



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Psychosomatic Research



Short communication

## Differences in illness perceptions between patients with non-epileptic seizures and functional limb weakness

Lea Ludwig<sup>a</sup>, Kimberley Whitehead<sup>b</sup>, Michael Sharpe<sup>c</sup>, Markus Reuber<sup>d</sup>, Jon Stone<sup>a,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> Dept of Clinical Neurosciences, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK<sup>b</sup> Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, UK<sup>c</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK<sup>d</sup> Academic Neurology Unit, University of Sheffield Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 31 March 2015

Received in revised form 11 May 2015

Accepted 13 May 2015

Available online xxxx

## Keywords:

Psychogenic non-epileptic seizures

Functional weakness

Conversion disorder

Epilepsy

Illness perception

Neurology

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** Illness perceptions play an important role in the onset and maintenance of symptoms in functional neurological symptom disorder (conversion disorder). There has, however, been little work examining differences between subtypes of this disorder. We therefore aimed to compare illness perceptions of patients with non-epileptic seizures (NES) and those with functional weakness (FW) with matching neurological disease controls to examine their specificity.

**Methods:** The Illness Perception Questionnaire Revised (IPQ-R) was completed by patients with functional limb weakness, non-epileptic seizures and patients with neurological disease causing limb weakness and epilepsy in two separate case control studies.

**Results:** Patients with FW (n=107), NES (n=40), Epilepsy (n=34) and neurological disease causing limb weakness (NDLW) (n=46) were included in the analysis. Both FW and NES patients reported a low level of personal control, understanding of their symptoms and a tendency to reject a psychological causation of their symptoms. However NES patients rejected psychological causes less strongly than FW patients ( $P<.01$ ). Patients with NES were also more likely to consider their treatment to be more effective ( $P<.01$ ). None of these differences appeared in a similar comparison between patients with epilepsy and patients with NDLW.

**Conclusion:** Although patients with NES tended, as a group, to reject psychological factors as relevant to their symptoms, they did so less strongly than patients with functional limb weakness in these cohorts. This has implications for both the way in which these symptoms are grouped together but also the way in which treatment is approached.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Illness perceptions are considered to have an important impact on outcome in functional neurological symptom disorder (FNSD/conversion disorder) [1,2]. Compared with patients with similarly disabling neurological diseases, such as multiple sclerosis or epilepsy, patients with functional limb weakness and non-epileptic seizures typically have quite similar illness perceptions regarding the impact of their symptoms but are less likely to endorse psychological factors as causes [3–5].

Symptoms of seizures and weakness have always been grouped together within DSM conversion disorder because: 1) both present to neurological services, 2) they co-exist in the same patient much more often than chance, 3) there are patients who have intermediate forms of the disorders (e.g. paroxysmal movement disorders that could also

be considered 'seizures' or patients whose NES leave them with more persistent functional limb weakness), and 4) both presentations have traditionally been conceptualised to share a similar etiological (psychogenic, conversion) explanation. However, data comparing these two groups are scarce [6–9] and no previous studies have focused on illness perceptions.

We therefore aimed to compare the illness perceptions of two well-characterised cohorts of patients with NES and FW. Additional disease controls with matching symptoms (patients with weakness caused by neurological disease or with epilepsy) allowed us to investigate whether any differences between groups related specifically to conversion disorder rather than more generally to the experience of having attacks or limb weakness. Data from these two cohorts have previously been analysed to explore differences between patients with functional and neurological limb weakness [4], patients with non-epileptic seizures and their doctors [5], and between relatives of patients with functional symptoms or symptoms of neurological disease [10]. However, none of the previous studies have examined differences between the two different functional symptom groups and the specificity of these.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 131 5371167.

E-mail addresses: Lea.Ludwig@posteo.de (L. Ludwig),

Kimberley.Whitehead@uclh.nhs.uk (K. Whitehead), Michael.sharpe@psych.ox.ac.uk (M. Sharpe), m.reuber@sheffield.ac.uk (M. Reuber), Jon.Stone@ed.ac.uk (J. Stone).

## Methods

### Recruitment

Patients were prospectively and consecutively identified from Clinical Neurology departments with the following diagnoses: functional weakness of a limb (FW), neurological disease causing limb weakness, (NDLW) (Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Edinburgh [4]), epilepsy (EP) or non-epileptic seizures (NES) (diagnosed on the basis of video EEG recordings of typical seizures conforming to a 'documented' level of diagnostic certainty, Department Clinical Neurology, Sheffield) [5,11]. Inclusion criteria were: symptom onset within last two years (FW and NDLW only since the recruitment protocol for NES did not specify a duration); not "partly functional/partly organic diagnosis"/not mixed epileptic and non-epileptic seizures (ES and NES only); age over 16, able to read English. Patients completed the Illness Perception Questionnaire-Revised (IPQ-R) [12] and the Hospital and Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS) [13] after seeing a consultant neurologist but prior to being seen by the research fellow for an assessment (FW and NDLW) or, in the case of epilepsy and NES, before the outcome of the video-EEG recording had been discussed with them.

Further details of recruitment and other clinical and self-report data can be found elsewhere [4,5]. Ethical approval was provided by the Lothian Research Ethics Committee (FW) and Sheffield Research Ethics Committee (NES).

### Measures and analysis

The IPQ-R is a 38-item self-report questionnaire in order to assess patients' illness perceptions [12]. Eight subscales are rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree (for a detailed description please see below, Table 2). We excluded the Identity subscale, a list of physical symptoms, as it was not collected in the seizure samples. Additionally, a list of 18 possible "causes" were grouped [14] into psychological/emotional (items 1,9–12, and 17; "stress", "my mental attitude", "family problems", "overwork", "my emotional state" and "my personality") (Cronbach's  $\alpha = .864$ ) and non-psychological (items 2–8, 13–16, and 18; e.g. "hereditary", "germ or virus", "poor medical care in the past", "accident or injury").

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [13] is a widely used and validated measure of anxiety and depression in patients with physical symptoms.

We used SPSS Statistics 21 to compare the functional groups (NES vs FW) and the neurological groups (EP vs NDLW) on age, gender, HADS score, and IPQ-R data. We used t-tests where data was normally distributed (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test) or non-parametric Mann–Whitney U tests where it was not. Because of multiple testing, we conservatively

interpreted two-sided *P*-values of  $\leq .01$  as significant. We calculated Cohen's *d* effect sizes for significant differences.

## Results

We recruited 107 patients with FW, 46 with NDLW (commonest diagnoses multiple sclerosis ( $n = 27$ ), Guillain–Barré syndrome ( $n = 4$ ) and others [4]), 40 with NES and 34 with epilepsy. There were no age or gender differences between FW and NES groups (Table 1). Symptom duration in the NES and epilepsy groups was significantly longer compared to the FW and NDLW groups in keeping with different recruitment protocols at the two sites. There were no significant differences in anxiety or depression scores between patients with FW and NES or between NDLW and epilepsy.

The IPQ-R results for all four groups are shown in Table 2. Although both NES and FW groups tended to reject psychological explanations, NES patients rejected them less strongly than FW patients ( $P < .01$ , effect size  $d = 0.49$ , medium effect). This was also true for the single item asking whether 'stress' was a possible cause of their illness ( $P < .01$ , effect size  $d = 0.56$ , medium effect). This item, together with the other 'psychological causation' items is shown in Fig. 1 for the FW and NES cohorts.

Although both groups agreed that the conditions had major consequences on their lives, FW patients reported a relatively lower effect of the condition on themselves and their families, compared to patients with NES ( $P < .01$ , effect size  $d = 0.44$ , small effect).

NES patients also considered the effectiveness of treatment to be higher than FW patients ( $P < .01$ ,  $d = 0.52$ , medium effect).

There were no differences between patients with FW and NES in terms of their perception of the cyclical nature or the long duration of their disorders (Timeline), personal control over their condition (Personal control) understanding of their condition (Illness coherence) or the perceived emotional impact (Emotional representation).

Patients with epilepsy estimated the degree of personal control as significantly lower than patients with NDLW ( $P < .001$ , effect size  $d = 0.84$ , large effect). No other differences were found between epilepsy and NDLW.

## Discussion

Several previous studies have shown that patients with both NES [3, 5] and FW [4] tend to reject psychological factors as potentially causal factors [15,16]. Reasons for this may include the stigma of associated psychological factors when there is no validating disease label and a possible tendency of some patients to deny or not to be able to perceive psychological factors of potential relevance [17,18]. However, the data here suggests that of these two patient groups, those with FW are even more reluctant to endorse psychological factors than those with NES.

Previous studies comparing these two subgroups of patients have examined demographics, psychiatric comorbidity, life events and a history of abuse but not illness perceptions [6–9]. Three studies have shown that NES patients are on average younger at onset [6–8] and one highlighted a higher female percentage in NES [8] (whereas we found no significant difference). Two found higher rates of previous abuse and stressful life events in NES vs FW patients [6,7], but none have shown convincing differences in the frequency of psychiatric comorbidity. We have not found prior studies comparing illness perceptions of patients with a motor disorder such as stroke and epilepsy.

**Table 1**  
Demographic and HADS scores in all four groups

Overall condition	Functional neurological disorders			Neurological disorders		
	Non-epileptic seizures (NES) (n = 40)	Functional weakness (FW) (n = 107)	Two sided <i>P</i> -value	Epilepsy (n = 34)	Neurological weakness (NDLW) (n = 46)	Two sided <i>P</i> -value
Age (years, mean, range) <sup>a</sup>	37.0 (18–66)	39.1 (17–67)	.41	33.2 (17–64)	39.3 (18–63)	.02
% female <sup>b</sup>	62.5%	79.4%	.053	79.4%	82.6%	.717
Median duration of primary symptom (months, range) <sup>c</sup>	24 (0–504)	9 (7–27) <sup>e</sup>	<.001	108 (12–456)	11 (1–30) <sup>e</sup>	<.001
Mean (s.d.) HADS anxiety score <sup>a,d</sup>	10.2 (5.6)	8.6 (5.3)	.141	7.9 (4.1)	7.2 (4.7)	.500
Mean (s.d.) HADS depression score <sup>a,d</sup>	7.8 (5)	7.6 (5.1)	.843	5.5 (3.5)	5.8 (4.4)	.765

<sup>a</sup> t-Test.

<sup>b</sup> Pearson's chi-squared test.

<sup>c</sup> Mann–Whitney U test.

<sup>d</sup> HADS data missing for FW  $n = 11$ , NES  $n = 6$ , NDLW  $n = 6$ .

<sup>e</sup> FW ( $n = 2$ , 25 + 27 months) and NDLW ( $n = 1$ , 30 months) had weakness duration over 24 months.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10469136>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10469136>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)