## ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Controlled Release xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



1

4

39

38

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Journal of Controlled Release



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jconrel

### Mucoadhesive intestinal devices for oral delivery of salmon calcitonin

#### $_{\mathbf{Q3}\,\mathbf{Q1}}$ Vivek Gupta $^1$ , Byeong Hee Hwang, JooHee Lee, Aaron C. Anselmo, Nishit Doshi, Samir Mitragotri $^*$

3 Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara 93106, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

5 6 Article history: 7 Received 31 May 2013 Accepted 2 September 2013 8 9 Available online xxxx 10 Keywords: 13 14 Oral Macromolecule 1516 Patch 17 Peroral Intestinal 18Enteric coated capsule 19

#### ABSTRACT

One of the major challenges faced by therapeutic polypeptides remains their invasive route of delivery. Oral ad- 20 ministration offers a potential alternative to injections; however, this route cannot be currently used for peptides 21 due to their limited stability in the stomach and poor permeation across the intestine. Here, we report 22 mucoadhesive devices for oral delivery that are inspired by the design of transdermal patches and demonstrate 23 their capabilities in vivo for salmon calcitonin (sCT). The mucoadhesive devices were fabricated by compressing a 24 polymeric matrix containing carbopol, pectin and sodium carboxymethylcellulose (1:1:2), and were coated on all 25 Q4 sides but one with an impermeable and flexible ethyl cellulose (EC) backing layer. Devices were tested for in vitro 26 dissolution, mucoadhesion to intestinal mucosa and enhancement of drug absorption in vitro (Caco-2 monolayer 27 transport) and *in vivo* in rats. Devices showed steady drug release with  $\approx$  75% cumulative drug released in 5 h. 28 Devices also demonstrated strong mucoadhesion to porcine small intestine to withstand forces up to 100 29 times their own weight. sCT-loaded mucoadhesive devices exhibited delivery of sCT across Caco-2 monolayers 30 and across the intestinal epithelium *in vivo* in rats. A  $\approx$  52-fold (pharmacokinetic) and  $\approx$  44-fold (pharmacolog- 31 ical) enhancement of oral bioavailability was observed with mucoadhesive devices when compared to direct in- 32 testinal injections. Oral delivery of devices in enteric coated capsules resulted in significant bioavailability 33 enhancement. 34

© 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. 35

36

#### 40 1. Introduction

Macromolecules including proteins and polypeptides represent an 41 increasingly significant component of therapeutic drugs [1]. However, 42 these macromolecules must be delivered by injections which often 43 limit their acceptance by patients. While oral delivery offers a highly 44 compliant mode of administering these drugs, its utility is limited by pro-45 46 teolytic degradation in the stomach and intestine and by low permeability of the epithelial barrier [2,3]. Here, we focus on delivery of a 47 representative polypeptide drug, salmon calcitonin (sCT), a 32 amino 48 acid long analog of human calcitonin, which is secreted by parafollicular 49 50cells (C-cells) of thyroid gland in humans and it plays a role in regulating calcium metabolism in tandem with parathyroid hormone to maintain 5152bone mass [4.5].

sCT has long been approved by the U.S. FDA for treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis, Paget's disease, hypercalcemia and bone associated pain conditions [6,7]. Despite its therapeutic efficacy, full therapeutic potential of sCT has not been exploited due to limitations associated with the delivery route. sCT is currently administered primarily by the subcutaneous injections and to an extent by the intranasal route [8]. sCT injections are currently used as a second-line therapy after oral

*E-mail address:* samir@engineering.ucsb.edu (S. Mitragotri).

0168-3659/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2013.09.004

bisphosphonates due to lack of potency [9], in part due to the accep- 60 tance of oral administration; and is only prescribed to patients who de- 61 velop contraindications to oral bisphosphonates. At the same time, oral 62 bisphosphonates have also been associated with increased incidences of 63 esophagitis and other GI disorders [10], which has led FDA to issue a 64 safety alert for the same [11]. Nasal sCT, though painless, suffers from 65 the disadvantages of local irritation after chronic administration. 66

In light of the chronic nature of sCT therapy, oral route is likely to be 67 a highly preferred route of administration. Further, several recent re- 68 ports have demonstrated additional therapeutic benefits associated 69 with oral sCT including chondroprotective effects in osteoarthritis 70 [12,13] and improved glucoregulatory functions [14–17]. Accordingly, 71 several approaches have been tested for facilitating oral absorption of 72 sCT; these approaches make use of formulation additives that either 73 modulate the intestinal environment or target specific intestinal regions 74 with favorable properties (e.g., low residual volume, high absorptive 75 surface area or reduced enzymatic activity) [5]. These strategies make 76 use of: (i) chemical permeation enhancers (CPEs) including 5-SNAC 77 [18], acyl carnitines [19], and other cationic/anionic surfactants 78 [20,21], (ii) encapsulation technologies such as micro- and nanospheres 79 [22-24], (iii) pH-sensitive hydrogels [25-28], (iv) protease inhibitors 80 to protect sCT against enzymatic degradation in intestine [29], and 81 (v) chemical modification of sCT to name a few [30-32]. Most of these 82approaches however have had limited success, and despite showing ini-83 tial promise, have only been marginally successful in clinical studies, 84 mainly because of a less favorable toxicity-efficacy profile. 85

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 805 893 7532; fax: +1 805 893 4731.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Current address: School of Pharmacy, Keck Graduate Institute of Applied Life Sciences, 535 Watson Dr., Claremont 91711, USA.

2

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

V. Gupta et al. / Journal of Controlled Release xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

86 Here, we describe a mucoadhesive, 'transdermal patch-like' system 87 to enable delivery of sCT. Adhesive patch-like delivery systems have long been established for their efficacy in delivering drugs via transder-88 89 mal and buccal routes [33]. However, their efficacy in promoting oral delivery of therapeutics has not been well investigated despite intesti-90 nal mucosa presenting an excellent platform for it. A few literature stud-91ies have reported on the use of mucoadhesive patches for oral delivery 9293 and have demonstrated their principles as well as benefits [34-37]. 94 Here, we report on the use of these systems for delivering sCT.

#### 95 **2. Materials and methods**

#### 96 2.1. Materials

Pharmaceutical grade carbopol-934 was obtained from Lubrizol 97 Advanced Materials Inc. (Cleveland, OH, USA). Sodium carboxy-98 methylcellulose (SCMC), pectin, and ethyl cellulose (EC) were 99 obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Salmon calcitonin 100  $(MW = 3432 \text{ da}, \ge 95\%)$  was obtained from Anaspec, Inc. (Fremont, 101 CA, USA). The transwell Caco-2 system was set up using 24 well BD-102 Biocoat™ HTS Caco-2 assay system (fibrillar collagen coated, 1 µm 103 104 pore size) obtained from BD Biosciences (Bedford, MA, USA). Extraction-free ELISA kit for analysis of salmon calcitonin was 105 obtained from Bachem Americas, Inc. (Torrance, CA, USA). Colori-106 metric assay kit for calcium measurements was obtained from 107 ScienCell Research Laboratories (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Supplies for 108 109 Caco-2 culture, cell viability studies and for confocal imaging were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). All other 110 chemicals used were of analytical grade and were obtained from var-111 ious vendors. 112

#### 113 2.2. Mucoadhesive devices

Polymeric mucoadhesive devices were prepared by direct compres-114 sion of a homogenous mixture of carbopol 934/pectin/SCMC in a dry 115weight ratio of 1:1:2 as demonstrated by Whitehead et al. [34]. Briefly, 116 all weighed polymers were mixed by grinding using a mortar and pes-117 tle. Salmon calcitonin (MW  $\approx$  3432 da) was added to the ground mix-118 ture so as to produce a final sCT concentration in the range of 0.1–10% 119 120 w/w (dry weight %). The sCT loading of 0.1-10% mentioned here was based on the amount of sCT added to the mucoadhesive matrix during 121 fabrication. 110 mg of homogenously powdered mixture was then 122 poured into a 13 mm pellet press (Pike Technologies, Madison, WI, 123 USA), and was compressed under a pressure of 3 tons using a hydraulic 124 125press (Carver Inc., Wabash, IN, USA) for 5 min. This procedure produced a 400 µm thick disk with a typical diameter of 13 mm. Disposable biop-126sy punches (Miltex Inc., Plainsboro, NJ, USA) were used to cut this disk 127 into smaller disks with radii of 1-5 mm. These disks were placed on a 128support and coated on all sides but one using a solution of 5% w/v 129130ethylcellulose (EC, Sigma) in acetone. Acetone was evaporated at 131 room temperature. This procedure produced an EC layer of about 50 µm. 132

#### 133 2.3. Morphology of the devices

Morphology of the mucoadhesive devices was determined by scan-134 ning electron microscopy (SEM). SEM images of the devices were taken 135on an FEI XL40 (Hillsboro, OR, USA) after 90 s of palladium sputter coat-136ing. The devices were imaged at 10 kV acceleration voltage at a 5 mm 137 working distance for images over  $100 \times$  magnification and at 50 mm 138 working distance for images under 20× magnification. SEM images 139were taken for both the top and bottom surfaces, and also for the 140 141 ethyl cellulose coated sides of the devices.

#### 2.4. Adhesion force measurements

To determine the adhesive forces exerted by the mucoadhesive de- 143 vices on the intestinal mucosa, in vitro experiments were performed 144 using porcine small intestine obtained from Lampire Biologicals Inc. 145 (Pipersville, PA, USA) following the protocols described by Whitehead 146 et al. [34] with slight modifications. Briefly, devices were incubated 147 with intestinal mucosa for time periods between 30 min and 2 h. Fol- 148 lowing the incubation, the adhesion force between the device and intes- 149 tinal mucosa was quantified using a microbalance. The mucosal surface 150 (with devices attached) was mounted onto a microbalance. A small 151 plastic cylinder (2 cm in length and 1 mm in diameter) was attached 152 using an acrylate adhesive to the backing side of one of the patches on 153 the mucosa. The other end of the cylinder was attached to a string and 154 passed over a pulley. The cylinder was gradually pulled until the patch 155 detached from the mucosa. The detachment force (force of adhesion) 156 at which the adhesive bond between the patch and the mucosa failed 157 was calculated by recording the gradual reduction in weight readings 158 on the microbalance. Similar experiments were performed in simulated 159 intestinal fasting fluid (SIFF, Biorelevant Ltd, Surrey, United Kingdom) to 160 establish system's efficacy in a biologically relevant system. Briefly, SIFF 161 was prepared as per manufacturer's protocol, and the devices were in- 162 cubated in SIFF with gentle shaking for specified time and adhesion 163 forces were measured as mentioned earlier. 164

#### 2.5. In vitro dissolution studies

To evaluate the efficacy of devices in providing sustained delivery of 166 sCT, *in vitro* release experiments were performed. Briefly, a 5 mm 167 ( $\approx$ 17 mg) device loaded with sCT (24 µg/device) was incubated with 168 10 ml sterile PBS (pH 7.4; room temperature) with gentle shaking so as 169 to emulate mucociliary movement in small intestine. 100 µl samples of 170 the release media were withdrawn at predetermined time intervals up 171 to 5 h followed by immediate replenishment with fresh PBS. Withdrawn 172 samples were analyzed to quantify the amount of sCT being released from 173 the devices due to time-dependent swelling and degradation of the devices. Sample analysis was performed by using commercially available ex-175 traction sCT ELISA kit as mentioned in the Materials section. Similar 176 studies were performed with sulforhodamine-B (SRB) loaded devices to 177 determine possible peptide binding with mucoadhesive matrix.

Drug release kinetics was analyzed by assessing the  $r^2$  value for the 179 concentration–time curve for the release profile. Zero order release 180 rate constant was calculated using the following equation [38]: 181

$$Q_t - Q_0 = K_0 \cdot t$$

where  $Q_t$  is the amount of drug dissolved in time t,  $Q_0$  is the initial **182** amount of drug in the solution (most times,  $Q_0 = 0$ ) and  $K_0$  is the 184 zero order release constant expressed in units of concentration/time. 185 Dissolution exponent was calculated using the Korsmeyer–Peppas 186 model [39]: 187

$$M_t/M_{\infty} = K \cdot t^n$$

where  $M_t/M_{\infty}$  is a fraction of drug released at time *t*, *K* is the release rate **189** constant and *n* is the dissolution exponent. 190

2.6. Enhancement of sCT transport across Caco-2 monolayers 191

Efficacy of mucoadhesive devices in enhancing sCT transport across 192 Caco-2 monolayers was assessed by a rapid 3-day Caco-2 transwell system following a protocol established in our laboratory [40]. Briefly, a 194 rapid 3-day Caco-2 transwell system was developed using BD Biocoat 195 ™ HTS Caco-2 assay system (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA, USA) using 196 Caco-2 cell line (HTB-37; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, 197 VA, USA) between 5th and 14th passages due to possible phenotypic 198

Please cite this article as: V. Gupta, et al., Mucoadhesive intestinal devices for oral delivery of salmon calcitonin, J. Control. Release (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2013.09.004

142

165

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10612785

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10612785

Daneshyari.com