



The functional neuroanatomy of odor evoked autobiographical memories cued by odors and words

Artin Arshamian^{a,b,*,1}, Emilia Iannilli^{a,1}, Johannes C. Gerber^c, Johan Willander^{b,d}, Jonas Persson^b, Han-Seok Seo^a, Thomas Hummel^a, Maria Larsson^b

^a Smell and Taste Clinic, University of Dresden Medical School, Dresden, Germany

^b Department of Psychology, Stockholm University, Sweden

^c Department of Neuroradiology, University of Dresden Medical School, Dresden, Germany

^d Center on Autobiographical Memory research, Aarhus University, Denmark

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 February 2012

Received in revised form

23 October 2012

Accepted 25 October 2012

Keywords:

Episodic memory

fMRI

Imagery

Memory retrieval

Multiple trace theory

Cross-modal reactivation

ABSTRACT

Behavioral evidence indicates that odor evoked autobiographical memories (OEAMs) are older, more emotional, less thought of, and induce stronger time traveling characteristics than autobiographical memories (AMs) evoked by other modalities. The main aim of this study was to explore the neural correlates of AMs evoked by odors as a function of retrieval cue. Participants were screened for specific OEAMs and later presented with the odor cue and its verbal referent in an fMRI paradigm. Because the same OEAM was retrieved across both cue formats (odor and word), potential cue dependent brain activations were investigated. The overall results showed that odor and word cued OEAMs activated regions typically associated with recollection of autobiographical information. Although no odors were presented, a verbal cuing of the OEAMs activated areas associated with olfactory perception (e.g., piriform cortex). However, relative to word cuing, an odor cuing of OEAMs resulted in more activity in MTL regions such as the parahippocampus, and areas involved in visual vividness (e.g., occipital gyrus and precuneus). Furthermore, odor cues activated areas related to emotional processing, such as limbic and tempopolar regions, significantly more. In contrast, word cues relative to odor cues recruited a more widespread and bilateral prefrontal activity. Hippocampus activity did not vary as function of the remoteness of the memory, but recollection of OEAMs from the 1st vs the 2nd decade of life showed specific activation in the right OFC, whereas the 2nd reflected a higher activation in the left inferior frontal gyrus.

© 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Autobiographical memory (AM) concerns personally experienced events (Conway & Pleydell-Pearce, 2000). The recollection of autobiographical events is a complex and effortful process that draws on a variety of cognitive processes. The functional complexity is reflected in the extensive patterns of brain activation typically observed in neuroimaging studies of AM. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have demonstrated that prefrontal, medial and lateral temporal, as well as retrosplenial/cingulate cortices are associated with autobiographical recollection (for reviews, see; Cabeza & St Jacques, 2007 and Svoboda, McKinnon, & Levine, 2006). However, the laterality of these activations has varied widely across studies, presumably due to experimental variations in for example control tasks and design protocols (Greenberg et al., 2005).

Most of the behavioral and neuroimaging research on AM has focused on events triggered by verbal or visual stimuli (e.g., words or pictures). In a seminal study, Rubin, Groth, and Goldsmith (1984) reported that AMs cued by odors were less thought and spoken of than memories cued by pictures and words. Current behavioral findings indicate that the age of memories recollected varies as a function of the sensory modality. Specifically, memories evoked by odors have been localized to the first decade of life rather than to young adulthood which is the typical finding for memories evoked by verbal and visual information (Chu & Downes, 2000; Larsson & Willander, 2009). In a similar vein, evidence pertaining to the chronological distribution of odor memory representations shows that the retention of first odor-to-object associations was higher than for auditory-to-object associations, suggesting that retroactive interference for olfactory information is negligible (Yeshurun, Lapid, Dudai, & Sobel, 2009).

Further, the experiential qualities of odor-evoked memories differ from AMs evoked by verbal or visual information. Odor evoked autobiographical memories (OEAMs) are experienced as more emotional, and associated with stronger feelings of being brought back in time to the occurrence of the event compared to

* Correspondence to: Frescati Hagväg 14, Room 340, Department of Psychology, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden. Tel.: +46 8 161491; fax: +46 8 159342.

E-mail address: artin.arshamian@psychology.su.se (A. Arshamian).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

1 memories evoked by verbal or visual cues (Chu & Downes, 2000;
2 Herz & Schooler, 2002; Rubin et al., 1984; Willander & Larsson,
3 2006, 2007). However, although behavioral evidence is available,
4 few studies have explored whether the observed behavioral
5 differences also are reflected in the neural activity subserving
6 the recollection of olfactory evoked autobiographical information.

7 The neural underpinnings of autobiographical memories that
8 involved odors was first investigated by Herz, Eliassen, Beland,
9 and Souza (2004), in which a pre-scan interview protocol was
10 adopted. Five participants were asked whether they could recall a
11 positive memory representing both the sight and scent of a
12 perfume. The participants were then presented with the odors
13 and the corresponding pictures of the perfumes in an fMRI
14 paradigm. The results indicated that odor-cued memories were
15 related to stronger activations in the amygdala and hippocampal
16 regions than picture-cued recollections. This observation is
17 significant in that it addresses the “direct-synapsing hypothesis”
18 that illustrates the direct synapsing from the olfactory bulb to the
19 amygdala that may subserve the stronger emotional connotation
20 in olfactory processing (Herz & Cupchik, 1995, Herz, 1998; Herz
21 and Schooler, 2002; Herz et al., 2004; Willander & Larsson, 2006,
22 2007). Because most of the fMRI studies investigating AM have
23 used different cues to evoke different memories, knowledge
24 regarding cue-specific effects of a specific memory representation
25 on brain activity is limited.

26 For more than a decade, brain-imaging studies have explored the
27 notion that recollection entails a reactivation of areas that were
28 activated during encoding (Heil, Rosler, & Hennigshausen, 1994;
29 Nyberg, Habib, McIntosh, & Tulving, 2000; Persson & Nyberg, 2000;
30 Roland & Gulyás, 1995; Vaidya, Zhao, Desmond, & Gabrieli, 2002;
31 Wheeler, Petersen, & Buckner, 2000; for reviews, see Buckner &
32 Wheeler, 2001; Danker & Anderson, 2010). Support for an encoding-
33 retrieval overlap in episodic memory has been obtained for a variety
34 of stimuli including visual, auditory, olfactory, motoric, emotional,
35 and verbal information (Gottfried, Smith, Rugg, & Dolan, 2004;
36 Nyberg et al., 2000, 2001; Vaidya et al., 2002; Wheeler & Buckner,
37 2003, 2004; Wheeler et al., 2000, 2006). Thus, available evidence
38 indicates that recollection of episodic information produces a reactivation
39 of the sensory regions that were initially activated during
40 encoding of the specific episode.

41 The overall aim of the present fMRI study was to explore the
42 neural underpinnings of AMs evoked by odors as function of cue
43 modality. This was accomplished by exposing pre-selected individuals
44 to a set of odors known to spontaneously elicit specific
45 OEAMs. In the scanner, each specific OEAM was cued by an odor
46 and by its verbal referent. Given that participants retrieved the same
47 AM across both cue formats, we could specifically examine potential
48 brain activation differences as a function of the retrieval cue.
49 Because olfactory cued memories elicit richer experiential qualities
50 than other sensory cues, we hypothesized that, in addition to the
51 core autobiographical memory network (Svoboda et al., 2006), brain
52 regions involved in emotional processing and vividness (e.g.,
53 amygdala, insula, precuneus, and visual cortex) would be engaged
54 during odor cued OEAM. Moreover, given that the same specific
55 OEAM should be recollected in the word cue condition, we predicted
56 that verbally cued olfactory memories also should induce activity in
57 the core AM regions along with areas associated with emotional
58 processing, but the latter possibly to a lesser extent. Furthermore, as
59 all of the OEAM were presumed to have had an olfactory stimulus
60 present during encoding we predicted that verbally cued olfactory
61 memories would reactivate brain regions associated with olfactory
62 perception (e.g., piriform cortex, Gottfried, 2010) and imagery
63 (e.g., insula and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), Bensafi, Sobel, & Khan,
64 2007; Djordjevic, Zatorre, Petrides, Boyle, & Jones-Gotman, 2005).

65 Finally, we explored potential effects of memory remoteness
66 on brain activity. Based on previous work by Moscovitch and

67 others suggesting a permanent role of the hippocampus in auto-
68 biographical memory retrieval (Multiple Trace Theory—MTT; e.g.,
69 Moscovitch et al., 2005) it is of interest to determine whether the
70 hippocampal involvement also could be generalized to retrieval of
71 olfactory evoked information. Further, given that prefrontal cortex
72 (PFC) is a key region for retrieval of autobiographical information we
73 explored whether the well documented clustering of childhood
74 representations (1st decade) is reflected in PFC activity.
75

76 2. Material and method

77 2.1. Participants

78 The participants were recruited through posted advertisements at the University
79 of Dresden Medical School (Germany) after the study had been approved by the local
80 Ethics Committee (protocol number EK 290112008). Participants provided informed
81 consent. Given that the prevalence rate of olfactory evoked autobiographical
82 memories is low (about 16% has been suggested by previous studies: Willander &
83 Larsson, 2006, 2007) it was necessary to pre-select individuals for the fMRI study. In
84 the preselection phase, individuals were presented with a test set of 20 odors that has
85 been used in previous work targeting olfactory AM (Willander & Larsson, 2006, 2007).
86 A prerequisite for study inclusion was that each participant was able to sponta-
87 neously generate a minimum of two autobiographical odor memories and that the
88 memories could be located with relatively exact space and time references. A total of
89 67 individuals were screened for OEAM and of which 24 individuals were selected
90 based on the inclusion criterions. From the pool of 24 participants, 18 were able to
91 participate in the fMRI study. However, due to technical problems three participants
92 were excluded from the fMRI analysis, yielding a final sample of 15 right-handed
93 participants (8 women; mean age =24.7 years, age range 20–28 years). All
94 participants were in good health and had normal olfactory functions ascertained by
95 means of the “Sniffin’ Sticks” test kit (Hummel, Sekinger, Wolf, Pauli, & Kobal, 1997;
96 Kobal et al. 2000).

97 2.2. Stimuli

98 Olfactory stimuli: The test set of odors used in the preselection phase has been
99 extensively used in the previous work targeting AM in Swedish samples (Willander &
100 Larsson, 2006, 2007). Given that five of the 20 odors from the original test set were
101 highly associated with Swedish culture, these were replaced with odors more familiar
102 to the German population. The following odors were used: cloves, beer, red wine,
103 chlorine, anise, tobacco, Glühwein (mulled wine), bitter almond, cinnamon, whiskey,
104 tar, garlic, soap, cardamom, lily of the valley, liniment (Vick’s vaporub), herb liqueur
105 (Jägermeister), lavender, rubber, and leather. All odors were natural except for the
106 latter three that were synthetic. The test odors were presented in opaque glass bottles
107 and covered with cotton pads to prevent visual inspection.

108 Two odors typically perceived with positive valence were selected as control
109 odors for the olfactory baseline measurements in the MR scanner (see below):
110 phenyl ethyl alcohol (PEA) and linalool. The smell of PEA is often described as
111 flowery or rose-like whereas linalool is lime-like. Experimental and control odors
112 were rated with regard to perceived intensity (1=not at all, 7=very intense) and
113 pleasantness (1=not at all, 7=very pleasant). Statistical *t*-tests indicated no
114 significant differences in perceived intensity between the control ($mean=4.33$,
115 $SD=1.62$) and experimental odors ($mean=4.7$, $SD=1.46$), $t(14) = -1.04$, $p > 0.05$,
116 or between control ($mean=4.77$, $SD=1.0$) and experimental odors ($mean=4.93$,
117 $SD=1.2$), $t(14) = -0.47$, $p > 0.05$ in perceived pleasantness.

118 For the fMRI-session a custom-made olfactometer was used, and odors were
119 presented intranasally through a Teflon™ tubing (inner diameter 4 mm). To avoid
120 mechanical stimulation, odors were embedded in a constant flow of odorless
121 humidified air.

122 Verbal stimuli: For verbal stimuli the respective verbal references for the
123 presented odors were chosen. Because González et al. (2006) reported that
124 exposure to verbal semantic odor information alone activates piriform cortex,
125 amygdala and insula the respective names for the control odors (i.e., rose, citrus)
126 were used as control for the verbal stimuli. In the scanner, the odor name was
127 presented as a lower case white word on a black background. The projection was
128 made on a semitransparent MRI-room compatible blackboard.

129 In both conditions, the participants were exposed to the same frame rate, 20 s
130 black screen (On-block), empty in the odor condition and with a word in the
131 verbal stimulus, and a 20 s gray screen (Off-block).
132

133 2.3. Preselection session

134 In the pre-selection phase participants were exposed to 20 olfactory cues (see
135 above) and asked to relate any autobiographical memory for the given cue. Thirty
136 sec/odor was allowed for retrieval. In instances when a memory was evoked, the

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10465018>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10465018>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)