



Contents lists available at [SciVerse ScienceDirect](http://SciVerse.Sciencedirect.com)

Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neubiorev



Review

Intranasal administration of oxytocin: Behavioral and clinical effects, a review

Jan G. Veening^{a,b,*}, Berend Olivier^a

^a Division of Pharmacology, Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80082, 3508 TB, Utrecht, The Netherlands

^b Department of Anatomy (109), Radboud University of Medical Sciences, P.O. Box 9101, 6500 HB, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 November 2012

Received in revised form 22 April 2013

Accepted 24 April 2013

Keywords:

Intranasal administration

Oxytocin

Behavioral effects

Clinical effects

ABSTRACT

The intranasal (IN-) administration of substances is attracting attention from scientists as well as pharmaceutical companies. The effects are surprisingly fast and specific. The present review explores our current knowledge about the routes of access to the cranial cavity. 'Direct-access-pathways' from the nasal cavity have been described but many additional experiments are needed to answer a variety of open questions regarding anatomy and physiology.

Among the IN-applied substances oxytocin (OT) has an extensive history. Originally applied in women for its physiological effects related to lactation and parturition, over the last decade most studies focused on their behavioral 'prosocial' effects: from social relations and 'trust' to treatment of 'autism'.

Only very recently in a microdialysis study in rats and mice, the 'direct-nose-brain-pathways' of IN-OT have been investigated directly, implying that we are strongly dependent on results obtained from other IN-applied substances. Especially the possibility that IN-OT activates the 'intrinsic' OT-system in the hypothalamus as well needs further clarification.

We conclude that IN-OT administration may be a promising approach to influence human communication but that the existing lack of information about the neural and physiological mechanisms involved is a serious problem for the proper understanding and interpretation of the observed effects.

© 2013 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Contents

19	Contents	
20	1. Introduction.....	00
21	1.1. Intranasal (IN-) administration	00
22	1.2. Mechanisms involved in the uptake of IN-applied substances	00
23	1.2.1. Intra-axonal and transneuronal transport via olfactory pathways.....	00
24	1.2.2. Peripheral transport via the blood stream after crossing the nasal mucosa.....	00
25	1.2.3. 'Direct transport pathways' to the brain	00
26	2. Intranasal administration of OT (IN-OT).....	00
27	2.1. Introduction	00
28	2.2. Entrance routes and distribution of IN-OT.....	00
29	2.3. Time-scale and access speed of IN-OT	00
30	2.4. OT-levels after IN-OT in Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) and blood.....	00
31	2.5. Conclusions	00
32	3. Behavioral and clinical effects of IN-OT	00
33	3.1. Behavioral effects of IN-OT.....	00
34	3.1.1. Human behavioral and physiological effects.....	00
35	3.2. Clinical effects of IN-OT	00
36	3.2.1. 'Peripheral effects' of IN-OT	00
37	3.2.2. 'Central effects' of IN-OT.....	00
38	3.3. Distribution of OT-receptors in the brain.....	00
39	3.4. 'Mirror-neurons'.....	00

* Corresponding author at: Division of Pharmacology, Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80082, 3508 TB, Utrecht, the Netherlands. Tel.: +31 243614298.

E-mail address: j.veening@anat.umcn.nl (J.G. Veening).

3.5.	Localization of brain effects of intranasally applied OT.....	00
3.5.1.	Brain areas involved in the effects of OT.....	00
3.5.2.	OT-receptors and changes in brain activity.....	00
3.5.3.	Direct and indirect influences of IN-OT.....	00
4.	Conclusions and perspectives.....	00
	References.....	00

40 **1. Introduction**

41 *1.1. Intranasal (IN-) administration*

42 The intranasal route of administering substances is attracting a
43 steadily increasing amount of attention. A simple search of the liter-
44 ature tells us that by now almost 13,000 scientific papers have been
45 published where IN-administration of a large variety of substances
46 was reported. The number of papers per year shows a continuing
47 rise from a mere 12 in 1970 to more than 100 in 1980, to almost
48 200 in 1990 via more than 400 in 2000, up to more than 1600 in the
49 most recent years. The main reason for the increasing popularity
50 of IN-administration is based on its proven efficacy to deliver sub-
51 stances into the brain and the Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF). At least two
52 aspects make this route of delivery of substances to the brain more
53 and more interesting. First, as a way to circumvent the 'Blood-Brain-
54 Barrier' (BBB), that prevents many substances (including proteins
55 and neuropeptides) to access the extracellular fluid surrounding
56 the neurons and glial cells of the brain. The BBB is formed by the
57 endothelial layer of the cerebral blood vessels and protects the
58 Central Nervous System (CNS). It is a dynamic interface with a
59 range of interrelated functions, consisting of effective tight junc-
60 tions, transendothelial transport systems and enzymes, together
61 composing the physical, transport and enzymatic regulatory func-
62 tions of the BBB. The BBB forms part of the 'neurovascular unit'
63 comprising pericytes or vascular smooth muscle cells, glial cells
64 (astrocytes) and neurons, together controlling the permeability of
65 the BBB and local vascular blood flow (Abbott and Friedman, 2012;
66 Banks, 2012; Berezowski et al., 2012; Daneman, 2012; Pardridge,
67 2005; Zlokovic, 2011). The BBB is not a closed barrier but its perme-
68 ability is a regulated function and in addition some 'leakage' may
69 occur via the circumventricular organs (Ermisch et al., 1985). How-
70 ever, the possibility to target the brain and its functions directly
71 without the limitations posed by the BBB (see below), opens new
72 perspectives for clinical treatment of pain, psychiatric symptoms,
73 degenerative brain diseases as well as brain-tumors.

74 The second consideration to apply IN-administration of neuro-
75 peptide is the elongation of the half-life values and efficacy of the
76 substances administered. Due to enzymatic degradation, the
77 half-life time of oxytocin (OT) in the blood is only less than 2 min,
78 while in the extracellular space of the brain and in the CSF this time
79 amounts up to 28 min (Mens et al., 1983; Robinson, 1983; Robinson
80 and Jones, 1982). Similar differences in half-life values have been
81 observed for other substances, particularly neuropeptides like β -
82 endorphin (Burbach et al., 1984, 1979; Houghten et al., 1980),
83 which makes intranasal administration of substances considerably
84 more effective by keeping CNS-concentrations locally higher over
85 a longer period of time.

86 A quick search of the available literature shows that by now the
87 effects of IN-administration of at least 50 different substances have
88 been reported, including a large variety of neuropeptides, some
89 steroids, DNA-plasmids and siRNA (Bortolozzi et al., 2011; Han
90 et al., 2007; Perez et al., 2012; Renner et al., 2012) and even mes-
91 enchymal stem cells and human glioma cells have been applied via
92 the nose (Danielyan et al., 2011, 2009). In addition, intranasal cool-
93 ing can be used to induce brain hypothermia (Covaciu et al., 2011).
94 Since all applied substances apparently induce different effects on

(aspects of) brain functioning, we have to pay some attention to
the mechanisms involved in brain delivery of substances via the
intranasal route and to the specificity of targeting the brain along
the available routes of access. For the present purpose, a short
survey will suffice since numerous reviews have been consider-
ing specific aspects of IN-administration over the last few years
(Banks, 2006; Bos et al., 2012; Carnes and Robinson, 2008; Charlton
et al., 2008; Dale et al., 2002; Dhuria et al., 2009a, 2010; Domes
et al., 2010; Graff and Pollack, 2005; Grassin-Delyle et al., 2012;
Guindon et al., 2007; Illum, 2003, 2004; Jogani et al., 2008; Liu
et al., 2012; Merkus and van den Berg, 2007; Meyer-Lindenberg,
2008; Mygind and Andersson, 2006; Pathan et al., 2009; Strachan,
2005; Striepens et al., 2011; Thorne et al., 1995; Van Ijzendoorn and
Bakermans-Kranenburg, 2012; Viero et al., 2010).

41 *1.2. Mechanisms involved in the uptake of IN-applied substances*

Basically, there are three possible ways how substances applied
on the mucosal wall of the nasal cavity may reach the cranial cav-
ity and (parts of) the brain itself. Since these routes-of-access are
not mutually exclusive, they deserve a short description in order
to evaluate in how far OT, the main substance of interest for the
present review, is using selectively one or a combination of these
possible mechanisms. These possibilities are: (1) intra-axonal and
transneuronal transport mechanisms via the olfactory pathways;
(2) via the peripheral blood stream and the Blood-Brain-Barrier
(BBB) after crossing the mucosal walls of the nasal cavity; (3) via
perineuronal and other spaces along the olfactory fibers and other
cranial nerves to enter the arachnoid space and CSF surrounding
the brain.

42 *1.2.1. Intra-axonal and transneuronal transport via olfactory pathways.*

Several studies in the rat have shown that neuroanatomical trac-
ers can be transported from the olfactory sensory neurons (OSN) in
the epithelium covering the nasal cavities to the olfactory bulb as
well as, after transneuronal transport, to all second order olfac-
tory regions. Apparently, transport occurs in both anterograde and
retrograde directions, revealing all central olfactory connections
(Paxinos, 2004; Shipley, 1985). These findings were confirmed in
other species at the electronmicroscopical level in the rat (Baker
and Spencer, 1986) as well as after gene transfer of a plant lectin
as a transneuronal tracer in transgenic mice to target the olfactory
system in order to study its connectivity (Horowitz et al., 1999).
Anterograde labeling of the olfactory bulb was observed after all
survival times studied starting with 1 day (Baker and Spencer, 1986;
Shipley, 1985) but for transneuronal labeling longer survival times
were necessary, up to 7 days (Shipley, 1985).

Viruses have been widely used as transneuronal neuroanatom-
ical tracers and interestingly for our present purpose many of them
appear to infect the CNS via olfactory and/or trigeminal connections
arising in the olfactory mucosa. Early investigations showed that a
variety of viruses like Borna disease virus (Morales et al., 1988;
Shankar et al., 1992), mouse hepatitis virus (Barnett and Perlman,
1993; Barthold, 1988; Perlman et al., 1995), corona virus (Perlman
et al., 1990) and mouse rabies virus (Lafay et al., 1991) enter the CNS
along the olfactory pathways. Some recent additions are adenovirus

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10461747>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10461747>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)