Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro)

# Statistics and Probability Letters

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro)

# A note on the stochastic properties of a scale change random effects model<sup>☆</sup>



<span id="page-0-1"></span>a *School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>b</sup> *College of Sciences, Hebei University of Science and Technology, Shijiazhuang 050018, China*

<span id="page-0-3"></span>c *School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China*

<span id="page-0-5"></span><sup>d</sup> *Dongling School of Economics and Management, University of Science and Technology of Beijing, Beijing 100083, China*

### a r t i c l e i n f o

*Article history:* Received 21 November 2012 Received in revised form 25 June 2013 Accepted 26 June 2013 Available online 1 July 2013

*MSC:*

primary 60E15 secondary 60K10 62N05

*Keywords:* Aging classes Dependence properties Scale change model Stochastic orders

#### **1. Introduction**

## a b s t r a c t

The scale change model in survival analysis incorporates unobserved heterogeneity through a frailty that enters the baseline hazard function to change the time scale. In this paper we examine the stochastic properties of the mixtures of scale change model and build dependence between the overall population variable and the frailty variable. We also carry out stochastic comparisons between overall population variables when their respective frailty or baseline variables are ordered in the sense of various stochastic orders. Finally, we demonstrate how the variation of the baseline variable has an effect on the model. © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Many populations encountered in survival analysis are often not homogeneous. Individuals differ in their susceptibility to causes of death, response to treatment and influence of various risk factors. Ignoring this heterogeneity can result in misleading conclusions. To deal with these problems, the proportional hazard frailty model was introduced. The frailty variable *Z* represents genetic and environmental influence, risk factors and susceptibilities for each individual. Given that  $Z = z$ , the hazard rate for an individual takes the form

 $h(t|z) = zh_0(t)$ 

under proportional hazard frailty model, where  $h_0(t)$  is a baseline hazard rate function, the same for all individuals (cf. [Vaupel](#page--1-0) [et al.](#page--1-0) [\(1979\)](#page--1-0)).

As an alternative to above model, the scale change model has been developed in survival analysis [\(Louis,](#page--1-1) [1981;](#page--1-1) [Tsiatis,](#page--1-2) [1990;](#page--1-2) [Anderson](#page--1-3) [and](#page--1-3) [Louis,](#page--1-3) [1995\)](#page--1-3). This model incorporates a frailty into baseline hazard function to change the time scale.





CrossMark

<span id="page-0-0"></span> $\hat{\star}$  This work was supported by the Scientific Research Foundation of Hebei University of Science and Technology (XL201256) for the first author, National Natural Science Foundation of China (11001112) and a project funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions for the second author, and National Natural Science Foundation of China (71231001) for the third author.

<span id="page-0-4"></span><sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 51683500332.

*E-mail addresses:* [xlling07@tom.com](mailto:xlling07@tom.com) (X. Ling), [zhaop@lzu.edu.cn,](mailto:zhaop@lzu.edu.cn) [zhaop07@gmail.com](mailto:zhaop07@gmail.com) (P. Zhao), [liping\\_1000@126.com](mailto:liping_1000@126.com) (P. Li).

For this model, given that  $Z = z$ , the survival time T for an individual has a hazard rate function as

$$
h(t|z) = zh_0(zt),\tag{1.1}
$$

where  $h_0(t)$  is a baseline hazard rate function. Another description of this model is

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\overline{F}(t|z) = \overline{G}(zt),\tag{1.2}
$$

where  $\overline{F}(t|z)$  is the survival function of the conditional random variable  $[T|Z=z]$ , and

$$
\overline{G}(t) = \exp\left\{-\int_0^t h_0(x)dx\right\}
$$

is the survival function of baseline random variable denoted as *Y*. The frailty variable is basically considered unobserved and hence the individual level model [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) is unobservable. Therefore, it would be reasonable to consider the corresponding population level. The population survival function, based on [\(1.2\),](#page-1-1) can be written as

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\overline{F}(t) = \mathsf{E}[\overline{G}(Zt)].
$$
\n(1.3)

The overall population hazard rate function  $h(t)$  is then associated with the baseline hazard rate function through the equation

 $h(t) = E[Zh_0(Zt)|T > t],$ 

(see [Anderson](#page--1-3) [and](#page--1-3) [Louis](#page--1-3) [\(1995\)](#page--1-3)).

The present paper focuses on the mixture model in [\(1.3\).](#page-1-2) Section [2](#page-1-3) derives negative dependence property between the frailty variable and the overall population variable and presents a preservation of DLR class of aging distributions. In Section [3,](#page--1-4) we stochastically compare population variables arising from different choices of frailty distributions or baseline distributions. We finally demonstrate how the variation of the baseline variable has an effect on the model in Section [4.](#page--1-5)

Throughout this paper, all random variables under consideration are nonnegative, ''increasing'' and ''decreasing'' mean ''non-decreasing'' and ''non-increasing'', respectively. All expectations and integrals are assumed to exist whenever they appear.

For the sake of completeness, let us recall some stochastic orders and aging notions which will be used in the sequel.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be two absolutely continuous random variables with density functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , distribution functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , survival functions  $\overline{F}_1$  and  $\overline{F}_2$ .  $X_1$  is said to be smaller than  $X_2$  in the

- (i) *likelihood ratio order* (denoted by  $X_1 \leq_{\text{lr}} X_2$ ) if  $f_2(x)/f_1(x)$  is increasing in *x*;
- (ii) *hazard rate order* (denoted by  $X_1 \leq_{hr} X_2$ ) if  $\overline{F}_2(x)/\overline{F}_1(x)$  is increasing in *x*;
- (iii) *reversed hazard rate order* (denoted by  $X_1 \leq_{\text{rh}} X_2$ ) if  $F_2(x)/F_1(x)$  is increasing in *x*;
- (iv) *mean residual order* (denoted by  $X_1 \leq_{m} X_2$ ) if  $E[X_1 x | X_1 \geq x] \leq E[X_2 x | X_2 \geq x]$  for all  $x \geq 0$ ;
- (v) *mean inactivity time order* (denoted by  $X_1 \leq_{\text{mit}} X_2$ ) if  $E[x X_1 | X_1 \leq x] \leq E[x X_2 | X_2 \leq x]$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .

The relationship among above stochastic orders is shown in the following chain (see [Shaked](#page--1-6) [and](#page--1-6) [Shanthikumar](#page--1-6) [\(2007\)](#page--1-6) and [Müller](#page--1-7) [and](#page--1-7) [Stoyan](#page--1-7) [\(2002\)](#page--1-7)):

$$
X_1 \leq_{\text{lr}} X_2 \Longrightarrow X_1 \leq_{\text{hr}} \left[ \leq_{\text{rh}} \right] X_2 \Longrightarrow X_1 \leq_{\text{mrl}} \left[ \leq_{\text{mit}} \right] X_2.
$$

**Definition 1.2** (*[Lai](#page--1-8) [and](#page--1-8) [Xie](#page--1-8) [\(2006\)](#page--1-8) and [Barlow](#page--1-9) [and](#page--1-9) [Proschan](#page--1-9) [\(1981\)](#page--1-9)*)**.** Let *X* be a random variable with distribution function *F* and survival function  $\overline{F}$ . *X* is said to be

- (a) *decreasing likelihood ratio* (denoted by DLR) if  $\log f(x)$  is convex in  $x > 0$ , where  $f(x)$  is the density function of X;
- (b) *decreasing failure rate* (denoted by DFR) if  $\log F(x)$  is convex in  $x > 0$ .

### <span id="page-1-3"></span>**2. Negative dependence and aging properties**

Dependence is of great interest in survival analysis, reliability theory, actuarial science, and many other areas closely related to probability and statistics. It can be seen from [\(1.3\)](#page-1-2) that the overall population variable *T* is linked to the baseline variable *Y* through the frailty variable *Z*. Therefore, the examination of the dependence property between *T* and *Z* can help one have a better understanding of the mechanism of this model. For the sake of convenience, we denote by *g* [*G*,  $\overline{G}$ ], *h* [*H*,  $\overline{H}$ ] and  $f[F, \overline{F}]$  the density (distribution, survival) functions of *Y*, *Z* and *T*, respectively.

Let us recall the strongest negative dependent concept of two random variables, called *negatively likelihood ratio dependent* (see [Nelsen](#page--1-10) [\(1999\)](#page--1-10)). *T* and *Z* are negatively likelihood ratio dependent if their joint density function *f*(*t*, *z*) satisfies

$$
f(t_1, z_1)f(t_2, z_2) - f(t_1, z_2)f(t_2, z_1) \leq 0
$$

for all  $t_2 \geq t_1 \geq 0$  and  $z_2 \geq z_1 \geq 0$ . Recall that a function  $h(x, y) \geq 0$  is TP<sub>2</sub> [RR<sub>2</sub>] (cf. [Karlin](#page--1-11) [\(1968,](#page--1-11) p. 107)) if *h*(*x*<sub>1</sub>, *y*<sub>1</sub>)*h*(*x*<sub>2</sub>, *y*<sub>2</sub>) ≥ [≤]*h*(*x*<sub>1</sub>, *y*<sub>2</sub>)*h*(*x*<sub>2</sub>, *y*<sub>1</sub>) for all *x*<sub>1</sub> ≤ *x*<sub>2</sub> and *y*<sub>1</sub> ≤ *y*<sub>2</sub>.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10525815>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10525815>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)