Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ybbrc



2 Discussion

1 5 12

13

## Molecular control of mitochondrial calcium uptake

### 7 Q1 Diego De Stefani, Rosario Rizzuto\*

8 Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Padova and CNR Neuroscience Institute, Padova, Italy

#### ARTICLE INFO

Available online xxxx

Article history:

#### ABSTRACT

The recently identified Mitochondrial Calcium Uniporter (MCU) is the protein of the inner mitochondrial membrane responsible for  $Ca^{2+}$  uptake into the matrix, which plays a role in the control of cellular signaling, aerobic metabolism and apoptosis. At least two properties of mitochondrial calcium signaling are well defined: (i) mitochondrial  $Ca^{2+}$  uptake varies greatly among different cells and tissues, and (ii) channel opening is strongly affected by extramitochondrial  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration, with low activity at resting and high capacity after cellular stimulation. It is now becoming clear that these features of the mitochondrial  $Ca^{2+}$  uptake machinery are not embedded in the MCU protein itself, but are rather due to the contribution of several MCU interactors. The list of the components of the MCU complex is indeed rapidly growing, thus revealing an unexpected complexity that highlights the pleiotropic role of mitochondrial calcium signaling.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc.

28 29 The past three years have witnessed the molecular 30 identification of the long sought channel in charge for ruthenium red-sensitive mitochondrial Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake, the Mitochondrial 31 Calcium Uniporter (MCU) [1,2]. This discovery revived the whole 32 field of cellular calcium signaling [3], leading to the discovery of 33 a number of MCU interactors, including channel subunits [4] and 34 35 regulators [5–9]. The notion that MCU is the key molecule in mitochondrial Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake is confirmed in a variety of cellular systems, 36 37 including liver [2], cardiomyocytes [10,11], pancreatic β-cells [12,13], cancer cells [14,15] and neurons [16]. Moreover, 38 39 mitochondria derived from heart and skeletal muscle of MCU 40 knock-out mice have been shown to lack any ability to uptake  $Ca^{2+}$  [17]. However, whether MCU is per se sufficient to form a 41 functional channel is still a matter of debate, although both evolu-42 43 tionary analyses of MCU homologues and experimental data 44 support this hypothesis. Indeed, on one hand several proteins have been described to be necessary for MCU function in situ, since the 45 knockdown of MICU1 [5], MCUR1 [6] or EMRE [8] have been shown 46 47 to inhibit mitochondrial Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake. On the other hand, most of the components of the MCU complex are not strictly conserved 48 through evolution: MCUR1 is present only in metazoans, while 49 50 EMRE is lacking in plants and protozoa. Thus, some organisms show MCU mediated mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake even in the 51 absence of obvious EMRE or MCUR1 homologues, as recently dem-52 53 onstrated by Docampo and colleagues [18]. As to MICU1, despite

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.04.142 0006-291X/© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc. being the best conserved MCU regulator (even if some exceptions exist, e.g. *Neurospora crassa*, emerging evidence indicates that it is dispensable for MCU activity [19–22].

In addition to comparative genomics considerations, experimental work aimed to define the minimal requirements to form a functional channel necessarily relies on heterologous systems (e.g. planar lipid bilayers or liposomes). Our group showed that purified MCU is sufficient per se to form a calcium channel in planar lipid bilayer with most of the properties of the Mitochondrial Calcium Uniporter [1]. The observation that in this condition the 63 elicited current is similar, but not identical to that recorded in 64 patch clamp experiments of isolated mitoplasts [23] can be likely 65 accounted for by the different lipid environment, the lack of 66 post-translational modifications and the absence of endogenous 67 regulators. However, MCU reconstituted in planar lipid bilayers 68 and MCU measured in situ share similar conductance and the same 69 pharmacological profile (i.e. inhibition by ruthenium red and Gd<sup>3+</sup>) 70 [1,23,24]. Moreover, the specificity of planar lipid bilayer measure-71 ments are supported by numerous evidences: (i) the recombinant 72 MCU protein has been purified from both Escherichia coli and an 73 in vitro translation/transduction system based on wheat germ 74 75 lysate (where no membrane contaminants are present), yielding 76 the same results; (ii) site-specific mutagenesis of only two amino acids abolishes Ca2+ currents in vitro and, accordingly, inhibits 77 mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake in living cells [1]; (iii) expression of 78 the closely-related endogenous dominant-negative MCU isoform, 79 MCUb, does not elicit any current in Ca<sup>2+</sup>-containing media and 80 progressively inhibits MCU mediated Ca2+ current in a dose-81 dependent manner [4]; (iv) MCU open probability is modified by 82 its known modulators MICU1 and MICU2 [20]. Although additional 83

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

Please cite this article in press as: D. De Stefani, R. Rizzuto, Molecular control of mitochondrial calcium uptake, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.04.142

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Address: Department of Biomedical Sciences, University Q2 of Padova, Via Ugo Bassi 58/B, 35131 Padua, Italy. Fax: +39 0498276049.

*E-mail addresses:* diego.destefani@unipd.it (D. De Stefani), rosario.rizzuto@ unipd.it (R. Rizzuto).

2

experiments in the presence of other components of the complex (e.g. EMRE and MCUR1) are needed, these data strongly support the hypothesis that MCU is not only necessary but also sufficient to form a functional Ca<sup>2+</sup>-channel within the IMM.

As to the regulation, MCU is part of a higher order complex that 88 migrates around 500 kDa in blue-native gel electrophoresis 89 [2,7,8,20]. The list of interactors is growing rapidly and now 90 91 includes MCUb [4], the MICU family (that includes MICU1, MICU2 92 and MICU3) [7], MCUR1 [6] (that likely has an isoform, i.e. 93 CCDC90B), EMRE [8] and SLC25A23 [9]. Such complexity is peculiar among calcium channels and most likely reflects the pleiotropic 94 role that calcium signals play within the mitochondrial matrix as 95 well as in modulating cytoplasmic [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] changes. Indeed, it is rea-96 97 sonable to think that the difference in mitochondrial Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake of 98 different tissues [25] relies on the quantitative and qualitative dif-99 ferences in the composition of the MCU complex at the molecular 100 level. A first level of complexity derives from the pore forming 101 components of the complex. Indeed, the closely related MCU gene, named MCUb, encodes for a protein significantly similar in 102 structure but functionally acting as a dominant-negative channel 103 104 subunit [4]. The presence of at least two key substitutions in the 105 amino acid sequence of the loop region of the channel (W251 and V256) was predicted to impair Ca<sup>2+</sup> permeation across the pore 106 through computational modeling; moreover, in vitro, MCUb does 107 not allow the transit of Ca<sup>2+</sup> cations (despite being per se a func-108 109 tional channel, allowing sodium permeation) and progressively inhibits Ca<sup>2+</sup> currents when included within the MCU oligomer; 110 finally, MCUb decreases agonist-induced calcium transients in 111 living cells, an effect that can be mimicked by introducing the 112 113 R251W and E256V mutations in the MCU sequence. Most importantly, comparative analysis of the MCU and MCUb expression at 114 the mRNA level suggests the putative biological meaning of this 115 molecular heterogeneity. Indeed, MCU:MCUb expression ratio 116 varies from 3:1 to more than 40:1, a piece of data that nicely 117 118 correlates, at least in part, with the tissue properties in term of mitochondrial Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake. As an example, in situ direct measure-119 ments of Ca<sup>2+</sup> currents showed that mouse heart mitochondria 120 show a dramatically lower current density than skeletal muscle 121

[25]. This observation is in agreement with the MCU:MCUb expression ratio, which is low (3:1) in heart and high (40:1) in skeletal122muscle. Although this hypothesis needs further studies, we think124that MCU:MCUb ratio may play a major role in determining the125intrinsic tissue-specific signatures of mitochondrial Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake.126

Another important intrinsic feature of the mitochondrial Ca<sup>2+</sup> 127 uptake machinery is its sigmoidal response to extramitochondrial 128 [Ca<sup>2+</sup>], with low channel activity at resting cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> levels 129 (in order to prevent vicious cycling of the cation with consequent 130 energy drain) and a very large Ca<sup>2+</sup> carrying capacity at higher 131  $[Ca^{2+}]$  (to ensure rapid mitochondrial  $Ca^{2+}$  uptake, and hence 132 stimulation of oxidative metabolism, in stimulated cells). MCU is 133 a relative small protein, largely residing in the mitochondrial 134 matrix [26], with only a short loop protruding into the intermem-135 brane space (EYSWDIMEP): it is thus hard to believe that such a 136 complex regulation could be entirely embedded within the chan-137 nel only. Rather, it would require a specific Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensor located in 138 the IMS acting as an inhibitor or activator at low and high [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] 139 respectively. The best candidates for this role belong to the MICU 140 protein family, that includes three isoforms named MICU1, MICU2 141 and MICU3, characterized by the common presence of at least two 142 EF hand domains. While MICU1 and MICU2 have a broad tissue 143 expression [7] that parallels that of MCU, MICU3 appears to be 144 present mostly in the brain, suggesting a tissue-specific function 145 of this isoform. MICU1 (and thus most likely also MICU2 and 146 MICU3) is a soluble protein that interacts with MCU. It is generally 147 recognized to be located in the IMS [7,20,21], although a recent 148 report locates MICU1 inside the matrix [27]. Although further 149 studies will be necessary to precisely address this issue, the idea 150 that MICU1 regulates MCU opening according to the extramito-151 chondrial  $[Ca^{2+}]$  argues for its location in the intermembrane space. 152 As to the function, several hypotheses are present in literature. 153 MICU1 was indeed the first protein regulating mitochondrial Ca<sup>2+</sup> 154 uptake to be identified [5]: in this work, MICU1 was shown to be 155 necessary for mitochondrial uptake, since its knockdown almost 156 abolished [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] transients in the matrix. Later, Madesh and 157 colleagues showed that the authentic role of MICU1 is that of keep-158 ing MCU close when the extramitochondrial  $[Ca^{2+}]$  is low [22]: 159



**Fig. 1.** Schematic representation of the MCU complex: in resting condition (on the left) mitochondrial calcium uptake is controlled by a multiprotein complex that can be composed by MCU and MCUb (the channel forming subunits) together with EMRE, MICU1, MICU2, MCUR1 and SLC25A23 (omitted for simplicity). In particular, MICU1/ MICU2 heterodimers act as MCU gatekeeper, thanks to the prevailing inhibitory effect of MICU2, thus preventing vicious calcium cycles and energy sink; activation of cellular calcium signaling results in an increase of cations concentration (on the right) that induces a conformational change in the whole dimer that releases MICU2-dependent inhibition and triggers MICU1-mediated enhancement of MCU channeling activity.

Please cite this article in press as: D. De Stefani, R. Rizzuto, Molecular control of mitochondrial calcium uptake, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.04.142

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10754730

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10754730

Daneshyari.com