Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neubiorev



Review

Auditory verbal hallucinations as atypical inner speech monitoring, and the potential of neurostimulation as a treatment option *



Peter Moseley^{a,*}, Charles Fernyhough^a, Amanda Ellison^b

^a Psychology Department, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

^b Cognitive Neuroscience Research Unit, Wolfson Research Institute, Durham University, University Boulevard, Stockton-on-Tees TS17 6BH, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 April 2013 Received in revised form 5 September 2013 Accepted 2 October 2013

Keywords: Auditory verbal hallucinations Inner speech Self-monitoring Reality monitoring Neurostimulation TMS tDCS

ABSTRACT

Auditory verbal hallucinations (AVHs) are the experience of hearing voices in the absence of any speaker, often associated with a schizophrenia diagnosis. Prominent cognitive models of AVHs suggest they may be the result of inner speech being misattributed to an external or non-self source, due to atypical self- or reality monitoring. These arguments are supported by studies showing that people experiencing AVHs often show an externalising bias during monitoring tasks, and neuroimaging evidence which implicates superior temporal brain regions, both during AVHs and during tasks that measure verbal self-monitoring performance. Recently, efficacy of noninvasive neurostimulation techniques as a treatment option for AVHs has been tested. Meta-analyses show a moderate effect size in reduction of AVH frequency, but there has been little attempt to explain the therapeutic effect of neurostimulation in relation to existing cognitive models. This article reviews inner speech models of AVHs, and argues that a possible explanation for reduction in frequency following treatment may be modulation of activity in the brain regions involving the monitoring of inner speech.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 	Introduction . Auditory verbal hallucinations as the result of misattributed inner speech. Why do people who hear voices experience inner speech as alien? Neurostimulation as a treatment for AVHs. 4.1. Neurostimulation as a therapeutic technique 4.2. Can neurostimulation be used to treat AVHs? 4.3. How might treatment with neurostimulation affect the cognitive and neural mechanisms associated with AVHs? 4.4. Neurostimulation and inner speech Future directions Conclusions Acknowledgements Conclusions	2794 2796 2798 2798 2798 2799 2800 2802 2802 2803 2803 2803
0.	Acknowledgements	2803 2803 2803

1. Introduction

Auditory verbal hallucinations (AVHs) are the phenomenon of hearing voices in the absence of any speaker, and are experienced by around 60–80% of people diagnosed with schizophrenia (Sartorius et al., 1986). Some studies also report that they are expe-

Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 191 334 3251.

E-mail address: peter.moseley@dur.ac.uk (P. Moseley).

rienced by between 1.5% and 3% of the general population (Tien, 1991), highlighting that the experience is not always pathological, though estimates greatly vary between sources on this matter (Beavan et al., 2011). Despite the prevalence of this experience, surprisingly little is known about the cognitive and neural mechanisms underlying AVHs, and they may be refractory to current treatment options in around 25% of cases (Shergill et al., 1998).

A recent review by Sommer et al. (2012) suggested that antipsychotic medication such as olanzapine, amisulpride, ziprasidone or quetiapine may be the most efficacious treatment option for AVHs in schizophrenia, while clozapine should only be used in the event that these are unsuccessful. Anti-psychotic medication tends to block D₂-receptors in the brain, leading to hypotheses emphasizing

^{*} This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

^{0149-7634/\$ –} see front matter © 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.10.001

the importance of dopamine pathways in the creation of psychotic experiences (Carlsson, 1978; Farde, 1997). However, it is well known that antipsychotic medication often causes undesirable side effects, such as weight gain and sedation (Buchanan et al., 2010). Therefore, cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) is often used, either as an adjunctive or as an alternative treatment. The aim of CBT is to change the appraisal of the hallucination, in a collaborative effort between the patient and therapist; the patient is encouraged to take an active part in the therapy, for example, by examining evidence for and against distressing beliefs, and testing explanations for unusual experiences in real world situations (Jones et al., 2012). One meta-analysis reported an effect size of 0.4 for a reduction in positive symptoms of schizophrenia (Wykes et al., 2008), although this does not tell us specifically about CBT's efficacy in treating AVHs. These studies are also confounded by whether the patients included were taking anti-psychotic medication at the time of therapy; it is difficult to know whether any effects were due to the use of CBT alone.

The search for new treatment options for AVHs has led to the testing of the efficacy of noninvasive neurostimulation techniques in the treatment of AVHs. Although results have not been conclusive, repetitive pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) was recently labelled as "potentially useful" in a summary of available treatment options (Sommer et al., 2012, p. 7), and recent research has used transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), with promising results (Brunelin et al., 2012). Additionally, neurostimulation techniques, if indeed efficacious, have the potential to tell us much about the cognitive and neural mechanisms underlying AVHs, by targeting specific brain regions thought to be involved in the experience (although it also affects brain regions other than those directly underneath the stimulating coil or electrode; e.g. Kindler et al., 2013). There has so far been little attempt to explain the therapeutic effects of neurostimulation (if not a placebo effect) in relation to pre-existing cognitive or neuroscientific models of AVHs.

The most popular cognitive theory of AVHs is arguably that many are the result of internal cognitive events, such as inner speech, being misattributed to an external or alien source (Waters et al., 2012a). Various models have suggested that this could be due to a specific deficit in the monitoring of one's own actions, known as self-monitoring (Frith, 1992), and/or due to a bias towards labelling internal mental events as externally produced under conditions of ambiguity, known as a bias in reality monitoring (Bentall and Slade, 1985). Evidence from neuroimaging suggests that monitoring of one's own speech, overt or covert, is related to activity in auditory cortical regions such as the lateral temporal lobe, including the superior temporal gyri (STG), a brain area that includes both primary and secondary auditory cortices (Allen et al., 2007; McGuire et al., 1996a). This corresponds well to 'symptom-capture' studies of AVHs, in which similar areas are often implicated (Allen et al., 2008). rTMS treatment is usually targeted at the left temporoparietal junction (TPJ), an area adjacent to, and with high levels of connectivity to, primary and secondary auditory cortex (Kindler et al., 2013). Therefore, it is possible that neurostimulation treatment affects brain regions involved in verbal self- or reality monitoring.

This review will discuss models that implicate atypical monitoring of inner speech, as well as the evidence surrounding the efficacy of neurostimulation as a treatment for AVHs, and the possible cognitive and neural mechanisms behind the therapeutic effect.

2. Auditory verbal hallucinations as the result of misattributed inner speech

Prominent models of AVHs have suggested that the experiences arise when an internal mental event is misattributed to an external or non-self source. For example, Frith (1992) suggests that, if inner speech is not recognized as self-initiated, it may be experienced as an AVH. Many models have assumed that the raw material of AVHs is a kind of inner speech (Bentall, 2003; Fernyhough, 2004), although definitions of inner speech have varied, from simply 'thinking in words' (McGuire et al., 1995, p. 596) to 'the overlapping region of thought and speech' (Jones and Fernyhough, 2007a, p. 148), the latter of which highlights that not all thought processes necessarily take place as inner speech.

Perhaps the most compelling evidence that the raw material of AVHs is misattributed inner speech comes from studies that have used electromyography (EMG) to show subvocalization (tiny movements of the vocal musculature which occur during inner speech; Gould, 1948; Inouye and Shimizu, 1970; McGuigan, 1966) whilst patients experience AVHs. In one case, the subvocalizations were amplified into intelligible speech which corresponded well to the contents of the AVH (Green and Preston, 1981), and some AVHs have been shown to be less frequent when patients explicitly vocalized competing utterances, for example humming (Green and Kinsbourne, 1990). Further evidence from neuroimaging studies suggests that similar cortical areas are active during inner speech as during AVHs. For example, during auditory verbal imagery, Shergill et al. (2001) found activation in the left superior temporal gyrus (including Wernicke's area) and the left inferior frontal gyrus (Broca's area), as well as in the supplementary motor area (SMA) and insula. These findings concord fairly well with other inner speech functional neuroimaging studies (Friedman et al., 1998; McGuire et al., 1996b). Raij and Riekki (2012) showed that the main difference between neural activation during AVHs and during imagining speech was that AVHs showed less activation in the SMA, otherwise implying that similar areas were recruited for imagining speech and AVHs. The functional localization of inner speech has also been studied using single pulse TMS: Aziz-Zadeh et al. (2005) were able to induce 'covert speech arrest' by stimulating either motor or non-motor language areas in the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) in the left hemisphere, but not right hemispheric non-motor language areas.

In contrast, however, some have argued that left hemisphere language sites are not integral to the experience of AVHs. An fMRI study using a sample of 24 hallucinating patients, concluded that the right homologue of Broca's area (IFG) and the right superior temporal gyrus, as well as the bilateral insula and anterior cingulate gyri, were most active during AVHs (Sommer et al., 2008). Vercammen et al. (2010b) have also shown that functional connectivity of the left temporoparietal junction (TPJ) with the right homologue of Broca's area is reduced in patients who reported AVHs. These findings may be interpreted as discordant with the inner speech theory of AVHs, especially in light of Aziz-Zadeh et al.'s findings, which indicate that non-motor language areas in the right hemisphere are not causally involved in the production of inner speech. However, there are a number of possible explanations for right hemisphere involvement in AVHs. Vercammen et al. argue that inner speech generated by the right hemisphere may consist of short sentences, with negative or derogatory content, which seems to fit with phenomenological accounts of AVHs. It may simply be that the type of inner speech elicited by Aziz-Zadeh et al. did not recruit right hemisphere language areas. Alternatively, rightsided language areas could be involved in the contextualisation of AVHs (influencing emotional valence and attentional salience, for example). This suggestion would fit with findings that implicate right hemispheric activation in emotional prosody comprehension (Alba-Ferrara et al., 2012a, 2012b). Superior temporal regions of the right hemisphere are also important in processing aspects of speech such as pitch (Lattner et al., 2005).

Alternatively, the right temporoparietal junction (rTPJ) has been implicated in theory of mind tasks (Young et al., 2010a, Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10461703

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10461703

Daneshyari.com