



Restrained eaters consume more food only if they are impulsive and male



J. Greenwood, J. Broadbent*, M. Fuller-Tyszkiewicz

Deakin University, School of Psychology, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 January 2014

Received in revised form 25 June 2014

Accepted 21 August 2014

Available online 27 August 2014

Keywords:

Preload
Restraint
Impulsivity
Gender
Food consumption

ABSTRACT

This study utilised the preload paradigm to evaluate whether dietary restraint, impulsivity, or their interaction significantly predicts heightened food consumption among male and/or female participants. Following a high calorie preload, 79 participants aged 18 to 40 (53 females and 26 males) completed a deceptive taste test and questionnaires measuring restraint and impulsivity levels. A series of hierarchical regressions were run, controlling for self-rated hunger levels. A significant negative association between level of restraint and food consumption post-preload was found for males, but this relationship was not significant for female participants. The hypothesis that impulsivity would directly predict heightened food consumption was not supported for either gender. However, impulsivity was found to significantly moderate the relationship between restraint and food intake in the male sample, but not the female, providing partial support for this hypothesis. Potential reasons for this gender-specific interaction effect of impulsivity and restraint for food consumption are discussed. More broadly, present findings highlight the need for further consideration of the role of impulsivity in undermining food intake of restrained eaters. Future research should also consider how preload effects may differ across gender.

Crown Copyright © 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Dieting is characterised by the intention to restrict food intake (Herman & Mack, 1975), and is a commonly attempted method for weight reduction (Wardle & Beales, 1987). Despite the intention to reduce intake, there is evidence that dieting and bingeing co-occur (Polivy & Herman, 1985), and that dietary restriction predicts weight gain (Snoek, van Strien, Janssens, & Engels, 2007). The preload paradigm is a common experimental method used to investigate the link between restraint and episodes of overeating.

The preload paradigm involves monitoring of participant food consumption of unhealthy yet desirable foods after consuming a forced preload (energy-dense food or liquid; Herman & Polivy, 2005). Preload studies are often conducted under the pretence of a taste perception experiment to ensure participants are unaware that their overall food consumption is being measured (e.g., Adams & Leary, 2007; Jansen, 1996). Studies have found significant variation in the amount of food that people consume post-preload, with some evidence that restrained eaters consume more than unrestrained eaters (e.g., Herman & Mack, 1975; Herman & Polivy, 1975). Restraint theory explains this counter-intuitive finding by stating that violations in dieting rules lead to excessive food consumption (Snoek et al., 2007).

Despite earlier support, more recent studies investigating this counter-regulation effect have yielded inconsistent results. These

inconsistent findings may be partially attributed to use of distinct measures of restraint that tap into different aspects of overeating (Lowe & Thomas, 2009). The Restraint Scale (RS; Polivy, Herman, & Warsh, 1978), which has most consistently found the counter-regulation effect (Herman & Mack, 1975; Herman & Polivy, 1975; Rotenberg & Flood, 2000), seems to conflate several aspects of overeating (restriction, disinhibition, and guilty eating), and is not a pure measure of restraint (Heatherton, Herman, Polivy, King, & McGree, 1988). In contrast, other restraint measures from the Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (DEBQ; van Strien, Frijters, Bergers, & Defares, 1986) and Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ; Stunkard & Messick, 1985), which are purer measures of restraint success (Heatherton et al., 1988; van Strien, Cleven, & Schippers, 2000), have typically failed to predict post-preload consumption (e.g., van Strien et al., 2000; Wardle & Beales, 1987).

Due to issues of conflation, it is unclear why RS scores positively associated with food consumption in past studies. One possibility is that success of attempted restraint depends on another personality trait (impulsivity), which refers to a person's tendency to act with insufficient forethought, planning, or control (Solanto et al., 2001).

Limited available evidence suggests that impulsivity both directly predicts overeating (Guerrieri, Nederkoorn, & Jansen, 2007; Guerrieri, Nederkoorn, Stankiewicz et al., 2007), and moderates the restraint-food consumption relationship (Guerrieri, Nederkoorn, Schrooten, Martijn, & Jansen, 2009; van Koningsbruggen, Stroebe, & Aarts, 2013). However, previous studies investigating dietary restraint and impulsivity have been predominantly conducted using female participants (e.g. Guerrieri et al., 2009; Jansen et al., 2009), and the single study that

* Corresponding author at: Deakin University, School of Psychology, 221 Burwood Hwy, Burwood, Victoria 3125, Australia. Tel.: +61 3 92443043; fax: +61 3 92446858.
E-mail address: Jaclyn.broadbent@deakin.edu.au (J. Broadbent).

included male participants (van Koningsbruggen et al., 2013) analysed the sample as a whole rather than testing for gender differences in the prediction of food consumption.

Therefore, the aim of the current study is to extend on prior research by re-evaluating the effects of dietary restraint, impulsivity, and their interaction on food consumption for male and female participants, separately. Although previous studies asked participants to refrain from eating for a specified period prior to participation (e.g., Polivy, Herman, Younger, & Erskine, 1979; Rotenberg & Flood, 2000), equalizing time since last meal does not guarantee that individuals have comparable hunger levels at time of testing. Therefore, the present study instead used self-rated hunger level, as per Lowe and Maycock (1988), as a covariate to control for the possibility that hunger levels conflate attempts to measure individual differences in post-preload food consumption.

Based on aforementioned evidence, it was hypothesized that impulsivity would have a direct effect on food consumption, and that the effect of restraint on food consumption would depend on co-occurring level of impulsivity. Specifically, impulsive individuals would consume more post-preload (Hypothesis 1), and individuals with higher restraint would also eat more post-preload, but only if they were also impulsive (Hypothesis 2). In the absence of prior research testing gender differences, it was anticipated that the influences of impulsivity and restraint on food consumption would generalize across genders.

2. Method

2.1. Subjects

The sample comprised 79 normal weight participants aged 18 to 40 ($M = 24.65$, $SD = 6.08$), 53 female (Age: $M = 25.62$ years, $SD = 6.72$) and 27 male (Age: $M = 22.65$ years, $SD = 3.91$). Females were significantly older ($t_{(74.65)} = -2.47$, $p = .016$) and reported significantly lower levels of impulsivity than males ($t_{(77)} = -2.12$, $p = .041$). Gender differences were non-significant for food consumption ($p = .298$), restraint ($p = .091$), and hunger level ($p = .435$).

2.2. Materials

2.2.1. Preload

Participants were presented a 250 ml glass of chocolate milk (200 kcal, 19% protein, 58% carbohydrate, and 23% fat). Previous studies have shown that this preload amount is sufficient to elicit the counter-regulation of eating behaviour (Herman & Mack, 1975; Herman & Polivy, 2005).

2.2.2. Deceptive taste test

Participants were presented 50 g bowls of both 'Arnott's brand Barbeque Shapes' (260 kcal), and equivalent 'ALDI supermarket brand Barbeque Snackos' (256 kcal; both a type of savoury biscuit), and 100 g bowls of both 'M&M's' (486 kcal) and ALDI supermarket brand equivalent 'Munchers' (476 kcal; both a type of chocolate candy in a crisp shell).

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Restraint scale from the Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (DEBQr; van Strien et al., 1986)

Ten items (e.g., "Do you try to eat less at mealtimes than you would like to eat?") are ranked on a 5-point Likert scale and scores range from 10 to 50, with higher scores indicative of greater levels of restraint. Cronbach's alpha was .86.

2.3.2. Barratt Impulsivity Scale (BIS short form; Spinella, 2007)

Fifteen items (e.g., "I do things without thinking") are ranked on a 4-point scale and scores range from 15 to 60, with higher scores indicative of higher impulsivity. Cronbach's alpha was .81.

2.3.3. Current hunger level

A single item ("How hungry are you right now?") was asked prior to the preload. Participants responded on a 10-point Likert scale (1 = not at all, to 10 = extremely).

2.4. Procedure

This study was part of a larger study approved by the university ethics board. Participants were recruited through university notice boards and social media to be part of a taste test study evaluating food preferences. Participants were tested individually in an office at a time of mutual convenience. Participation involved (in the following order): (1) A standardised statement was read to participants explaining the taste test experiment and the aim of the taste test study, (2) consuming a preload (milkshake), (3) participating in a taste test wherein they were asked to taste and rate the desirability of four foods (presented together in the order of snakos, shapes, munchers, and M&Ms), and (4) filling out a questionnaire with measures of restraint, impulsivity and hunger. Prior to excusing him/herself from the room under the pretence of needing to complete another work task, the experimenter told participants that they could eat the remaining food in the taste test as it would be thrown out. The experimenter returned 15 min later, and the participant was asked if they knew the purpose of the study, was debriefed and her/his bowls were weighed in order to ascertain how much s/he ate ad libitum.

3. Results

Less than 5% of data were missing, and were replaced using expectation maximisation (Nelwamondo, Mohamed, & Marwala, 2007). No outliers were identified and assumptions of normality were met. The average female consumed 51.77 g ($SD = 37.86$) of food, had a restraint score of 27.32 ($SD = 7.53$), an impulsivity score of 29.47 ($SD = 5.28$), and reported their hunger level as 4.85 ($SD = 2.44$). The average male in the sample ate 61.88 g ($SD = 45.00$) of food, had a restraint score of 24.12 ($SD = 8.40$), an impulsivity score of 33.23 ($SD = 8.25$), and reported their hunger level to be 5.29 ($SD = 2.10$). Further, only 4% ($n = 3$) correctly identified the purpose of the study. The majority of participants believed the study was a taste test as advertised ($n = 61$, 77%), and the remainder indicated that they were unsure about the real aim of the study ($n = 15$, 19%).

Hypothesis testing was conducted using separate hierarchical regressions for males and females (Table 1). Step 1 regressed amount of food consumed on hunger level. Hunger level significantly predicted food consumption for males and females, accounting for 7% and 15% of variance, respectively.

Restraint and impulsivity main effects were added to the model at Step 2 and did not significantly improve either model's R^2 . Restraint did not have a significant unique contribution to the model for females, but uniquely contributed 17% of variance in food consumption for males. Impulsivity was found to be a non-significant unique contributor in both models.

The interaction between restraint and impulsivity was introduced at Step 3. The predictive power of the model significantly improved for males, but not for females. The strongest unique contributor for females was hunger level ($sr^2 = .07$), while for males restraint had the largest squared semi-partial correlation ($sr^2 = .17$), although its effect should be interpreted with caution as the restraint-impulsivity interaction was significant for males. Post hoc probing (Preacher, Curren, & Bauer, 2006) of this interaction revealed that the negative association between restraint and food intake was significant at low levels of impulsivity ($t =$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10446864>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10446864>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)