Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 470 (2016) 300–305

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ybbrc

Nicotine induces mitochondrial fission through mitofusin degradation in human multipotent embryonic carcinoma cells

Naoya Hirata [a, 1], Shigeru Yamada [a, 1], Miki Asanagi [a, b], Yuko Sekino [a], Yasunari Kanda [a, *]

[a] Division of Pharmacology, National Institute of Health Sciences, Japan
[b] Faculty of Engineering, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Yokohama National University, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 5 January 2016
Accepted 10 January 2016
Available online 13 January 2016

Keywords:
Embryonic cells
Cigarette smoking
Nicotine
Mitochondrial fission
Mitofusin

ABSTRACT

Nicotine is considered to contribute to the health risks associated with cigarette smoking. Nicotine exerts its cellular functions by acting on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs), and adversely affects normal embryonic development. However, nicotine toxicity has not been elucidated in human embryonic stage. In the present study, we examined the cytotoxic effects of nicotine in human multipotent embryonal carcinoma cell line NT2/D1. We found that exposure to 10 μM nicotine decreased intracellular ATP levels and inhibited proliferation of NT2/D1 cells. Because nicotine suppressed energy production, which is a critical mitochondrial function, we further assessed the effects of nicotine on mitochondrial dynamics. Staining with MitoTracker revealed that 10 μM nicotine induced mitochondrial fragmentation. The levels of the mitochondrial fusion proteins, mitofusins 1 and 2, were also reduced in cells exposed to nicotine. These nicotine effects were blocked by treatment with mecamylamine, a nonselective nAChR antagonist. These data suggest that nicotine degrades mitofusin in NT2/D1 cells and thus induces mitochondrial dysfunction and cell growth inhibition in a nAChR-dependent manner. Thus, mitochondrial function in embryonic cells could be used to assess the developmental toxicity of chemicals.

1. Introduction

Growing evidence suggest that maternal smoking during pregnancy is related to adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes in the offspring, including lower intelligence quotients and deficits in learning and memory [1,2]. Nicotine is a naturally occurring alkaloid that is present in tobacco leaves and is considered to contribute to the negative effects of cigarette smoking on health [2,3]. Nicotine exerts its cellular functions by activating nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs), which are heterodimers composed of combinations of different types of α subunit (α1–α10) and β subunit (β1–β4) [4]. α8-nAChR has not been identified in human. Recent studies have shown that nAChRs are present in a variety of cells, such as cancer cells, vascular smooth muscle, and neural cells [3–6]. Activation of nAChRs by nicotine promotes the release of various neurotransmitters (including dopamine, norepinephrine, acetylcholine, glutamate) [7]. Altered regulation of neurotransmitter levels can adversely affect key events in normal brain development, such as the formation of neural circuits and neurotransmitter systems [7,8]. Therefore, it is necessary to elucidate the cytotoxic effects of nicotine on embryonic development.

Nicotine toxicity has been reported to affect mitochondrial function both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. For example, nicotine exposure alters mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP), increases an oxidative stress, and induces apoptosis in colon adenocarcinoma HCT-116 cell [9]. Another study has shown that nicotine exposure reduced the activity of an enzyme in the pancreatic mitochondrial respiratory chain, and impaired glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in neonatal rats [10]. However, the precise mechanisms underlying the effects of nicotine on mitochondrial function remain largely unknown.

Growing evidence suggest that mitochondria undergo continuous morphological dynamics involving fusion and fission cycles. These dynamics play a key role in maintenance of normal mitochondrial functions, such as ATP production [11]. Mitochondrial fusion and fission are regulated by several GTPases. Mitofusin 1 and 2 (Mfn1, 2) and optic atrophy 1 (Opa1) induce fusion of the outer and inner mitochondrial membranes, respectively [12,13]. In contrast, dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1) is a cytoplasmic protein that assembles into rings surrounding the outer mitochondrial

* Corresponding author. 1-18-1, Kamiyoga, Setagaya-ku, 158-8501, Japan.
E-mail address: kanda@nihs.go.jp (Y. Kanda).
[1] Equally contributed.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.01.063
0006-291X/

membrane, where it interacts with fission protein 1 (Fis1) to promote fission [14,15]. For example, pigment epithelium-derived factor is reported to improve mitochondrial function by stabilizing mitochondrial fusion in retinal pigment epithelial cells [16]. In contrast, the anti-tumor agent, doxorubicin, facilitates mitochondrial fragmentation and apoptosis by promoting Mfn2 degradation in sarcoma U2OS cells [17].

In the present study, we hypothesized a possible link between nicotine toxicity and mitochondrial function in human mulitpotent NT2/D1 cells, which have neural differentiation capability. Our results showed that exposure to 10 μM nicotine decreased intracellular ATP levels and inhibited cell growth. Moreover, nicotine exposure induced Mfn degradation and mitochondrial fragmentation via nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs). Thus, nicotine induces toxicity through impairment of mitochondrial quality control in human NT2/D1 cells.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture

The human multipotent embryonal carcinoma NT2/D1 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). SH-SY5Y cells were obtained from European Collection of Animal Cell Culture (Salisbury, Wiltshire, UK). The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Biological Industries, Ashrat, Israel) and 0.05 mg/ml penicillin-streptomycin mixture (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37 °C in the presence of 5% CO_2.

2.2. Cell proliferation assay

Cell viability was measured using the CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), as previously described [18]. Briefly, NT2/D1 cells were seeded into 96-well plate and exposed to different concentrations of nicotine. After exposure to nicotine, One Solution Reagent was added to each well, and the plate was incubated at 37 °C for another 2 h. Absorbance was measured at 490 nm by iMark microplate reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

2.3. Measurement of intracellular ATP levels

The intracellular ATP content was measured using the ATP Determination Kit (Life Technologies), as previously described [19]. Briefly, the cells were washed and lysed with phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.1% Triton X-100. The resulting cell lysates were added to a reaction mixture containing 0.5 mM D-luciferin, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 1.25 μg/ml luciferase and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Luminescence was measured using a Wallac1420ARVO fluoroscan (Perkin–Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The luminescence intensities were normalized to the total protein content.

2.4. Assessment of mitochondrial fusion

After treatment with nicotine (10 μM, 24 h), cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 50 nM MitoTracker Red CMXRos (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) and 0.1 μg/ml 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Dojin, Kumamoto, Japan). Changes in mitochondrial morphology were observed using a confocal laser microscope (Nikon A1). Images (n = 3–7) of random fields were taken, and the number of cells displaying mitochondrial fusion (<10% punctiform) was counted in each image, as previously described [20]. The number of cells showing mitochondrial fission was calculated by subtracting the number of cells with mitochondrial fusion from the total cell number.

2.5. Real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from NT2/D1 cells using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies), and quantitative real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR with QuantiTect SYBR Green RT-PCR Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) was performed using an ABI PRISM 7900HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) as previously described [21]. The relative change in the amount of transcript was normalized to the mRNA levels of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). The following primer sequences were used for real-time PCR analysis: *nAChRα1*, forward, 5′-CTGGACCTACGACGGCTCT-3′ and reverse, 5′-CGCTGCATGACGAAGTGGT-3′; *nAChRα2*, forward, 5′-ACACTTCAGACGTGGTGATTG-3′ and reverse, 5′-CCACTCCTGTTTTAGCCAGAC-3′; *nAChRα3*, forward, 5′-ACCTGTGGCTCAAGCAAATCT-3′ and reverse, 5′-GCAGGGACACGCATGAACT-3′; *nAChRα4*, forward, 5′-GGAGGGCGTCCAGTACATTG-3′ and reverse, 5′-GAAGATGCGGTCGATGACCA-3′; *nAChRα5*, forward, 5′-AGATGGAACCCTGATGACTATGGT-3′ and reverse, 5′-AAACGTCCATCTGCATTATCAAAC-3′; *nAChRα6*, forward, 5′-GGCAGGGATTCCTTCATGGG-3′ and reverse, 5′-GCCTCTCCTCAGTTGCACAG-3′; *nAChRα7*, forward, 5′-CATGGCCTTCTCGGTCTTCA-3′ and reverse, 5′-CACGGCCTCCACGAAGTT-3′; *nAChRα10*, forward, 5′-CAGATGCCTACCTACGATGGG-3′ and reverse, 5′-GGGAAGGCTGCTACATCCA-3′; *nAChRβ1*, forward, 5′-TGAGACCTCACTATCAGTACCCA-3′ and reverse, 5′-AGAACCACGACACTAAGGATGA-3′; *nAChRβ2*, forward, 5′-GGTGACAGTACAGCTTATGGTG-3′ and reverse, 5′-AGGCGATAATCTTCCCACTCC-3′; *nAChRβ3*, forward, 5′-TGCTGGTTCTCATCGTCCTTG-3′ and reverse, 5′-GCATCTTCATTTTCGGCGATTGA-3′; *nAChRβ4*, forward, 5′-CAGCTTATCAGCGTGAATGAGC-3′ and reverse, 5′-GTCAGGCGGTAATCAGTCCAT-3′; *Drp1*, forward, 5′-TGGGCGCCGACATCA-3′ and reverse, 5′-GCTCTGCGTTCCCACTACGA-3′; *Fis1*, forward, 5′-TACGTCCGCGGGTTGCT-3′ and reverse, 5′-CCAGTTCCTTGGCCTGGTT-3′; *Mfn1*, forward, 5′-GGCATCTGTGGCCGAGTT-3′ and reverse, 5′-ATTATGCTAAGTCTCCGCTCCAA-3′; *Mfn2*, forward, 5′-GCTCGGAGGCACATGAAAGT-3′ and reverse, 5′-ATCACGGTGCTCTTCCCATT-3′; *Opa1*, forward, 5′-GTGCTGCCCGCCTAGAAA-3′ and reverse, 5′-TGACAGGCACCCGTACTCAGT-3′; *GAPDH*, forward, 5′-GTCTCCTCTGACTTCAACAGCG-3′ and reverse, 5′-ACCACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAA-3′.

2.6. Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was performed as previously reported [22]. Briefly, the cells were lysed with Cell Lysis Buffer (Cell Signaling Technology). The proteins were then separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and electrophoretically transferred to Immobilon-P (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The membranes were probed with anti-Drp1 monoclonal antibodies (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Fis1 polyclonal antibodies (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), anti-Mfn1 polyclonal antibodies (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Mfn2 monoclonal antibodies (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Opa1 monoclonal antibodies (1:1000; BD Biosciences), and anti-β-actin monoclonal antibodies (1:5000; Sigma–Aldrich). The membranes were then incubated with secondary antibodies against rabbit or mouse IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Cell Signaling Technology). The bands were visualized using the ECL Western Blotting Analysis System (GE