

# A new orientation design model and numerical solution for coiled tubing drilling<sup>☆</sup>

Liang Hu<sup>\*</sup>, Deli Gao

State Key Laboratory of Petroleum Resource and Prospecting, College of Petroleum Engineering, China University of Petroleum-Beijing, Beijing 102249, China



## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 16 July 2014

Received in revised form

10 December 2014

Accepted 1 January 2015

Available online 20 November 2015

### Keywords:

Coiled tubing drilling

Orienter

Tool face adjustment

Constraint equation

Numerical solution

## ABSTRACT

Coiled tubing cannot be rotated in the wellbore from the surface, thus, an orienter is used to steer it in the proper direction. Due to the limits of rotation mode, bottom hole assembly (BHA) can only be rotated in increments in one direction at a fixed angle. As a result, the actual tool face angle is not equal to the design angle in most cases. The difference in the angle will affect the coincidence rate of the drilling trajectory, potentially causing orientation failure. The traditional method for adjusting the weight on the bit (WOB) is to eliminate the angle deviation. However, the change in the WOB is completely dependent on the expertise of the directional engineer and the formation parameters. This dependence limits the applicability of this adjustment method and increases the uncertainty and construction risks during the drilling process. To solve this problem, a new two-arc orientation design model is proposed that meets the orientation requirements and is easy to operate on site. Compared with the traditional experience-based method, this new method avoids uncertainty from human factors and can be programmed for automated drilling.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Conventional directional drilling with a drill string of jointed pipes occasionally requires the drill string to be turned at the surface to generate torque at the bottom. Thus, it is necessary to orient the bent sub to control the tool face angle and guide the wellbore trajectory in the desired direction. The high rigidity of the conventional drill string allows the torque to be transmitted. An attractive alternative to drill string is coiled tubing (Crouse and Lunan, 2000). Coiled tubing has a relatively small size 1–1/4 to 4–1/2 inches in diameter (Sarvesh et al., 2011), and a thin wall cross section of approximately 5/32 inches, which makes it flexible enough for many thousands of feet to be wound on a reel that is only 9–10 feet in diameter. Coiled tubing is advantageous over conventional drill string in that it can be run into and out of a well rapidly because there are no threaded joint connections to link up or break down. In addition, the absence of threaded connections

allows coiled tubing to be run while under pressure and while fluids are being pumped through it. However, the reel cannot be rotated in the surface. Thus, when drilling with coiled tubing, neither rotary drilling nor the rotational orientation of the BHA can be accomplished without the use of a special rotating device to orient the BHA. This device, called an orienter (Ronald and Pringle, 1994), allows the directional driller to position the directional assembly in the desired tool face orientation, allowing the wellbore to be drilled in a selected direction.

The BHA used in coiled tubing drilling is controllable, enabling the formation of wellbores along the design trajectory. A typical BHA (Maehs et al., 2005; Pai et al., 2013) includes a drill bit positioned at one end of the coiled tubing to form the wellbore, a bent sub with typically one-half to three degrees for drilling a curved wellbore section, a hydraulic motor that rotates based on the flow of the drilling mud, a measurement while drilling (MWD) device for taking directional measurements and transmitting signals to the surface, and an orienter for changing the tool face angle to direct the bit to drill at a certain heading.

The orienter is operated by pulsing the drilling fluid by cycling the pumps on and off. Each cycle causes the orienter to rotate by an incremental amount to orient the bent sub relative to the direction of the coiled tubing to achieve the desired tool face angle (Bingham, 2000). Different orienter models have different fixed angular

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2015.01.001>.

<sup>☆</sup> This article is a reprint of a previously published article. For citation purposes, please use the original publication details: Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering 22 (2015) 656–660.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [hl9788@sina.com](mailto:hl9788@sina.com) (L. Hu).

increment values. The fixed angle is  $20^\circ$  in the orienter from Sperry-Sun (Gleitman et al., 1996),  $25.7^\circ$  in United States patent 5450914 (Coram, 1995) and  $30^\circ$  in United States patent 7946361 (Gurjar et al., 2011).

The angle can be adjusted in multiples of the fixed angle, producing discontinuous changes in the tool face angle jumping (Meek et al., 2002). Thus, coiled tubing drilling and conventional drilling differ dramatically, and the actual adjusted tool face angle is rarely exactly equal to the design angle. For example, if the design tool face angle is  $70^\circ$ , the current angle is  $20^\circ$  and the fixed angle of the orienter is  $20^\circ$ , then the actual angle can be adjusted to  $60^\circ$  (two rotations) or  $80^\circ$  (three rotations). The difference between the adjusted and design angles will affect the coincidence rate of the drilling trajectory, potentially causing orientation failure.

Until now, there is no theoretical method for solving this problem. In construction site, the directional engineer has to control the varying WOB to control the reactive torque (Eddison et al., 1994), which applies lateral forces to the BHA, and thereby changes the borehole direction to eliminate the angle deviation. However, the change in the WOB is completely dependent on the expertise of the directional engineer and the formation parameters. Such experience-based methods are not widely applicable and increase the uncertainty factors and construction risks during the drilling process.

This paper proposes a new two-arc orientation design model. It fully considers the adjustment characteristics of orienter in the design process. The design results can meet not only the angle orientation requirement, but also the practical engineering requirement. The problem of angle deviation is solved theoretically in the orientation process.

## 2. Two-arc orientation design model

### 2.1. Assumptions of the model

- (1) The sliding drilling mode is used to drill and correct the well trajectory in this model. The well trajectory is approximated by the space arc due to its stable deflecting capacity. Thus, the design well trajectory is based on the assumption of the space arc (Liu, 2006)
- (2) Only one set of BHA is used during orientation. Thus, the build-up rates of the two arcs are equal and known.
- (3) The well trajectory is continuous.
- (4) The effects of reactive torque and bit walk are not considered.
- (5) The value of build-up rate is equal to the value of dogleg severity without considering the formation effect.

### 2.2. Model foundation

The two basic angle constraint equations for space arc (Han, 2007) are

$$\cos \gamma = \cos \alpha_1 \cos \alpha_2 + \sin \alpha_1 \sin \alpha_2 \cos(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \quad (1)$$

$$\cos \alpha_2 = \cos \alpha_1 \cos \gamma - \sin \alpha_1 \sin \gamma \cos \omega \quad (2)$$

where subscripts 1 and 2 are the initial and final points for orientation, respectively. The parameters of the two points are represented by the corresponding subscripts, where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  indicate the deviation angles of 1 and 2, and  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  indicate azimuth angles of 1 and 2, respectively. In the equations,  $\gamma$  is the dogleg and  $\omega$  is the tool face angle between 1 and 2.

The parameter  $\omega$  is unknown in the conventional design process and can be solved using Eqs. (1) and (2). Because of the special

rotation mode of the orienter in coiled tubing drilling, it is difficult to adjust the actual tool face angle to be exactly equal to the ideal design value  $\omega$ . As a result, the actual trajectory often cannot achieve  $\alpha_2$  and  $\varphi_2$ . Thus, a new model is needed to design a well trajectory according to the orientation requirement.

The well trajectory of a two-arc orientation design is shown in Fig. 1.

In this design, O is the intersection point of the two arcs; the deviation angle  $\alpha_0$  and azimuth angle  $\varphi_0$  are the angle parameters of O;  $\gamma_1$  and  $\omega_1$  are the dogleg and tool face angle in the first arc, respectively;  $\gamma_2$  and  $\omega_2$  are the dogleg and tool face angle in the second arc, respectively; and  $\theta$  is the fixed angle of the orienter.

The well trajectory constraint equations of the model can be obtained from Eqs. (1) and (2) as follows:

$$\cos \gamma_1 = \cos \alpha_1 \cos \alpha_0 + \sin \alpha_1 \sin \alpha_0 \cos(\varphi_0 - \varphi_1) \quad (3)$$

$$\cos \alpha_0 = \cos \alpha_1 \cos \gamma_1 - \sin \alpha_1 \sin \gamma_1 \cos \omega_1 \quad (4)$$

$$\cos \gamma_2 = \cos \alpha_0 \cos \alpha_2 + \sin \alpha_0 \sin \alpha_2 \cos(\varphi_2 - \varphi_0) \quad (5)$$

$$\cos \alpha_2 = \cos \alpha_0 \cos \gamma_2 - \sin \alpha_0 \sin \gamma_2 \cos \omega_2 \quad (6)$$

### 2.3. Model solution

#### 2.3.1. Solving for two tool face angles

It is important to define reasonable values for  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  based on the special rotation mode of the orienter and the actual drilling situation. The defined formulas are

$$n = [(\omega - \omega_a)/\theta] \quad (7)$$

$$\omega_1 = \omega_a + \theta * n \quad (8)$$

$$\omega_2 = \omega_1 + \theta \quad (9)$$

where,  $\omega_a$  is the actual tool face angle before orientation, and  $n$  is an integer by rounding down of Eq. (7), representing the rotation number of the orienter.

For example, when  $\omega = 50^\circ$ ,  $\omega_a = 20^\circ$ , and  $\theta = 20^\circ$ , then  $\omega_1 = 40^\circ$  and  $\omega_2 = 60^\circ$ .

#### 2.3.2. Solving for the angle parameters of O and two doglegs

Parameters  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are known, whereas  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are solved in 2.3.1. There are four unknown parameters in Eqs. (3)–(6):




Fig. 1. Well trajectory of a two-arc orientation design.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10690315>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10690315>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)