ARTICLE IN PRESS

Planetary and Space Science I (IIII) III-III



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Planetary and Space Science



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/pss

The astrometry of the natural planetary satellites applied to their dynamics before and after Gaia

Jean-Eudes Arlot*, Josselin Desmars, Valéry Lainey, Vincent Robert

Paris Observatory/IMCCE/USTL/LASA/IPSA/UPMC/CNRS, 77 Avenue Denfert-Rochereau, F-75014 Paris, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 November 2011 Received in revised form 4 September 2012 Accepted 5 October 2012

Keywords: Astrometry GAIA Natural satellites Celestial mechanics

1. Introduction

Astrometric observations of the natural planetary satellites are regularly performed. The frequency of the observations must depend on the satellites, on their revolution period around their planet, and on the nature of the gravitational perturbations. The arrival of Gaia leads us to consider new plans for the observing programmes and the reduction of the observations.

Sections 2 and 3 present the astrometry of the natural planetary satellites and the status of the present astrometric reduction. Sections 4 and 5 explain how Gaia will modify the astrometry of the natural satellites and Section 6 what we have to do for preparing the arrival of Gaia observations.

2. The astrometry of the natural planetary satellites

(1) *Purpose*. The astrometry of the natural planetary satellites has several goals. The knowledge of their dynamics helps us to understand the formation and evolution of the solar system. Moreover the internal structure of the natural satellites may be understood through the dynamics; tidal effects and dissipation induce an acceleration in their motions which is detectable through the astrometric observations (Lainey et al., 2009).

We have to note also that the astrometry of the giant planets is possible only through their satellites (natural or artificial,

* Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* arlot@imcce.fr, jean-eudes.arlot@imcce.fr (J.-E. Arlot).

ABSTRACT

The natural planetary satellites are observed since centuries in order to understand their dynamics and their evolution. A high level astrometric accuracy is necessary for this purpose. The arrival of Gaia makes necessary to perform the ground-based observations in the scope of the future data provided by Gaia. About 50 observations by Gaia of each satellite will be available, and the Gaia star catalogue will allow to reduce the astrometric observations with a high level accuracy. This is true for observations made at the present time, made in the future, and made in the past thanks to a new reduction of old observations such as photographic plates. We analyse the different status of the satellites depending on the system of which they belong. This will decide the astrometric observing programmes of the natural planetary satellites for the next years.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

indirectly with space probes). Only the satellites are observable accurately and the position and motion of the planets are deduced from the ephemerides of the satellites (Robert et al., 2011). For these purposes, we need accurate astrometric observations of the satellites to build accurate dynamical models. From the positions of the satellites, we may derive the position of the planet by translating the observed positions of the satellites to observed positions of the center of mass of the primary.

In both cases, we need observations spread over a long interval of time depending on the velocity of the satellites in order to model completely the dynamics of the satellites. How will Gaia help? Is the Gaia improvement the same for all satellites?

(2) *The needed accuracy of the observations.* An astrometric observation is valuable only if its accuracy is sufficient to assess significant dynamical effects.

For example, for the Galilean satellites of Jupiter, the tidal effects may infer forces (Lainey et al., 2009) which lead to a cumulative secular shift in longitude of about 100 m by year. On this basis, Table 1 provides the observing time interval necessary to detect this effect in the observations depending of their accuracy (with a signal/noise ratio of 2). Of course some selected sets of observations may have a better accuracy but not on the whole needed interval of time (Lainey et al., 2004). Note that another constraint has to be taken into an account: the long period terms which may be separated from the secular dissipative effects. For that, a minimum of 50 yrs of observations.

Of course, we need a large number of observations spread on a long interval of time and, due to the non-uniform distribution of observation with time and due to unexpected systematic errors

Please cite this article as: Arlot, J.-E., et al., The astrometry of the natural planetary satellites applied to their dynamics before and after Gaia. Planetary and Space Science (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2012.10.002

^{0032-0633/}\$-see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2012.10.002

depending on the observing techniques, all types of data are useful and may be mixed.

(3) *Sampling the observations*. The Gaia observations will be done on an interval of time limited to 5 yrs. Is this duration sufficient? In order to know the necessary length of this interval of time, we simulated observations and built ephemerides. Then, it appeared that one century of ground-based observations is equivalent to 5 yrs of Gaia observations (Desmars, 2009a; Desmars et al., 2009b). The accuracy of the dynamical models does not depend only on the accuracy of the observations but also on the length of the period of observations. This may be tested through the extrapolation of the ephemerides depending on the sampling of observations used to fit the theoretical model of motion. For example, for Mimas, we split the set of available observations (Desmars et al., 2009c) into two sets: the first one gathering 1547 observations made on a 73 yrs interval of time

Table 1

Intervals of observing time depending on the type of observations.

Observations	Accuracy (km)	Observing interval (yrs)
Jovian eclipses	500	100
Old photographic	300	75
CCD images	150	50
Mutual events	50	30
Space probes	5	10



Fig. 1. Accuracy of extrapolated ephemerides depending on the observations used for the fit.

(1874–1947), the accuracy of which being 0.30 arcsec and the second one gathering 2820 observations made on a 46 yrs interval of time (1961–2007) the accuracy of which being 0.15 arcsec. We then built ephemerides using each set, and extrapolated each ephemerides on the period of the other set of observations. We were able to see which ephemerides were the closer to the observations. One would expect that the large number of more accurate observations will provide the smallest error for the ephemerides but in fact the contrary occurs. As seen on Fig. 1, the ephemerides made with the old less accurate observations are better than the other one. This comes from a periodic term of 70 yrs in the motion of Mimas which is better quantified by observations spanning on 50 yrs than on 30 yrs.

Then the question of the use of the observations of the natural satellites by Gaia is appropriate.

3. The astrometric reduction before Gaia: accuracy of catalogues

Astrometric reduction is performed by linking the catalogued stars with field stars. Then, the accuracy will depend on the field recorded together with the studied object. Small fields have less distorsion due to optics but they contain less catalogued stars. For example, a good catalogue published in 1997 after the astrometric satellite Hipparcos contains only 120,000 stars until magnitude 12.4 with an accuracy better than 1 mas. The Tycho catalogue deduced from the Hipparcos mission contains 2,500,000 stars until magnitude 16 but with an accuracy not better than 60 mas due to proper motions. Small fields needing fainter stars, some catalogues intent to compile a larger number of stars: the USNO SA2 contains 526,000,000 stars with an accuracy not better than 150 mas. It is preferable, when the field is not too small to use the UCAC2 catalogue containing 48,330,571 stars with magnitude from 7.5 to 16 with an accuracy around 50 mas. One will understand easily that the Gaia catalogue will replace all the older catalogues for astrometric reduction.

4. The satellites observed by Gaia: the possible accuracy

We know that Gaia will observe all natural satellites the magnitude of which being from 8 to 20 with an accuracy around 1 mas. Each object will be observed about 50 times during a 5 yrs period, a little less for satellites close to their planet due to possible occultation or blooming due to the bright primary. The accuracy and the interest of these observations will depend on the object:

- Large objects extensively observed from Earth since years and observed by space probes will not take a large benefit from Gaia direct observations.
- Objects close to the primary, difficult to observe from groundbased observatories, will take benefit from Gaia direct observations, events the vicinity of the planet will allow Gaia to observe less than 50 times as stated above.
- Small outer satellites far from their planet are similar to asteroids. Gaia observations will be valuable providing accurate positions, photometry, and shapes of the objects. Anyway, this final astrometric accuracy will be around 1–2 mas that will be a real improvement.

Table 2 provides an estimation of the interest of the Gaia direct observations depending on the satellites.

Please cite this article as: Arlot, J.-E., et al., The astrometry of the natural planetary satellites applied to their dynamics before and after Gaia. Planetary and Space Science (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2012.10.002

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10705158

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10705158

Daneshyari.com