Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Solid State Ionics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ssi

# Unsteady-state permeation and surface exchange of dual-phase membranes

Xuefeng Zhu<sup>\*</sup>, Huanyin Liu, Qiming Li, You Cong, Weishen Yang<sup>\*</sup>

State Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian, China

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 August 2010 Received in revised form 22 December 2010 Accepted 16 January 2011 Available online 12 February 2011

Keywords: Unsteady state Oxygen exchange Dual-phase membrane Porous layer Oxygen permeation

### 1. Introduction

Dense ceramic membrane reactors fabricated from mixed-oxide ionic and electronic conductors are used to separate oxygen from air, convert natural gas to syngas and catalytically oxidize light hydrocarbons [1–4]. Oxygen-permeable membranes can potentially reduce syngas generation costs by over 25% [5] and achieve zero CO<sub>2</sub> emission when integrated with oxyfuel technology in power plants [6]. Although the potential benefits of these membranes have attracted the attention of both scientists and companies, many difficulties still limit the commercial development of this technology. One problem is that few materials can meet commercial process requirements, although many perovskite membranes were successful in producing syngas in laboratories for hundreds or thousands of hours [7–18].

Dual-phase composite ceramic membranes were recently suggested for replacement of single-phase perovskite membranes [19–26]. Dualphase composite membranes made of a noble metal and oxide ionic conductors were first used for oxygen separation [25,26], including Ag-Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Pd-yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ). Recently, ceramic dualphase composite membranes such as Ce<sub>0.8</sub>Gd<sub>0.2</sub>O<sub>1.9</sub>–La<sub>0.7</sub>Sr<sub>0.3</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub> (GDC–LSM) [19], Ce<sub>0.8</sub>Gd<sub>0.2</sub>O<sub>1.9</sub>–La<sub>0.8</sub>Sr<sub>0.2</sub>Co<sub>0.2</sub>Fe<sub>0.8</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (GDC–LSCF) [20], Ce<sub>0.8</sub>Sm<sub>0.2</sub>O<sub>1.9</sub>–La<sub>0.8</sub>Sr<sub>0.2</sub>CrO<sub>3</sub> (SDC–LSCr) [21], Ce<sub>0.8</sub>Gd<sub>0.2</sub>O<sub>1.9</sub>–Gd<sub>0.2</sub>Sr<sub>0.8</sub>-FeO<sub>3</sub> (GDC–GSF) and Ce<sub>0.8</sub>Sm<sub>0.15</sub>O<sub>1.925</sub>–Sm<sub>0.6</sub>Sr<sub>0.4</sub>FeO<sub>3</sub> (SDC–SSF) [22– 24] have been investigated. A significant increase in oxygen permeation flux in the initial stage was observed for the composite materials mentioned above except for GDC–LSM, and it usually took more than 50 h to reach steady-state oxygen permeation [21–24]. The oxygen

# ABSTRACT

Unsteady-state permeation in the initial stage was investigated on ceria-based dual-phase membranes by coating La<sub>0.6</sub>Sr<sub>0.4</sub>CoO<sub>3- $\delta}$ </sub> (LSC) active porous layers onto the surface of membranes. It is found that the unsteady period is greatly influenced by the active porous layer. The membrane with LSC porous layers coated on both sides reaches a steady state immediately while starting the permeation testing. However, the membranes without LSC porous layers coated on one side or both sides need several to tens of hours to achieve the steady state. The active porous layer can improve the oxygen flux and decrease the permeation activation energy, and the membrane with coating on both sides had the highest flux and lowest  $E_{\rm a}$ . In addition, the active porous layer can eliminate oxygen exchange limitations on the membrane surface. The changes of surface microstructures are suggested as the cause of unsteady-state permeation.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

permeation flux at steady state is often higher than the initial value. Similarly, unsteady permeation phenomena were reported on perovskite membranes. Xu and Thomson observed an irreversible increase in oxygen flux during their investigation of  $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$  and attributed the increase to gradual facilitation and movement of an oxygen defect structure from the oxygen-lean side to the oxygen-rich side of the membrane [27]. Kharton et al. observed the unsteady increase of oxygen flux on  $LaCoO_{3-\delta}$  perovskite membrane, and regarded that the long stabilization process (~100 h) is unlikely to be related to slow surface reactions [28]. That is to say, the unsteady permeation for perovskite membranes relates to bulk diffusion process.

In the case of ceria-based dual-phase composite membranes, the mechanism for the increase in oxygen permeation flux with time is still not clear. In the present study we investigated unsteady-state oxygen permeation in the initial stage by coating membrane surfaces with an LSC porous layer. A membrane of 75 wt.%  $Ce_{0.85}Sm_{0.15}O_{1.925}-25$  wt.%  $Sm_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}FeO_3$  (SDC–SSF) was used as a model ceria-based dual-phase composite membrane.

### 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Membrane preparation

The SDC–SSF composite powder was synthesized via a one-pot procedure as previously described [23]. The resulting powder was pressed into disks under pressure of ~200 MPa. The green disks were sintered at 1400 °C for 3 h. All dual-phase composite membrane samples used in oxygen permeation tests had a relative density of  $\geq$ 95%. After being polished to 0.5 mm, the membranes were coated with a porous layer by brushing the LSC slurry on the surfaces of membranes to improve the oxygen surface exchange rate. The slurry was prepared by

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors. Tel.: +86 411 84379073; fax: +86 411 84694447. *E-mail addresses*: zhuxf@dicp.ac.cn (X. Zhu), yangws@dicp.ac.cn (W. Yang). URL: http://www.yanggroup.dicp.ac.cn (W. Yang).

<sup>0167-2738/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ssi.2011.01.005

mixing terpineol and LSC powder at a weight ratio of 1:1. Porous layers were calcined in situ around 950 °C for several hours. The thickness of the porous layers was usually ~20  $\mu$ m and the porosity was larger than 50%, as shown in Fig. 1. Table 1 lists details for SDC–SSF dual-phase membranes with or without LSC porous layers on each side.

## 2.2. Operation and characterization

All the membranes were sealed by silver rings at the melt point of silver. Oxygen permeation experiments were performed in a vertical high-temperature gas permeation cell. The effective inner surface area of the membrane discs was controlled at approximately 0.9 cm<sup>2</sup>. Dried synthesized air (100 ml min<sup>-1</sup>) was used as the feed and high-purity helium was used as purge gas on the other side of the membrane. The effluent was analyzed by gas chromatography on an Agilent 6890 instrument. The oxygen permeation flux through the membrane was calculated based on the flow rate and oxygen concentration of the effluent. The leakage for all permeation experiments was <1%.

The phase structure of the membrane materials was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku D/Max-RB, Cu K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation) in the  $2\theta$  range 20–80° with a step width of 0.02°. The morphology of asprepared and used membranes was observed under a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Quanta 200F).

# a 100 pm

b



Fig. 1. SEM pictures of LSC porous layer on dual-phase membranes.

### Table 1

Situations of SDC–SSF composite membrane with or without LSC porous layers, oxygen permeation energy (Ea) and permeation unsteady period. "+, -" Represent the membrane coated with or without LSC porous layers, respectively.

Samples	LSC porous layers		Unsteady period	Ea
	He side	Air side	(h)	(kJ/mol)
SDC-SSF-I	_	_	40	$117\pm3$
SDC-SSF-II	_	+	18	$91.4\pm0.9$
SDC-SSF-III	+	_	8	$106 \pm 3$
SDC-SSF-IV	+	+	0	$62.1\pm0.8$

### 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Unsteady-state oxygen permeation in the initial stage

It has been reported that oxygen exchange rate can be effectively improved by coating the membrane surface with an LSC porous layer [29]. Fig. 2 shows the dependence of the oxygen permeation flux on time for SDC-SSF dual-phase composite membranes with or without an LSC layer on the membrane surface. The time to reach steady-state oxygen permeation is shown in Table 1. The membrane with LSC porous layers on both surfaces (SDC-SSF-IV) not only had the highest oxygen flux among the four samples, but also reached a steady state immediately. For the other three samples, the oxygen flux increased with time in the initial stage. The increase in oxygen flux was small for SDC-SSF-III with LSC only on the sweeping side, and large for SDC-SSF-I without any LSC. The increment in oxygen permeation flux decreased in the following order: SDC-SSF-I>SDC-SSF-II>SDC-SSF-III>SDC-SSF-IV, which is opposite to the order for the oxygen flux at steady state. In addition, it took longer for SDC-SSF-I to reach a steady state than the other three membranes (Fig. 2, Table 1). From the above results, we can find that the unsteady permeation relates to surface exchange process, and not relates to bulk diffusion. In our experiments, we found that the unsteady period occurred only once. When membranes reached steady-state permeation, helium was replaced by an air flow on the permeation side. After 50 h, the flow was switched back to helium. However, the oxygen flux remained at a steady value. This result differs from the phenomenon reported in the literature [21].

The influence of the operating temperature on the unsteady period is shown in Fig. 3 for membrane SDC–SSF-II. After the membrane was sealed at 963 °C the furnace temperature was decreased to 750 °C, then air and helium were introduced into the permeation cell. Fig. 3 shows the ratio of the oxygen flux at time *t* to that at time  $t_0$  as the temperature was increased in a single continuous experiment. Thus  $t_0$  for 850 and 940 °C corresponds to t=50 h and t=100 h, respectively. The initial permeation flux at each temperature is shown for comparison with the values obtained after reaching a steady state at



**Fig. 2.** Dependence of oxygen permeation fluxes on time at 940 °C of SDC–SSF dualphase membranes with or without LSC porous layers on surfaces. Air flow rate: 100 ml min<sup>-1</sup>; He flow rate: 30 ml min<sup>-1</sup>.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10569266

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10569266

Daneshyari.com