

Accepted Manuscript

Exercising control when confronted by a (Brownian) spider

Philip Ernst

PII: S0167-6377(16)30028-1

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.orl.2016.05.001>

Reference: OPERES 6093

To appear in: *Operations Research Letters*

Received date: 21 August 2015

Revised date: 6 May 2016

Accepted date: 6 May 2016



Please cite this article as: P. Ernst, Exercising control when confronted by a (Brownian) spider, *Operations Research Letters* (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.orl.2016.05.001>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Exercising Control When Confronted by a (Brownian) Spider

Philip Ernst^{1,*}

Abstract

We consider the Brownian “spider,” a construct introduced in [4] and in [1]. In this note, the author proves the “spider” bounds by using the dynamic programming strategy of guessing the optimal reward function and subsequently establishing its optimality by proving its excessiveness.

Keywords: Dynamic programming, martingales, stochastic optimization, Brownian motion

2010 MSC: Primary: 90C39, Secondary: 90C15

In memory of my mentor, Professor Larry Shepp (1936-2013)

1. Introduction

In this note, we consider the Brownian “spider,” a process also known as the “Walsh” Brownian motion, due to [4] and [1]. The Brownian spider is constructed as a set of $n \geq 1$ half-lines, or “ribs,” meeting at a common point, O . A Brownian motion on a spider starting at zero may be constructed from a standard reflecting Brownian motion $(|W_t|, t \geq 0)$ by assigning an integer $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ uniformly and independently to each excursion which is then transferred to an excursion on rib i (here, i should be interpreted as the index of the rib on which the next excursion occurs). It is helpful to think about the Brownian spider as a bivariate process; the first coordinate of the process is reflecting Brownian motion and the second coordinate of the process is the rib index. Formally, we define the Brownian spider process Z_t as

$$Z_t = (|W_t|, R_t), t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

where $|W_t|$ is reflected Brownian motion and R_t is the rib on which the process is located at time t . $|W_t|$ can be decomposed into excursions away from 0 with endpoints t_k s.t. $|W_{t_k}| = 0$. R_t is constant between t_k and t_{k+1} for all i , and $R_t = i$ means the excursion occurs on the rib i . We define the supremum of reflected Brownian motion on each rib as

$$S_i(t) = \sup_{\{t: R_t=i\}} |W_t|, t \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

^{*}Submitted August 17, 2015

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: philip.ernst@rice.edu

¹Department of Statistics, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10523896>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10523896>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)