

Scramjets and shock tunnels—The Queensland experience

R.J. Stalker*, A. Paull, D.J. Mee, R.G. Morgan, P.A. Jacobs

Mechanical Engineering, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

Abstract

This article reports on the use of a shock tunnel to study the operation of scramjet powered configurations at sub-orbital velocities above 2 km/s. Thrust, as given by a net thrust equation, is used as a figure of merit throughout the study. After a short description of the shock tunnel used and its operating characteristics, experiments on the combustion release of heat in a constant area duct with hydrogen fuel are reviewed. The interaction between heat release in the combustion wake and the walls of the duct produced pressure distributions which followed a binary scaling law, and indicated that the theoretically expected heat release could be realized in practice, albeit with high pressure or long combustion ducts. This heat release, combined with attainable thrust nozzle characteristics and a modest level of configuration drag, indicated that positive thrust levels could be obtained well into the sub-orbital range of velocities. Development of a stress wave force balance for use in shock tunnels allowed the net thrust generated to be measured for integrated scramjet configurations and, although the combination of model size and shock tunnel operating pressure prevented complete combustion of hydrogen, the cruise condition of zero net thrust was achieved at 2.5 km/s with one configuration, while net thrust was produced with another configuration using an ignition promoter in hydrogen fuel. Nevertheless, the combination of boundary layer separation induced inlet choking and limited operating pressure levels prevented realization of the thrust potential of the fuel. This problem may be alleviated by recent increases in the shock tunnel operating pressures, and by promising research involving inlet injection of the fuel.

Research on the drag component of the net thrust equation resulted from the development of a fast response skin friction gauge. It was found that existing theories of turbulent boundary skin friction predicted the skin friction when combustion of hydrogen occurred outside the boundary layer, but combustion within the boundary layer dramatically reduced the skin friction. Finally, for the first time in the world, supersonic combustion was produced in a free flight experiment. This experiment validated shock tunnel results at stagnation enthalpies near 3 MJ/kg.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	473
2. Performance at sub-orbital velocities	474
3. Prior shock tunnel scramjet tests	475
4. The free piston shock tunnel T4	475
4.1. Test times—driver gas contamination of the test flow	476
4.2. Fuel supply	476

*Corresponding author.

Nomenclature			
A	flow cross-sectional area (m^2)	P_c	pressure after combustion heat release (Pa)
A_∞	frontal area (m^2)	ΔQ	heat released by fuel combustion in air (= 3.45 MJ/kg for stoichiometric hydrogen)
C_D	drag coefficient = $2D/(\rho_\infty U^2 A_\infty)$	T_N	net forward thrust (N)
C_{TN}	thrust coefficient = $2T_N/(\rho_\infty U^2 A_\infty)$	T_{NN}	net forward thrust when all of combustion heat release is converted to stream kinetic energy (N)
C_j	injected fuel thrust coefficient	ΔT	thrust increment with one-dimensional nozzle (N)
D	drag (N)	U	freestream velocity (m/s)
e_n	nozzle efficiency = thrust/(one-dim. nozzle thrust)	γ	ratio of specific heats
F	thrust function	θ	flow direction
g	gravitational acceleration (m/s^2)	θ_D	initial nozzle divergence angle
I_{sp}	specific impulse (s)	v	Prandtl–Meyer function
M	Mach number	ρ	density (kg/m^3)
M_c	Mach number after combustion heat release	ρ_∞	freestream density (kg/m^3)
n	$\Delta T/T_{NN}$ combustion duct + thrust nozzle efficiency		
p	pressure (Pa)		

4.3.	Early experiments	477
4.4.	Steady flow for scramjet experiments	477
5.	Supersonic combustion in a duct	478
6.	The two-dimensional combustion wake	479
6.1.	Wake independent of duct height	480
6.2.	Mass spectrometer wake traverses	480
6.3.	Scaling of supersonic combustion	481
6.4.	Thrust and specific impulse potential	482
6.5.	Fuel injection	484
6.6.	Length of combustion duct	486
7.	The two-dimensional thrust nozzle	487
7.1.	The supersonic thrust nozzle	487
7.2.	Nozzle adjustment for matching	488
7.3.	Straight (i.e. constant divergence) nozzles	488
7.4.	Non-uniform Mach number profile at nozzle entry	489
7.5.	Three-dimensional effects	490
8.	The Busemann scramjet	490
9.	Force measurement	492
10.	Integrated scramjet force measurements	494
10.1.	Model design	494
10.2.	Performance of the model	495
10.3.	Hydrogen fuelled scramjet models	497
10.4.	Lift and pitching moment on a scramjet model	497
10.5.	Boundary layer separation and choking	499
11.	Inlet injection, radical farming and kinetic afterburning	499
12.	Skin friction measurement	501
12.1.	Skin-friction gauge development	501
12.2.	Skin friction with mainstream combustion	502
12.3.	Skin friction reduction by boundary layer combustion	503
13.	The validity of shock tunnel data	505
13.1.	Effect of freestream oxygen radicals	505
13.2.	Shock tunnel vs. vitiated blowdown tunnel	506
13.3.	Shock tunnel vs. flight. The hyshot experiment	507

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10681710>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10681710>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)