the 48 potential of nanomedicine to overcome the problems of 49traditionally administered anti-tumor drugs has been regarded 5051as one of the most promising tools and has been extensively 52explored. For instance, nanocarriers can be of great help for 53overcoming cancer resistance. In fact, tumor cells can increase drug efflux pumps on their membrane, which decreases the intracellular concentration of the drug.⁹ Nanomedicine can 5455 increase the intracellular levels of a drug by encapsulating it in 56different nanocarriers that will bypass the efflux pumps. In 57addition, new biomaterial-assisted drug administration systems 58can increase the concentration of anticancer agents in tumor site 59and improve their circulation times. Undoubtedly, the prospec-60 tive of nanotechnology for improving specificity to tumors and 61 reducing side effects of anticancer drugs, improving cancer 62 treatment efficacy and enhancing patients' life expectancy has 63 just begun. Since the development of the first nano-platforms, 64 several new systems have emerged with distinct characteristics. 65 66 On the one hand, nanocarriers are able to overcome the problem of passing the blood-brain barrier (BBB) as they can be 67 administered directly to the brain.¹⁰ Additionally, biomaterials 68 can be tailored in the way of wafers or gels in order to obtain a 69 sustained release of the loaded drug and then implanted intra-70 cerebrally.^{11,12} On the other hand, the systemic administration of 71 brain-targeted functionalized nanocarriers has become a chal-72lenge for the research community nowadays. These nanoscale 73 particles, which also aim to overcome the BBB, are designed to 74be specifically taken up by tumor cells and to release their 75payload over an extended period of time in order to achieve an 76effective clinical response. This review aims to highlight the 77 most innovative strategies, focusing on platforms and novel 78 79trends that will serve as a guide for the development of the next generation of chemotherapeutic formulations for brain 80 cancer therapy. 81

82 The challenge of the blood-brain barrier

The BBB is a semi-permeable and selective barrier that 83 protects the CNS from undesirable toxic or infectious agents and 84 at the same time, supplies the brain with the required biologically 85 86 essential molecules such as glucose or hormones. The BBB and 87 the neurovascular unit are involved in various neuroinflammatory processes, and the pathophysiology at most brain barriers is 88 affected by neuroinflammation (Figure 1).^{13–15} But the BBB is 89 not only a physical barrier. Further restricting the transfer of 90 drugs to the CNS there is the "enzymatic barrier" formed by 91degrading enzymes present in large number inside the endothe-92 lial cells and specific transporters.¹⁶ P-glycoprotein, for instance, 93 is a prototypic active efflux transporter involved in multidrug 94 resistance of cancer cells.¹⁷ Some small-molecular-weight 95molecules with appropriate lipophilic and charge characteristics 96 are able to diffuse from blood into the CNS. However, the BBB 97is often the rate-limiting factor in determining permeation of 98 therapeutic drugs into the brain.¹⁸ Bearing in mind all these 99 characteristics, the nanomedicine field is restlessly trying to get 100 smarter nanoscale platforms for biomedical applications in order 101



Figure 1. The neurovascular unit. The neurovascular unit includes circulating blood components, specialized endothelial cells, pericytes embedded in the endothelial cell basement membrane and neurons.

to improve both the delivery efficacy of therapeutics and the 102 utilization of drugs otherwise too toxics for clinical use.^{19,20} 103

As it will be reviewed later on the text, nanotechnology can 104 improve the direct local treatment of brain tumors by increasing 105 the half-life of the encapsulated drug or acting as a sustained- 106 release delivery system (see Nanotherapeutic Approaches for 107 Local Treatment of Brain Cancer). Also, systemically adminis- 108 tered drug carriers can be modified for targeting specifically not 109 only cancer cells but also tumor surrounding vasculature as well 110 as the BBB in order to enhance the amount of drug that 111 efficiently reaches the tumor cells (see Functionalization of 112 Nanocarriers for Active Brain Targeting). In the last few years 113 many approaches have been tested. For instance, methotrexate- 114 loaded dendrimers have been modified with D-glucosamine for 115 brain cancer treatment showing a higher concentration of the 116 therapeutic drug.²¹ More recently, fourth-generation poly(amido 117 amine) (PAMAM) dendrimers encapsulating doxorubicine have 118 been modified in their surface with transferrin for passing 119 through the BBB and targeting the tumor cells.²² Also, this kind 120 of drug delivery systems can be functionalized with the purpose 121 of inhibiting multidrug resistant (MDR) proteins such as P- 122 glycoprotein. Through this method it is possible to increase the 123 amount of drug that the cells will uptake and therefore an 124 improvement in treatment effectiveness as shown by the use 125 of tamoxifen as MDR proteins inhibitor in the mentioned 126 PAMAM dendrimers.²² 127

Ultimately, these new systems seek for higher efficacy and 128 tolerability of the therapy, together with a reduction of the hard 129 side effects that sometimes the patients need to pass through.

131

Nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems

In recent years, several approaches using nanocarriers such as 132 liposomes, micelles or nanoparticles have been employed for 133 brain delivery of therapeutic drugs with the aim of protecting 134 them from degradation or loss of therapeutic effect. Although, 135 the description of all these systems is beyond the scope of this 136 review (formulation, pros and cons, etc.), a brief description of 137 these drug vehicles will be provided assuming that they will be 138 constantly referred over the text. An extended description of all 139 these systems is reported elsewhere.^{23,24} See Table 1.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10436166

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10436166

Daneshyari.com