

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Computational Materials Science 33 (2005) 429-442

COMPUTATIONAL MATERIALS SCIENCE

www.elsevier.com/locate/commatsci

## Particle modeling of dynamic fragmentation-I: theoretical considerations

G. Wang<sup>a</sup>, M. Ostoja-Starzewski<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2K6 <sup>b</sup> McGill Institute for Advanced Materials, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2K6

Received 27 February 2004; received in revised form 4 August 2004; accepted 24 August 2004

## Abstract

This paper series adopts particle modeling (PM) to simulation of dynamic fracture phenomena in homogeneous and heterogeneous materials, such as encountered in comminution processes in the mining industry. This first paper is concerned with the setup of a lattice-type particle model having the same functional form as the molecular dynamics (MD) model (i.e., the Lennard–Jones potential), yet on centimeter length scales. We formulate four conditions to determine four key parameters of the PM model (also of the Lennard–Jones type) from a given MD model. This leads to a number of properties and trends of resulting Young's modulus in function of these four parameters. We also investigate the effect of volume, at fixed lattice spacing, on the resulting Young modulus. As an application, we use our model to revisit the dynamic fragmentation of a copper plate with a skew slit [J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 50(12) (1989) 1245]. © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*PACS:* 68.45.Kg; 61.43.Bn; 62.50.+p; 46.30.My; 46.30.Nz; 83.80.Nb; 83.20.-d *Keywords:* Dynamics; Structural modeling; Shocks; Fracture mechanics; Cracks; Minerals; Constitutive relations

## 1. Introduction

Attaining a better understanding of the comminution of rocks, such as commonly taking place in the mining industry (e.g. [1]), is the primary motivation of the present study. Comminution involves complex crushing and fragmentation processes, which, from a basic engineering science perspective, are complex dynamic fractures of multi-phase materials. Thus, there is a need to simulate such processes from basic principles. The first tool that comes to mind is the continuum-type dynamic fracture mechanics. That approach, however, is well suited for analysis of well defined boundaryinitial-value problems with simple geometries,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +514 398 7394; fax: +514 398 7365.

*E-mail address:* martin.ostoja@mcgill.ca (M. Ostoja-Starzewski).

$F_a$ interaction force $(F = -G/r^p + H/r^q)$ per pair atoms $q_a$ exponential parameter in atomic structure $\phi_a$ interaction potential energy $(\phi = -\int F dr)$ per pair atoms $m_a$ mass of each atom $(g)$ i max $G_a$ parameter G in atomic structure $m_a$ mass of each atom $(g)$ i max $H_a$ parameter H in atomic structure $j$ maxtotal quasi-particle number in $x$ - direction $S_a$ stiffness $S_0(= (d^2\phi/dr^2)_{r=r_0})$ in atomic structure $j$ maxtotal quasi-particle number in $z$ - direction $E_a$ Young's modulus $(E = S_0/r_0)$ in atomic structure $K$ maxtotal quasi-particle number in $z$ - direction $r_a$ equilibrium position in atomic struc- ture, e.g., 2.46Å for copper $A$ length of material specimen (cm) $C$ $p_a$ exponential parameter in atomic structure $C$ height of material specimen (cm)	Nomenclature				
$G_a$ per pair atoms $i$ maxtotalquasi-particlenumber $n$ $G_a$ parameter G in atomic structure $i$ maxtotalquasi-particlenumber $n$ $H_a$ parameter H in atomic structure $j$ maxtotalquasi-particlenumber $n$ $S_a$ stiffness $S_0(=(d^2\phi/dr^2)_{r=r_0})$ in atomic structure $j$ maxtotalquasi-particlenumber $n$ $E_a$ Young's modulus $(E = S_0/r_0)$ in atomic structure $k$ maxtotalquasi-particlenumber $n$ $r_a$ equilibrium position in atomic struc- ture, e.g., 2.46 Å for copper $A$ length of material specimen (cm) $p_a$ exponentialparameterin atomic $C$ height of material specimen (cm)	Fa		$q_{ m a}$	* *	
$G_a$ parameter G in atomic structuredirection $H_a$ parameter H in atomic structurej maxtotal quasi-particle number in y- $S_a$ stiffness $S_0(= (d^2\phi/dr^2)_{r=r_0})$ in atomic structurej maxtotal quasi-particle number in y- $E_a$ Young's modulus $(E = S_0/r_0)$ in atomic structurek maxtotal quasi-particle number in z- $F_a$ equilibrium position in atomic struc- ture, e.g., 2.46 Å for copperBwidth of material specimen (cm) $p_a$ exponential parameter in atomicCheight of material specimen (cm)	$\phi_{\mathrm{a}}$		u		
$S_a$ stiffness $S_0(= (d^2 \phi/dr^2)_{r=r_0})$ in atomic structuredirection $E_a$ Young's modulus $(E = S_0/r_0)$ in atomic structurek maxtotal quasi-particle number in $z-$ direction $r_a$ equilibrium position in atomic struc- ture, e.g., 2.46 Å for copper $p_a$ maxtotal quasi-particle number in $z-$ direction $p_a$ exponential parameter in atomic $C$ height of material specimen (cm) $C$	$G_{\mathrm{a}}$		i max		
structurek maxtotalquasi-particlenumberin $E_a$ Young's modulus $(E = S_0/r_0)$ in atomic structurek maxtotalquasi-particlenumberin $r_a$ equilibrium position in atomic struc- ture, e.g., 2.46 Å for coppera length of material specimen (cm)Alength of material specimen (cm) $p_a$ exponentialparameterinatomicCheight of material specimen (cm)			j max		
$E_a$ Young's modulus $(E = S_0/r_0)$ in atomic structuredirection $r_a$ equilibrium position in atomic struc- ture, e.g., 2.46 Å for copperA $p_a$ exponential parameter in atomicC $height of material specimen (cm)height of material specimen (cm)$	$S_{a}$		k max		
$r_a$ equilibrium position in atomic struc- ture, e.g., 2.46 Å for copperBwidth of material specimen (cm) $p_a$ exponential parameter in atomicCheight of material specimen (cm)	$E_{\rm a}$	Young's modulus $(E = S_0/r_0)$ in atomic			
ture, e.g., 2.46 Å for copper $C$ height of material specimen (cm) $p_a$ exponential parameter in atomic					
$p_{\rm a}$ exponential parameter in atomic	r <sub>a</sub>			• • • •	
			С	height of material specimen (cm)	
	p <sub>a</sub>				

e.g. [2]. When more complex shapes are involved, numerical methods based on this approach-usually involving finite element methods-are necessary, and, with increasing problem complexity, tend to be unwieldy. Thus, when multiple cracks occur in minerals of arbitrary shapes with complex and disordered microstructures, yet another method is needed. To this end, we propose here a powerful modeling technique involving a uniform lattice discretization of the material domain, providing the lattice spacing is smaller (or much smaller) than the single heterogeneity of interest, e.g. [3,4]. The heterogeneity is, say, a gold particle, whose liberation from the mineral is of major industrial interest in the fragmentation process. While the case of quasistatic fracture/damage phenomena was researched in the past decade, the intrinsically dynamic comminution requires a fully dynamic lattice-type model with nonlinear constitutive responses.

In this paper series we adopt the so-called *particle modeling* (PM), developed by Greenspan [5–8] as an alternative to computational continuum physics methods in problems which become either hopelessly intractable or very expensive (time consuming) in atomistic and multi-scale solid and fluid systems. Since the method has its roots in molecular dynamics, it is sometimes called *quasi-molecular modeling* or *discrete modeling*. In essence, particle modeling is a dynamic simulation that uses

small discrete solid physical particle (or quasimolecular particles) as a representation of a given fluid or solid.

The two basic rules in the model set-up on larger-than-atomistic-scales are the conservation of mass and the conservation of equilibrium energy between the quasi-particle system and the atomistic material structure. Interaction between any two neighbors in PM involves a potential of the same type as the interatomic potential-here typically one of a Lennard-Jones type. Particle modeling can handle very complicated interactions in solid and fluid mechanics problems, also with complicated boundary and/or initial conditions; an example of the latter is the dynamic free surface generation in solids' fracture. In fact, due to these advantages, particle modeling has recently found increasing use in mineral and mining research especially in the studies of tumbling mills [9,10].

Research of the existing literature in PM shows that the following questions still remain open:

- 1. How does the choice of parameters in the interaction potential affect the resulting Young modulus and the effective strength of the material to be modeled?
- 2. How does the choice of volume of the simulated material, at fixed lattice spacing of quasi-particles, affect the resulting Young modulus?

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10644606

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10644606

Daneshyari.com