



Complexes between linoleate and native or aggregated β -lactoglobulin: Interaction parameters and *in vitro* cytotoxic effect



Solène Le Maux^{a,b,c}, Saïd Bouhallab^{a,b}, Linda Giblin^c, André Brodkorb^c, Thomas Croguennec^{a,b,*}

^aINRA, UMR1253 STLO, 65 rue de Saint Briec, F-35042 Rennes, France

^bAGROCAMPUS OUEST, UMR1253 STLO, 65 rue de Saint Briec, F-35042 Rennes, France

^cTeagasc Food Research Centre, Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 February 2013

Received in revised form 2 April 2013

Accepted 8 May 2013

Available online 17 May 2013

Keywords:

β -Lactoglobulin

Linoleate

Interaction

Aggregation

Cytotoxicity

ABSTRACT

The dairy protein β -lactoglobulin (β lg) is known to form a complex with fatty acids (FA). Due to industrial processing, β lg is often in its non-native form in food products, which can modify the FA/ β lg complex properties. We investigated the interaction of bovine β lg, in selected structural forms (native β lg, a covalent dimer and as nanoparticles), with linoleate (C18:2). Using fluorescence and Isothermal Titration Calorimetry, linoleate was found to bind β lg at two different binding sites. Regardless of the structural state of β lg, association constants remained in the same order of magnitude. However, the stoichiometry increased up to 6-fold for nanoparticles, compared to that of native β lg. The impact of these structural changes on linoleate uptake *in vitro* was measured by cytotoxicity assays on Caco-2 cells. The order of cytotoxicity of linoleate was as follows: free > complexed to dimers > complexed to nanoparticles > complexed to native β lg. Therefore, the *in vitro* cytotoxicity of linoleate could be modulated by altering the state of β lg aggregation, which in turn affects its binding capacity to the FA.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

β -Lactoglobulin (β lg), the major whey protein in bovine milk, is present in a large number of food products. β lg is a member of the lipocalin family, composed of 162 amino acids with a monomeric molecular weight of 18.4 kDa (Braunitzer, Chen, Schrank, & Stangl, 1973). It contains nine β -strands, labelled from A to I, and a three turn α -helices, that are arranged to form a globular protein structure (Creamer, Parry, & Malcolm, 1983; Sawyer & Kontopidis, 2000). Eight antiparallel β -strands are organised in a β -barrel, shaped into a hydrophobic calyx. Under physiological conditions, native β lg exists as a non-covalent dimer/monomer in equilibrium. However, the β lg structure is highly sensitive to processing condi-

tions used in the food industries, especially heat treatments, that are applied during food manufacture to achieve specific food textures or to reduce the microbial load (Considine, Patel, Anema, Singh, & Creamer, 2007; de Wit, 2009). Such treatments denature native β lg, leading to the formation of non-native monomers and aggregates of β lg in food products (de Wit, 2009).

β lg is able to bind to small hydrophobic molecules, such as fatty acids (FA) (Sawyer and Kontopidis 2000), and the formation of such complexes modifies FA digestion (Perez et al., 1992). It has been suggested that native β lg binds to hydrophobic ligands in its internal calyx and on surface binding sites (Wu, Pérez, Puyol, & Sawyer, 1999; Yang et al., 2008). However, FA binding to β lg is sensitive to the physicochemical conditions of the medium. Several studies related the decrease of association constants between β lg and binding FA with a decrease in pH. Indeed, below pH 6.2, the calyx binding site is closed by the EF loop region, decreasing interaction with the hydrophobic components (Ragona et al., 2000). Additionally, Wang, Allen, and Swaisgood (1998) demonstrated that a decrease in the proportion of native β lg dimer increased the β lg affinity constant for the palmitate. A number of studies have assessed the interaction of ligands with heat treated β lg (O'Neill and Kinsella, 1988; Yang et al., 2008). However, these different studies have shown inconsistent changes in the binding constants of such ligands with heat treated β lg compared to the native form. This may be due to the nature of the ligand, or to differences in the applied heat treatments (O'Neill and Kinsella, 1988; Yang et al.,

Abbreviations: α la, α -lactalbumin; β lg, β -lactoglobulin; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; CMC, critical micelle concentration; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium; FA, fatty acid; FAME, fatty acid methyl ester; FBS, foetal bovine serum; GC, gas chromatography; GP-HPLC, gel permeation high performance liquid chromatography; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry; K_a , association constant; LA, linoleic acid; LCFA, long chain fatty acid; n , reaction stoichiometry; NATA, N-acetyl-tryptophanamide; PBS, phosphate buffered saline.

* Corresponding author at: AGROCAMPUS OUEST, UMR1253 STLO, 65 rue de Saint Briec, F-35042 Rennes, France. Tel.: +33 223485927; fax: +33 223485350.

E-mail addresses: Solene.Le.Maux@agrocampus-ouest.fr (S. Le Maux), Saïd.Bouhallab@rennes.inra.fr (S. Bouhallab), Linda.Giblin@teagasc.ie (L. Giblin), Andre.Brodkorb@teagasc.ie (A. Brodkorb), Thomas.Croguennec@agrocampus-ouest.fr (T. Croguennec).

2008). In fact, the aggregates differ in the parts of protein exposed and therefore differ in how they react to heat (de Wit, 2009).

The essential long-chain fatty acid (LCFA) linoleic acid (LA, *cis*-, *cis*-9,12-octadecadienoic acid, *n* = 6, 18:2) constitutes 1–3% (w/w) of the total FA found in bovine milk fat (Jensen, 2002). LA serves as an essential precursor to a number of long chain metabolites (Mantzioris, James, Gibson, & Cleland, 1995; Russo, 2009). Its health benefits include anti-inflammatory effects, improvements in serum lipoprotein profiles and a reduced risk of cardiovascular coronary artery disease (Zhao et al., 2005; Zock & Katan, 1998). Furthermore, LA, at high concentrations, is cytotoxic to cancerous cells *in vitro* (Lu et al., 2010). However, the bioaccessibility of FA is altered according to the structure of the food matrix (Le Maux, Giblin, Croguennec, Bouhallab, & Brodtkorb, 2012; Mu, 2008; Singh, Ye, & Horne, 2009). We previously demonstrated an interaction between the water soluble form of LA, linoleate, and native β lg (Le Maux et al., 2012). This binding alters the cytotoxicity of linoleate by decreasing its transport into the cell.

As β lg is often in a non-native form in food products, the aim of this present work was to determine whether β lg structural forms alter the β lg/linoleate interaction and consequently linoleate cytotoxicity, which is indication of its transport into the cell. Therefore, selected β lg aggregates of controlled size, covalent dimers and nanoparticles, were formed. The binding properties of native β lg, covalent dimers and nanoparticles with linoleate were measured by both isothermal titration calorimetry and intrinsic fluorescence. The cytotoxicity of linoleate either, free in solution or in complexes, was measured for a better understanding of the protein structure impacts on the FA transport.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

β lg (96% purity) was obtained from Davisco Foods International, Inc. (Eden Prairie, Minnesota) and sodium linoleate (purity \geq 98%) from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). All other chemicals and solutions were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich unless stated otherwise.

2.2. Protein sample preparation and characterisation

2.2.1. Formation of β -lactoglobulin dimers and nanoparticles

Covalent dimers of β lg were formed using the protocol reported by Gulzar, Croguennec, Jardin, Piot, and Bouhallab (2009). Briefly, β lg was dissolved in a 5 mM Bis–Tris buffer (pH 6.7), the final protein concentration was 5 g/L. Copper chloride (CuCl_2) was added to the β lg solution, at a Cu^{2+}/β lg molar ratio of 0.6. The solution was heated at 80 °C for 30 min to form covalent dimers, then cooled on ice. Covalent dimers were first dialyzed against 10 mM NaCl (dialysis baths were changed every hour for 4 h) and then against distilled water for 48 h (the water bath was changed twice). Samples were then freeze-dried and stored at –20 °C prior to experiments.

Nanoparticles of β lg were formed according to the method of Schmitt et al. (2009) Briefly, β lg was dissolved in Milli-Q water (Millipore, Carrigtwohill, Ireland), to a final protein concentration of 10 g/L. The pH of the protein solution was adjusted to 5.9 using 1 M HCl, before heating the solution at 85 °C for 15 min, and then rapidly cooling on ice. Samples were dialysed for 48 h against an excess of distilled water, freeze-dried and stored at –20 °C prior to experimental use.

2.2.2. Characterisation of native β -lactoglobulin, covalent dimers and nanoparticles

2.2.2.1. Quantification of β -lactoglobulin concentration in reconstituted solutions. The concentration of native β lg and covalent

dimers (expressed as monomer) were determined by optical density using the extinction coefficient of β lg at 278 nm ($\epsilon_{278} = 0.96 \text{ L/g/cm}$).

For nanoparticles, the concentration of the β lg monomers was quantified on a reduced sample by the Bradford test following the manufacturer's instructions (Sigma–Aldrich). For reduction, 470 μl of the nanoparticle sample (1 mg of powder/ml) was dissolved in phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 0.01 M phosphate buffer, 2.7 mM KCl, 137 mM NaCl, pH 7.4), 5 μl of 10% SDS and 25 μl β -mercaptoethanol, and the mixture was heated at 95 °C for 5 min.

2.2.2.2. Characterisation of β -lactoglobulin samples using gel permeation-HPLC. The proportion of monomers, dimers, oligomers and aggregates in β lg samples were determined by gel permeation-HPLC (GP-HPLC) using a TSK G SW guard column (7.5 \times 7.5 mm, Tosoh Bioscience GmbH, Stuttgart, Germany) and a TSK G2000 SW column (7.5 \times 600 mm, Tosoh Bioscience GmbH) connected to an HPLC system, consisting of a Waters 2695 Separations Module (Waters, Milford, MA) and a Waters 2487 Dual λ Absorbance Detector (Waters) working at 280 nm, using Empower Pro software (Waters), to acquire and analyse data. Solvent with 30% (v/v) acetonitrile (LabScan Analytical Sciences, Dublin, Ireland) and 0.1% (w/v) trifluoroacetic acid in Milli-Q water was used for protein elution at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The molecular-weight of the different molecular entities, in the samples, was determined using a protein molecular-weight standard calibration set (Sigma–Aldrich).

The molecular entities present in each β lg sample were determined as follows: solutions of native β lg, covalent dimers and nanoparticles were prepared at 1 g/L in PBS. Nanoparticle solutions were centrifuged at 12,000 \times g in order to separate the nanoparticles (pellet) from smaller molecular entities (supernatant). Solutions of native β lg, covalent dimers and the supernatant of nanoparticle solutions, were filtered (0.22 μm filter) prior to injection onto the GP-HPLC. The proportions of monomers, dimers and higher size oligomers of β lg were determined from their relative GP-HPLC chromatographic peak area obtained using Apex Track integration, and the sample total chromatographic peak area. The proportion of monomers and aggregates in the nanoparticle samples were determined from their chromatographic peak area in the supernatant of the nanoparticle sample and the total chromatographic area of a solution of native β lg prepared at 1 g/L. The proportion of the different molecular entities for each of the β lg samples (native β lg, covalent dimers and nanoparticles) and of α -lactalbumin (α la, impurity) were calculated. The native β lg sample contains 84.6 \pm 1% monomers, 5.4 \pm 0.5% dimers, 5.4 \pm 0.4% oligomers and 4.6 \pm 0.4% of α la. The covalent dimer sample has 74.4 \pm 3.1% of dimers, 15.5 \pm 1.4% of residual monomers, 6.5 \pm 1.6% of oligomers and 3.6 \pm 0.4% of α la. The nanoparticle sample has 77.6 \pm 1.4% of aggregates and 22.4 \pm 1.4% of monomers.

2.2.2.3. Mean hydrodynamic diameter of nanoparticles. To check the homogeneity of the preparation, the mean hydrodynamic diameter of the aggregates in the nanoparticle sample was measured by dynamic light scattering using a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Malvern, Worcestershire, UK), equipped with a 4 mW helium/neon laser at a wavelength output of 633 nm. Particle sizing was performed at 25 °C at 10 s intervals in a particle-sizing cell, using backscattering technology at a detection angle of 173°. Results were the mean of 13 runs. The intensity of light, scattered from the particles, was used to calculate the mean hydrodynamic diameter (*z*-average mean), based on the Stokes–Einstein equation, assuming the particles to be spherical. The mean hydrodynamic diameter of the aggregates (nanoparticles) was centered around 130 nm (data not shown).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10540233>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10540233>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)