Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro)

Statistics and Probability Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro

Precise large deviations of aggregate claims in a risk model with regression-type size-dependence^{$\dot{\phi}$}

Xiuchun Bi, Shuguang Zhang [∗](#page-0-1)

Department of Statistics and Finance, School of Management, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, PR China

a r t i c l e i n f o

Article history: Received 8 January 2013 Received in revised form 9 June 2013 Accepted 9 June 2013 Available online 19 June 2013

MSC: 62P05 62E05 60K30 60K05

Keywords: Aggregate claims Web Markov skeleton process Consistent variation Dependence Large deviations

1. Introduction

Throughout this note, let Y_n , $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ and T_n , $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ be claim sizes and inter-arrival times, respectively. We assume that Y_n , $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ form a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables, and that T_n , $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ form a sequence of identically distributed nonnegative random variables, but not necessarily independent. Denote by *Y* and *T* the generic random variables of them, respectively. The claim arrival times are $\tau_n = \sum_{k=1}^n T_k$, $n \ge 1$, with $\tau_0 = 0$. Then the number of claims by time $t \ge 0$ is $N_t = \sup_n \{n \ge 1 : \tau_n \le t\}$, which forms a generalized renewal counting process. In this way, the aggregate amount of claims is of the form

$$
S_t = \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} Y_k, \quad S_0 = Y_0 = 0, \ t \ge 0. \tag{1.1}
$$

If T_n , $n \geq 1$ are mutually independent and independent of $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$, then we obtain the standard renewal risk model, which has been playing a fundamental role in classical and modern risk theory since it was introduced by Sparre Andersen in the middle of the last century. If (Y_n, T_n) , $n \ge 1$ are i.i.d. copies of (Y, T) , with dependent components *Y* and *T*, then

∗ Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 551 63607294. *E-mail addresses:* xcbi@mail.ustc.edu.cn (X. Bi), [sgzhang@ustc.edu.cn,](mailto:sgzhang@ustc.edu.cn) bxcustc@gmail.com (S. Zhang).

A B S T R A C T

In this note, we further extend the renewal risk model and introduce a more practical regression-type dependence structure between inter-arrival times and claim sizes, which is described by the framework of a web Markov skeleton process. We investigate large deviations of the aggregate claims, and, for a case of heavy-tailed claims, we obtain a precise large-deviation formula of the aggregate claims under some assumption about regressiontype size-dependence, which is consistent with existing ones in the literature.

Crown Copyright © 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

CrossMark

 \overrightarrow{x} Supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (Project No. 973-2007CB814901).

^{0167-7152/\$ –} see front matter Crown Copyright © 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2013.06.009>

we obtain the non-standard renewal risk model, which was first proposed by [Albrecher](#page--1-0) [and](#page--1-0) [Teugels](#page--1-0) [\(2006\)](#page--1-0) and further studied by [Boudreault](#page--1-1) [et al.](#page--1-1) [\(2006\)](#page--1-1), [Cossette](#page--1-2) [et al.](#page--1-2) [\(2008\)](#page--1-2), and [Badescu](#page--1-3) [et al.](#page--1-3) [\(2009\)](#page--1-3), among many others. Recently, [Asimit](#page--1-4) [and](#page--1-4) [Badescu](#page--1-4) [\(2010\)](#page--1-4) introduced a general dependence structure for (*Y*, *T*), via the conditional tail probability of *Y* given *T* ; see also [Li](#page--1-5) [et al.](#page--1-5) [\(2010\)](#page--1-5). In particular, [Chen](#page--1-6) [and](#page--1-6) [Yuen](#page--1-6) [\(2012\)](#page--1-6) considered the conditional tail probability of *T* given *Y*, and, under the assumption that there is a random variable $T^* > 0$ such that

$$
\Pr(T > t | Y > x) \le \Pr(T^* > t) \tag{1.2}
$$

holds for $t > 0$ and x large enough, they studied the large deviations of the aggregate amount of heavy-tailed claim sizes and obtained a precise large-deviation formula.

 $Pr(T > t|Y > x)$ means that the waiting time for a large claim is dependent on the claim size. But, practically, the waiting time for a large claim depends not only on the next claim size, but also on the previous claim sizes, which has not been studied yet.

Recently, a new class of stochastic processes, called web Markov skeleton processes (WMSPs for short), arising from information retrieval on the Web, has been found to be very useful in various natural and social sciences, such as finance, queueing theory, insurance, and other related fields. See [Liu](#page--1-7) [et al.](#page--1-7) [\(2011](#page--1-7)[,](#page--1-8) [2012\)](#page--1-8) for the notion of WMSPs.

Intuitively, a WMSP is a jump process and also a Markov skeleton process such that, for the given information of its skeleton, the time slots between jumps are conditionally independent of each other. The dynamics of a WMSP can be described as follows:

$$
X_0 \stackrel{\tilde{T}_0}{\rightarrow} X_1 \stackrel{\tilde{T}_1}{\rightarrow} \cdots X_n \stackrel{\tilde{T}_n}{\rightarrow} \cdots , \tag{1.3}
$$

where $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain, and $\{\tilde{T}_n, n \geq 0\}$ is the set of time slots between adjacent jumps.

The framework of WMSP covers various classes of processes, for instance, discrete-time Markov chains, timehomogeneous continuous-time Markov processes (*Q*-processes), semi-Markov processes, and so on. It also contains some new classes of processes, which are important either for applications or theoretical study. In particular, if a WMSP satisfies

$$
\Pr(\tilde{T}_n \le t \,|\, \mathcal{F}^X) = \Pr(\tilde{T}_n \le t \,| X_n, X_{n+1}), \quad \forall \; n \ge 0, t \ge 0,
$$
\n
$$
(1.4)
$$

we call it a semi-Markov process, where \mathcal{F}^X is generated by $X = \{X_n, n \geq 0\}.$

Motivated by [\(1.4\),](#page-1-0) we consider the aggregate amount of claims [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2) with the following dependence structure between ${Y_n, n \geq 1}$ and ${T_n, n \geq 1}$:

$$
\mathbf{DS}: \Pr(T_n > t | \mathcal{F}^Y) = \Pr(T_n > t | Y_n, Y_{n-1}), \quad \forall \ n \ge 2, \\
\Pr(T_1 > t | \mathcal{F}^Y) = \Pr(T_1 > t | Y_1), \tag{1.5}
$$

where \mathcal{F}^Y is generated by {Y_n, $n\geq 1$ }. Equipped with other modeling factors (such as initial surplus, premium incomes, etc.) and incorporated with some economic factors (such as interests, dividends, taxes, returns on investments, etc.), this model provides a good mechanism to describe a non-life insurance business, e.g., automobile insurance. Furthermore, it allows applications in various areas.

The precise large deviation of random sums has been extensively investigated in many literatures since it was initiated by [Klüppelberg](#page--1-9) [and](#page--1-9) [Mikosch](#page--1-9) [\(1997\)](#page--1-9), for example, [Ng](#page--1-10) [et al.](#page--1-10) [\(2003,](#page--1-10) [2004\),](#page--1-11) [Kaas](#page--1-12) [and](#page--1-12) [Tang](#page--1-12) [\(2005\)](#page--1-12), [Wang](#page--1-13) [and](#page--1-13) [Wang](#page--1-13) [\(2007\)](#page--1-13), [Lin](#page--1-14) [\(2008\)](#page--1-15), Baltrūnas [et al.](#page--1-5) (2008), [Liu](#page--1-16) [\(2009\)](#page--1-16), [Li](#page--1-5) et al. [\(2010\)](#page--1-5) and [Chen](#page--1-6) [and](#page--1-6) [Yuen](#page--1-6) [\(2012\)](#page--1-6), among many others. But the corresponding result under the above-mentioned dependence structure has not been considered in the literature. In this note, we will study the precise large deviation of (1.1) under dependence structure (1.5) .

Here is a brief outline of this note. Section [2](#page-1-2) gives the main result after some preliminaries. Section [3](#page--1-17) gives some lemmas, and Section [4](#page--1-18) presents the proofs of the main result.

2. Preliminaries and main result

For convenience, we introduce the following notation that is used throughout this paper.

• *For two positive functions a*(*x*) *and b*(*x*), *we write*

$$
a(x) \sim b(x) \quad \text{if } \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{a(x)}{b(x)} = 1,
$$

$$
a(x) \lesssim b(x) \quad \text{if } \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{a(x)}{b(x)} \leq 1.
$$

• For two positive bivariate functions $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot,\cdot)$, we say that $a(x, t) \lesssim b(x, t)$, as $t \to \infty$, holds uniformly in $x \in \Delta_t \neq \emptyset$ *if*

$$
\limsup_{t\to\infty}\sup_{x\in\varDelta_t}\frac{a(x,t)}{b(x,t)}\leq 1.
$$

• For a distribution function $F(x)$ with finite mean $\mu > 0$, set $\overline{F}(x) \equiv 1 - F(x)$ as its corresponding survival function.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10525793>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10525793>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)