

Accepted Manuscript

Institutional Investors and Stock Return Anomalies

Roger M. Edelen , Ozgur S. Ince , Gregory B. Kadlec

PII: S0304-405X(16)00003-9
DOI: [10.1016/j.jfineco.2016.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2016.01.002)
Reference: FINEC 2593

To appear in: *Journal of Financial Economics*

Received date: 5 May 2014
Revised date: 4 February 2015
Accepted date: 11 May 2015

Please cite this article as: Roger M. Edelen , Ozgur S. Ince , Gregory B. Kadlec , Institutional Investors and Stock Return Anomalies, *Journal of Financial Economics* (2016), doi: [10.1016/j.jfineco.2016.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2016.01.002)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Institutional Investors and Stock Return Anomalies[#]

Roger M. Edelen^a

Ozgur S. Ince^{b*}

Gregory B. Kadlec^c

May 18, 2015

Abstract

We examine institutional demand prior to well-known stock return anomalies and find that institutions have a strong tendency to buy stocks classified as overvalued (short leg of anomaly), and that these stocks have particularly negative ex post abnormal returns. Our results differ from numerous studies documenting a positive relation between institutional demand and future returns. We trace the difference to measurement horizon. We too find a positive relation at a quarterly horizon. However, the relation turns strongly negative at the one-year horizon used in anomaly studies. We consider several explanations for institutions' tendency to trade contrary to anomaly prescriptions. Our evidence largely rules out explanations based on flow and limits-of-arbitrage, but is more consistent with agency-induced preferences for stock characteristics that relate to poor long-run performance.

JEL Classification: G12; G14; G23

Keywords: investor base, limits-of-arbitrage, mispricing, herding, trading strategies

[#] We thank our referee for extremely helpful suggestions, and Roberto Gutierrez, Richard Evans, David McLean, Patrick Roger, Vijay Singal, Lu Zheng, participants at the 2012 Financial Research Association Conference, 2013 Frontiers of Finance Conference, 2013 Chicago Quantitative Alliance Conference, 2014 European Finance Association Meetings, 2014 Luxembourg Asset Management Summit, 2014 Paris Finance Meetings, the 2015 American Finance Association Meetings, and the 2015 Napa Conference; the University of Auckland; Baruch; New South Wales; Oregon; South Carolina; Sydney; and Claremont McKenna College for their valuable comments. Errors are our responsibility.

^a University of California, Davis Graduate School of Management. Email: rmedelen@ucdavis.edu.

^b University of South Carolina, email: Ozgur.Ince@moore.sc.edu.

* Corresponding author.

^c Virginia Tech, email: kadlec@vt.edu.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10475821>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10475821>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)