



Short communication

SPECT imaging of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in nonsmoking heavy alcohol drinking individuals

Irina Esterlis^{a,*}, Kelly P. Cosgrove^a, Ismene L. Petrakis^a, Sherry A. McKee^a, Frederic Bois^a, Erica Krantzler^a, Stephanie M. Stiklus^a, Edward B. Perry^a, Gilles D. Tamagnan^{a,c}, John P. Seibyl^{a,c}, John H. Krystal^{a,b}, Julie K. Staley^{a,b}

^a Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine and the Veteran's Affairs Connecticut Healthcare System (VACHS), West Haven, CT 06516, USA

^b Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Yale University School of Medicine and the Veteran's Affairs Connecticut Healthcare System (VACHS), West Haven, CT 06516, USA

^c Inst. for Neurodegenerative Disorders, New Haven, CT 06511, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 July 2009

Received in revised form 1 December 2009

Accepted 1 December 2009

Available online 13 January 2010

Keywords:

Heavy alcohol drinking

β_2^* -Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors

SPECT imaging

ABSTRACT

Background: The high rate of comorbidity of tobacco smoking with alcohol drinking suggests common neural substrates mediate the two addictive disorders. The β_2^* -containing nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (β_2^* -nAChR) has recently emerged as a prime candidate because some alpha and beta subunit genes have been linked to alcohol consumption and alcohol use behaviors. We hypothesized that β_2^* -nAChR availability would be altered by alcohol in heavy drinking nonsmokers.

Methods: Eleven heavy drinking (mean age 39.6 ± 12.1 years) and 11 age and sex-matched control (mean age 40.8 ± 14.1 years) nonsmokers were imaged using [123 I]5-IA-85380 ([123 I]5-IA) single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT). Heavy alcohol drinkers drank varied amounts of alcohol (70–428/month) to facilitate exploratory linear analyses of the possible effects of alcohol.

Results: Heavy drinkers consumed on average 9.1 ± 7.3 drinks/occasion; whereas controls drank 1.2 ± 0.9 drinks/occasion. Heavy drinkers were imaged 2.0 ± 1.6 days after last alcoholic beverage. Overall, there were no significant differences in β_2^* -nAChR availability between the heavy drinking and control nonsmokers. Exploratory analyses of other factors that may be uniquely regulated by alcohol suggested no effects of age, number of alcohol drinks, years drinking, severity of drinking, craving or withdrawal.

Conclusions: These preliminary analyses do not suggest a decrease in receptor availability in heavy drinking nonsmokers as compared to control nonsmokers. However, a larger study is warranted to explore effects of heavy alcohol drinking on other variables, such as sex, smoking, and genetic make up.

Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd.

1. Introduction

The cholinergic system can be altered following alcohol exposure and has been implicated in alcohol use disorders (Hunt and Majchrowicz, 1983; Kochlar and Erickson, 1986), with findings of altered cortical acetylcholine levels in animal and human subjects (Arendt et al., 1983; Beracochea et al., 1986), as well as reduced acetylcholinesterase activity in ethanol-fed rats (Miller and Rieck, 1993). Specific to our research interests, the β_2 -subunit containing nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (β_2^* -nAChRs) (Yoshida et al., 1992; Robles and Sabria, 2006), as well as the gene (CHRNA4) that encodes the α_4 subunit (Butt et al., 2003), have been implicated

in animal models of ethanol use disorders; however, no study has reported examination of this receptor in human alcohol drinkers.

While a specific ethanol binding site on the β_2^* -nAChR has not been identified, ethanol enhances the association rate of nicotinic agonist binding to nAChRs and can stabilize the nAChR in a non-functional, desensitized state (El-Fakahini et al., 1983). The affinity of agonist binding to the nAChR is enhanced in the presence of ethanol (Forman et al., 1989; Wood et al., 1991). Pretreatment with dihydro-beta-erythroidine (DhbetaE, $\alpha_4\beta_2$ -nAChR competitive antagonist) inhibits alcohol uptake (Kuzmin et al., 2008). In rodents, acute ethanol treatment does not alter nAChR number (Collins et al., 1988; Ribeiro-Carvalho et al., 2008); however, prolonged ethanol treatment (5 months) can enhance binding to nAChRs in thalamus and hypothalamus, but decrease binding in hippocampus in male rats (Yoshida et al., 1992). One study reported a 30% increase in nuclear $\alpha_4\beta_2$ -nAChR in hippocampus after a 21-week treatment with ethanol (10% solution, w/v) in rats (Robles and Sabria, 2006).

* Corresponding author at: Yale University and VACHS, 950 Campbell Ave, 116A6, Building 5, C305, West Haven, CT 06516, United States. Tel.: +1 203 932 5711x3109; fax: +1 203 937 3897.

E-mail address: irina.esterlis@yale.edu (I. Esterlis).

Studies on relationship between ethanol and nAChR in humans are sparse: an examination in postmortem tissue from human alcoholics failed to demonstrate a difference in [³H]nicotine binding in thalamus and frontal cortex (Hellstrom-Lindahl et al., 1993). These findings were confounded by assessment of limited brain regions, excessively long postmortem interval (48 ± 4 h) and poor control for comorbid neuropsychiatric disorders, including tobacco smoking. This presents a major caveat because the nicotine in tobacco smoke has very potent regulatory effects on β_2^* -nAChR, and if nicotine is still present in the brain (which it is up to 7 days post smoking) it interferes with radiotracer binding.

Human laboratory studies provide additional line of support for role of nAChR in alcoholism. Administration of mecamylamine, a nonselective nicotinic antagonist, interferes with the stimulant and euphoric effects of alcohol (Blomqvist et al., 2002; Chi and Wit, 2003; Young et al., 2005). Varenicline, a partial nAChR agonist, reduces alcohol seeking and choice in rats (Steensland et al., 2007), and alcohol craving and self-administration behavior in heavy drinking smokers (Mckee et al., 2009). In addition, the CHRNA2 and CHRNA4 are related to subjective response to alcohol (Ehringer et al., 2007) and enhancement of nAChR function by ethanol (Butt et al., 2003), respectively.

Presently, we explored the regulatory effects of alcohol on β_2^* -containing nAChRs. Based on preclinical and postmortem literature that suggest an upregulation and no change in β_2^* -nAChR availability, respectively, we hypothesized that heavy drinking nonsmokers would exhibit altered β_2^* -nAChR availability compared to control nonsmokers. These preliminary studies were limited to alcohol drinking nonsmokers to eliminate the possible confounding effects of nicotine. β_2^* -nAChR availability was measured using [¹²³I]5-IA-85380 ([¹²³I]5-IA) and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging, described previously (Staley et al., 2005a).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

This study was approved by the Human Investigational Review Committees at Yale University and West Haven VACHS. Eligibility was evaluated via structured interview, physical examination, laboratory blood tests, urine drug screen, and electrocardiogram. None of the heavy drinking or control subjects had history or evidence of serious medical or neurological illness, psychiatric disorder or substance abuse (except for alcohol dependence/abuse in heavy drinkers), or used psychotropic substances for at least 1 year and no marijuana for at least 1 month preceding the study. None of the subjects reported taking any prescription medications within 6 months prior to the SPECT study, nor had ever taken any medications known to act at the nAChRs, e.g., mecamylamine or varenicline. In order to participate in the study, heavy drinkers had to consume >70 standardized drinks per month based on Timeline Follow Back Interview (Sobell and Sobell, 1993), and had to have their last alcoholic beverage within 30 days of their SPECT scan. Based on NIH guidelines of percent alcohol by volume of each drink, one standardized drink contains 16.8 mL of alcohol (NIAAA, 2008); therefore, one 12 oz (336 mL) beer, one 5 oz (140 mL) wine, or 1.5 oz (42 mL) liquor are considered as one standardized drink. Control subjects were defined as those who drank <20 drinks per month and no more than 4 drinks per occasion. Other drinking characteristics (age subjects began drinking, total number of drinking years, and family history of alcoholism) were documented. Heavy drinkers were offered a treatment referral upon completing study participation.

Nonsmoking status was defined as smoking <40 cigarettes/lifetime and no cigarette use in the past 6 months, and confirmed by breath carbon monoxide levels (<11 ppm) and negligible plasma (<50 ng/mL) and urinary (<100 ng/mL) cotinine levels at intake and scan days. Women could not be pregnant or breastfeeding to participate in the study. Estrogen and progesterone levels were obtained on the day of SPECT scan.

2.2. Assessments

At baseline screening appointment we administered the Structural Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-I), and questionnaires to assess alcohol and nicotine dependence and alcohol-related behavior (Fagerstrom Test of Nicotine Dependence—FTND, Tiffany Alcohol Craving Questionnaire, Retrospective Withdrawal Questionnaire), and depressive symptoms (Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale—CES-D). Alcohol withdrawal/craving and depressive symptoms were assessed again on SPECT scan day. Family history of alcoholism was assessed via the self-report (defined positive if 1st degree relatives were diagnosed with alcohol abuse or dependence). Subjects were negative for signs of withdrawal at the time of screening and the SPECT scan day as assessed by the Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment for Alcohol (CIWA-Ar).

2.3. [¹²³I]5-IA SPECT and MRI imaging

2.3.1. SPECT. Participants were imaged as described previously (Staley et al., 2005a). Briefly, [¹²³I]5-IA was administered using bolus plus constant infusion paradigm. The average yield was a 55.3 ± 14.7% ($n=22$ preparations) and its radiochemical purity was 98.1 ± 1.9%. Three SPECT scans (30 min each) were obtained between 6 and 8 h of infusion, and plasma samples were collected in the middle of second scan to quantify total parent and free fraction (f_p) of parent tracer in plasma (Zoghbi et al., 2001) and correct for individual differences in metabolism and protein binding of [¹²³I]5-IA (Cosgrove et al., 2007).

2.3.2. MRI. Magnetic resonance imaging was performed on a Signa 1.5 T system (General Electric Co, Milwaukee, WI). Axial images were acquired parallel to the anteroposterior commissural line with an echo time of 5 ms; repetition time of 24 ms; matrix 256 × 192; number of excitations of 1; field of view of 24 cm; and 128 contiguous slices with a thickness of 1.3 mm.

2.4. Image analysis

SPECT emission images were analyzed as described previously (Staley et al., 2005a). Regional β_2^* -nAChR availability was determined by V_T/f_p (regional activity/plasma free parent) (Innis et al., 2007), a highly reproducible outcome measure (Staley et al., 2005a). Standard regions of interest were placed on coregistered MRIs and transferred to SPECT images. Regions of interest were chosen based on those known to contain β_2^* -nAChRs, and included thalamus, striatum (average of caudate and putamen), cerebellum, and parietal, frontal, anterior cingulate, temporal, and occipital cortices. The average of two raters is used for all analyses. Inter-rater was <10% variability between raters across regions.

2.5. Statistical analyses

All analyses were performed using SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS Inc. Headquarters, Chicago, IL). Differences in plasma and brain outcome measures between heavy drinkers and controls were evaluated first using a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) in order to control for experiment-wise Type I error. Correlations

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1070861>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1070861>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)