## ARTICLE IN PRESS

BBAPAP-39143; No. of pages: 10; 4C:

Biochimica et Biophysica Acta xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

### Biochimica et Biophysica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbapap



# The effect of ADF/cofilin and profilin on the dynamics of monomeric actin

Roland Kardos <sup>a,c</sup>, Elisa Nevalainen <sup>b</sup>, Miklós Nyitrai <sup>a,c,d</sup>, Gábor Hild <sup>a,c,\*</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> University of Pécs, Medical School, Department of Biophysics, Szigeti str. 12, Pécs H-7624, Hungary
- <sup>b</sup> University of Helsinki, Department of Veterinary Biosciences, 00014 Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>c</sup> Szentágothai Research Center, Ifjúság str. 34, Pécs H-7624, Hungary
- d Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Office for Subsidized Research Units, Nádor str. 7, Budapest H-1051, Hungary

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### 10 Article history:

- 11 Received 22 December 2012
- 12 Received in revised form 22 May 2013
- 13 Accepted 13 June 2013
- 14 Available online xxxx

#### 18 Keywords:

19 Actin

16

44

46

47

48

49

50 51

52

53 54

55

56 57

58

59

60 61

**Q1**3

- 20 Cofilin
- 21 Profilin22 Conformational dynamics
- 23 Fluorescence
- 24 Calorimetry

#### ABSTRACT

The main goal of the work was to uncover the dynamical changes in actin induced by the binding of cofilin 25 and profilin. The change in the structure and flexibility of the small domain and its function in the thermodynamic stability of the actin monomer were examined with fluorescence spectroscopy and differential scan- 27 ning calorimetry (DSC). The structure around the C-terminus of actin is slightly affected by the presence of 28 cofilin and profilin. Temperature dependent fluorescence resonance energy transfer measurements indicated 29 that both actin binding proteins decreased the flexibility of the protein matrix between the subdomains 1 and 30 2. Time resolved anisotropy decay measurements supported the idea that cofilin and profilin changed similarly the dynamics around the fluorescently labeled Cys-374 and Lys-61 residues in subdomains 1 and 2, re- 32 spectively. DSC experiments indicated that the thermodynamic stability of actin increased by cofilin and 33 decreased in the presence of profilin. Based on the information obtained it is possible to conclude that 34 while the small domain of actin acts uniformly in the presence of cofilin and profilin the overall stability of 35 actin changes differently in the presence of the studied actin binding proteins. The results support the idea 36 that the small domain of actin behaves as a rigid unit during the opening and closing of the nucleotide bind- 37 ing pocket in the presence of profilin and cofilin as well. The structural arrangement of the nucleotide binding 38 cleft mainly influences the global stability of actin while the dynamics of the different segments can change 39 autonomously.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. 41

#### 1. Introduction

It is widely accepted that the function of proteins depends on their conformational and dynamic properties in a certain physico-chemical environment. The conformational heterogeneity of proteins is directly correlated with their flexibility. The concept of flexibility involves intra-molecular motions from picosecond-scale thermal fluctuations to millisecond-scale (or slower) conformational transitions [1]. There is a strong coupling between the flexibility, stability and function of the proteins. The higher flexibility is generally the consequence of a less stable protein structure that can be often related to increased biological activity [2].

The dramatic change in the actin dynamics can be recognized when actin is under the influence of intracellular effectors such as actin binding proteins [3–11]. Based on structural information the actin monomers are composed of a small and a large domain with a bound nucleotide and a divalent cation inserted between them [12].

1570-9639/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2013.06.006 The small domain contains subdomains 1 and 2 while the large do-62 main is built up from subdomains 3 and 4. Comparing different 63 actin structures revealed that actin is composed of rigid cores and 64 variable conformational regions [13]. The rigid core of the large do-65 main is shared by subdomains 3 and 4 while the rigid core of the 66 small domain is restricted exclusively to subdomain 1. This arrange-67 ment of actin suggests that the rigid cores of the two main domains 68 can move relative to each other through a shear region while the 69 subdomain 2 can rotate independently [13]. Based on studies of intra-70 molecular dynamics the limited dynamical heterogeneity of the core 71 regions is very similar in the different states (G- and F-actin) and or-72 igin (i.e. yeast and skeletal) of actin while the variability of the flexible regions is highly pronounced [14,15]. The flexible regions involve 74 segments participating in the interaction of actin protomers and in 75 the docking area of actin binding proteins [14–16].

The intracellular organization of the actin cytoskeleton is regulated 77 by a large number of actin binding proteins (ABP). The functions of 78 the ADF/cofilin family members are highly versatile and complex, 79 which can emphasize their strategic position in organizing intracellular 80 processes. ADF/cofilin enhances the disintegration of the actin filament 81 meshwork via severing the filaments, promoting the dissociation of 82

Please cite this article as: R. Kardos, et al., The effect of ADF/cofilin and profilin on the dynamics of monomeric actin, Biochim. Biophys. Acta (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2013.06.006

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: University of Pécs, Medical School, Department of Biophysics, Szigeti str. 12, Pécs H-7624, Hungary. Tel.: +36 72 536 267; fax: +36 72 536 261. E-mail address: gabor.hild@aok.pte.hu (G. Hild).

83 84

85 86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

gg

100

101

102

103

104 105

106

107

108

109

112

113 114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123 124

125

126

127

128 129

130

131

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

actin subunits at the pointed end and decreasing the rate of nucleotide exchange on actin monomers [17–19]. The members of ADF/cofilin family act on actin in a concentration dependent manner as their function of severing and filament nucleation is emphasized at different ADF/cofilin levels [20]. ADF/cofilin severing activity is more pronounced at the boundaries between decorated and non-decorated sections of actin filaments [21,22] and their binding to F-actin can accelerate the release of inorganic phosphate from the filament [23]. ADF/cofilins can induce a steady regime in the filament length fluctuation and can maintain the actin filaments in a very dynamical state [24]. Members of the profilin family facilitate the nucleotide exchange on monomeric actin and are capable to maintain a large pool of polymerization competent actin subunits intracellularly [25,26]. Profilin inhibits the formation of new actin seeds while assists in the incorporation of actin monomers at the barbed end in the presence of formins [27–29].

It was confirmed that ADF/cofilin binds to G-actin in a similar fashion like twinfilin-1 [30]. The ADF homology domain interacts with the hydrophobic cleft between the subdomains 1 and 2 on G-actin [31,32]. The nucleotide binding cleft is closed in the complex which can explain the decreased nucleotide exchange rate on actin in the presence of the ADF homology domain [31,33].

Two types of actin–profilin complex (tight and open) were identified based on X-ray crystallography measurements [34,35]. In both crystal structures profilin binds to the barbed end of the actin at the groove between the subdomains 1 and 3. In the open structure the nucleotide binding cleft is more exposed to the solvent which results in a high nucleotide exchange rate on actin. The open form of the complex can be transformed into the tight form via the closure of the nucleotide binding pocket by the rotation of subdomain 2 [34,35]. Molecular dynamics simulation showed that the open structure is thermodynamically unstable in the absence of profilin and removing profilin from the complex results in the closure of the nucleotide binding cleft within 200 ps [36].

Wen and colleagues raised the interesting idea that the subdomains 1 and 2 in actin evolved together to support the allosteric behavior of the small domain. They verified their idea as they found that the subdomains 1 and 2 of actin are forming an integrated unit in the presence of profilin [10]. In the present work the integrated function of the small domain of actin was tested in the presence of cofilin as well. Temperature dependent FRET experiments were applied to obtain information about the dynamics of the small domain in the presence of cofilin or profilin. This special type of FRET measurement was successfully applied before to test the flexibility of the protein matrix between the fluorescent donor–acceptor pairs attached to Lys-61 in subdomain 2 and Cys-374 in subdomain 1 in actin [37,38]. Time resolved anisotropy decay measurements were also performed to support the results of the temperature dependent FRET experiments. Further aim of the present work was to evaluate the influence of cofilin and profilin binding on the thermodynamic stability of actin monomers. The results revealed that subdomains 1 and 2 are forming a unified working unit under the influence of profilin and cofilin as well. The thermodynamic consequence of ABP binding to actin showed that cofilin can increase the global thermodynamic stability of actin while profilin induced an opposite effect.

#### 2. Material and methods

#### 2.1. Protein preparation

Acetone-dried muscle powder was obtained from rabbit skeletal muscle as described earlier by Feuer and colleagues [39]. The calcium bound G-actin was prepared according to the modified method of Spudich and Watt [40,41]. The concentration of the G-actin was determined spectrophotometrically with a Shimadzu UV-2100 spectrophotometer by using the absorption coefficient of 1.11 mg ml $^{-1}$  cm $^{-1}$  at

280 nm [42]. The G-actin was stored in G-buffer at pH 8.0 (4 mM 145 MOPS, 0.2 mM ATP, 0.1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM MEA, and 0.005% NaN<sub>3</sub>).

The plasmid construction of GST-fusion mouse cofilin-1 (MW: 147 15.9 kDa) and His-tagged mouse profilin-1 (MW: 13.5 kDa) were 148 expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) strain and purified as de- 149 scribed previously [33]. The concentration of mouse cofilin and 150 profilin were estimated at 280 nm with a Shimadzu UV-2100 spec- 151 trophotometer by using a calculated absorption coefficient of 1.002 152 and 1.472 mg ml $^{-1}$  cm $^{-1}$ , respectively. The absorption coefficients 153 were determined by ProtParam (http://us.expasy.org/tools) based 154 on amino acid composition of the proteins. Proteins were stored in 155 G-buffer at -80 °C and were used within 2 months.

#### 2.2. Labeling of actin

The labeling of Cys-374 of actin with the donor fluorophore 158 (IAEDANS) was performed as described earlier [43]. The concentration 159 of IAEDANS labeled G-actin was determined spectrophotometrically 160 taking into account the contribution of the label to the absorption at 161 290 nm [42]. The concentration of IAEDANS was calculated at 336 nm 162 using the absorption coefficient of 6100 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> [44]. The labeling 163 ratio was in the range of 60–92%.

157

172

190

192

The acceptor (FITC) was attached to the Lys-61 on G-actin  $^{165}$  according to Miki and colleagues [43]. The concentration of double la-  $^{166}$  beled IAEDANS-FITC-actin was determined by Bradford protein assay  $^{167}$  using unlabeled G-actin as standard [45]. The concentration of FITC  $^{168}$  was estimated spectrophotometrically with the absorption coefficient  $^{169}$  of  $^{74}$ ,500  $^{16}$  cm $^{-1}$  at 493 nm [46]. The extent of FITC labeling was  $^{170}$  in the range of 95–99%.

#### 2.3. Fluorescence quenching

The local environment of the donor (IAEDANS) fluorophore was 173 investigated by quenching the fluorescence intensity with acrylamide 174 in the presence and absence of cofilin and profilin. Fluorescence 175 quenching measurements were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer 176 LS50B spectrofluorometer at 20 °C. The concentration of the labeled 177 actin was 2 µM in G-buffer and the final concentration of cofilin and 178 profilin were adjusted to 10 and 25 µM, respectively. The emission 179 spectrum of IAEDANS labeled actin was measured in the range of 180 365 and 600 nm with the excitation wavelength of 360 nm. The excitation and emission slits were set to 5 nm. The concentration of the 182 acrylamide (O) increased from 0 to 0.5 M and the area under the 183 emission spectrums represented the fluorescence intensity in the calculations. The ratio of the fluorescence intensity in the absence  $(F_0)$  185 and presence (F) of the quencher molecule was plotted against the 186 concentration of the acrylamide. The Stern-Volmer constant  $(K_{SV})$  187 was obtained from the slope of the fitted straight line according to 188 the Stern-Volmer equation [47]:

$$\frac{F_0}{F} = 1 + K_{SV}[Q]. {1}$$

#### 2.4. Fluorescence anisotropy

Steady-state fluorescence anisotropy measurements were applied 193 to determine the affinity of mouse cofilin and profilin to the IAEDANS 194 labeled actin monomers. Fluorescence anisotropy experiments were 195 performed at 20 °C with a Perkin–Elmer LS50B spectrofluorometer 196 equipped with a thermostated cuvette holder. The excitation and 197 emission wavelength were 360 nm and 475 nm, respectively. The excitation and emission slits were set to 5 nm. 2  $\mu$ M IAEDANS G-actin 199 was titrated with the different amount of cofilin and profilin during 200 the measurements.

#### Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10537229

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10537229

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>