



Existence of nonoscillatory solutions of first-order nonlinear neutral differential equations



T. Candan*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Niğde University, Niğde 51200, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 June 2013

Received in revised form 7 July 2013

Accepted 8 July 2013

Keywords:

Neutral equations

Fixed point

First order

Nonoscillatory solution

ABSTRACT

In this article, we obtain sufficient conditions for first-order nonlinear neutral differential equations to have nonoscillatory solutions for different ranges of $p_1(t)$ and $p_2(t, \xi)$. We use the Knaster–Tarski fixed point theorem to obtain new sufficient conditions. We give an example to illustrate the applicability of our results.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this work, we consider the following first-order nonlinear neutral differential equations:

$$\left[[x(t) - p_1(t)x(t - \tau)]^\gamma \right]' + Q_1(t)G(x(t - \sigma)) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\left[[x(t) - p_1(t)x(t - \tau)]^\gamma \right]' + \int_c^d Q_2(t, \xi)G(x(t - \xi))d\xi = 0 \quad (2)$$

and

$$\left[\left[x(t) - \int_a^b p_2(t, \xi)x(t - \xi)d\xi \right]^\gamma \right]' + \int_c^d Q_2(t, \xi)G(x(t - \xi))d\xi = 0, \quad (3)$$

where γ is a ratio of odd positive integers, $\tau > 0$, $\sigma \geq 0$, $d > c \geq 0$, $b > a \geq 0$, $p_1 \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, $p_2 \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [a, b], [0, \infty))$, $Q_1 \in C([t_0, \infty), [0, \infty))$, $Q_2 \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [c, d], [0, \infty))$, $G \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, and $xG(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$. We give some new sufficient conditions for the existence of nonoscillatory solutions of (1)–(3).

In the literature, there are some papers concerning the existence of nonoscillatory solutions of first-order neutral differential equations. For instance, Zhang et al. [1] considered the first-order linear neutral differential equations of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} [x(t) + P(t)x(t - \tau)] + Q_1(t)x(t - \sigma_1) - Q_2(t)x(t - \sigma_2) = 0 \quad (4)$$

and they established some sufficient conditions for the existence of nonoscillatory solutions of (4). Later, the existence of nonoscillatory solutions of first-order and second-order neutral differential equations with distributed deviating arguments

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} [x(t) + P(t)x(t - \tau)] + \int_a^b q_1(t, \xi)x(t - \xi)d\xi - \int_c^d q_2(t, \mu)x(t - \mu)d\mu = 0, \quad (5)$$

* Tel.: +90 3882254203.

E-mail address: tcandan@nigde.edu.tr.

was investigated by Candan and Dahiya [2]. Since Eqs. (4) and (5) are linear, they used the Banach contraction principle to prove their results. However, since (1)–(3) are nonlinear equations, we cannot use the Banach contraction principle. These points make the present article important for the reader studying this field. For some other related articles, we refer the reader to the papers [3–10] and the references cited therein. For books, we refer the reader to [11–15].

Let $m_1 = \max\{\tau, \sigma\}$. By a solution of (1) we mean a function $x \in C([t_1 - m_1, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ for some $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $[x(t) - p_1(t)x(t - \tau)]^\gamma$ is continuously differentiable on $[t_1, \infty)$ and (1) is satisfied for $t \geq t_1$. Similarly, let $m_2 = \max\{\tau, d\}$. By a solution of (2) we mean a function $x \in C([t_1 - m_2, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ for some $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $[x(t) - p_1(t)x(t - \tau)]^\gamma$ is continuously differentiable on $[t_1, \infty)$ and (2) is satisfied for $t \geq t_1$. Finally, let $m_3 = \max\{b, d\}$. By a solution of (3) we mean a function $x \in C([t_1 - m_3, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ for some $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $[x(t) - \int_a^b p_2(t, \xi)x(t - \xi)d\xi]^\gamma$ is continuously differentiable on $[t_1, \infty)$ and (3) is satisfied for $t \geq t_1$.

As is customary, a solution of (1)–(3) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive or negative. Otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory.

The following fixed point theorem will be used in proofs.

Theorem 1 (Knaster–Tarski Fixed Point Theorem [11]). *Let X be a partially ordered Banach space with ordering \leq . Let M be a subset of X with the following properties: the infimum of M belongs to M and every nonempty subset of M has a supremum which belongs to M . Let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be an increasing mapping, i.e., $x \leq y$ implies $Tx \leq Ty$. Then T has a fixed point in M .*

2. The main results

Theorem 2. *Assume that $0 \leq p_1(t) \leq p < 1$, G is nondecreasing and*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} Q_1(s)ds < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Then (1) has a bounded nonoscillatory solution.

Proof. Let Y be the set of all real-valued bounded continuous functions on $[t_0, \infty)$ with the sup norm. We can define a partial ordering as follows: for given $x_1, x_2 \in Y$, $x_1 \leq x_2$ means that $x_1(t) \leq x_2(t)$ for $t \geq t_0$. Set

$$S = \{x \in Y : C_1 \leq x(t) \leq C_2, t \geq t_0\},$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants such that

$$C_1 \leq \alpha < (1 - p)C_2.$$

If $\tilde{x}_1(t) = C_1$, $t \geq t_0$, then $\tilde{x}_1 \in S$ and $\tilde{x}_1 = \inf S$. In addition, if $\emptyset \subset S^* \subset S$, then

$$S^* = \{x \in Y : \lambda \leq x(t) \leq \mu, C_1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq C_2, t \geq t_0\}.$$

Let $\tilde{x}_2(t) = \mu_0 = \sup\{\mu : C_1 \leq \mu \leq C_2, t \geq t_0\}$. Then $\tilde{x}_2 \in S$ and $\tilde{x}_2 = \sup S^*$. From condition (6) there exists $t_1 > t_0$ with

$$t_1 \geq t_0 + \max\{\tau, \sigma\} \quad (7)$$

sufficiently large that

$$\int_t^{\infty} Q_1(s)ds \leq \frac{[(1 - p)C_2]^\gamma - \alpha^\gamma}{G(C_2)}, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (8)$$

For $x \in S$, we define

$$(Tx)(t) = \begin{cases} p_1(t)x(t - \tau) + \left[\alpha^\gamma + \int_t^{\infty} Q_1(s)G(x(s - \sigma))ds \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, & t \geq t_1 \\ (Tx)(t_1), & t_0 \leq t \leq t_1. \end{cases}$$

Thus Tx is a real-valued continuous function on $[t_0, \infty)$ for every $x \in S$. For $t \geq t_1$ and $x \in S$, by making use of (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t) &\leq p C_2 + \left[\alpha^\gamma + G(C_2) \int_t^{\infty} Q_1(s)ds \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\leq p C_2 + \left[\alpha^\gamma + G(C_2) \frac{[(1 - p)C_2]^\gamma - \alpha^\gamma}{G(C_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\leq C_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(Tx)(t) \geq \alpha \geq C_1.$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10678281>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10678281>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)