



## Establishment and validation of a method for multi-dose irradiation of cells in 96-well microplates

Ioannis Abatzoglou, Christos E. Zois, Stamatia Pouliliou, Michael I. Koukourakis\*

Department of Radiotherapy/Oncology, Radiobiology and Radiopathology Unit, Democritus University of Thrace, Alexandroupolis, Greece

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 27 November 2012

Available online 16 January 2013

#### Keywords:

96-Well plate

Microplate

Radiation

Dose–response

### ABSTRACT

Microplates are useful tools in chemistry, biotechnology and molecular biology. In radiobiology research, these can be also applied to assess the effect of a certain radiation dose delivered to the whole microplate, to test radio-sensitivity, radio-sensitization or radio-protection. Whether different radiation doses can be accurately applied to a single 96-well plate to further facilitate and accelerated research by one hand and spare funds on the other, is a question dealt in the current paper. Following repeated ion-chamber, TLD and radiotherapy planning dosimetry we established a method for multi-dose irradiation of cell cultures within a 96-well plate, which allows an accurate delivery of desired doses in sequential columns of the microplate. Up to eight different dose levels can be tested in one microplate. This method results in fast and reliable estimation of radiation dose–response curves.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Microplates or microtiter plates are useful tools in chemistry, biotechnology and molecular biology. These were first designed by Gyula Takatsy, a Hungarian physician, during a serious influenza epidemic back in 1951, providing a fast and economic test method [1]. The side by side arrangement of six up to 1536 wells on a plate, allowed to fill simultaneously multiple sample tubes with defined volumes of liquid samples. The subsequent development of photometry with microplate readers that replaced titration provided a quick and accurate quantitative method of liquid sample analysis.

Apart from the diagnostic application in ELISA measurements of various proteins in patient serum, pharmaceutical screening of active compounds is also a major application of microplates in cancer research, allowing the rapid assessment of cell survival after exposure to various drugs and various drug concentrations. For cell cultures the surface of wells are modified using a plasma discharge to allow easy adhesion and growth of cells.

Multiple concentrations of a certain drug can be applied in sequential wells containing different cancer cell concentrations, facilitating the quick screening of cytotoxicity. Remnant cell can be stained and their density assessed in 96-well plate readers. Fluorescence or absorbance readers (according to the method applied) can plot survival/time curves for different dose levels. In

radiobiology research, this can be also applied for a certain radiation dose level delivered to the whole microplate, to test radio-sensitivity, radio-sensitization or radio-protection.

Whether different radiation doses can be accurately applied to a single 96-well microplate to further facilitate and accelerate research, is a question dealt in the current paper.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Radiation beam quality

Partial irradiation of a 96-well plate should be better performed with high energy X-rays so that the radiation field has sharp margins (more narrow penumbra than cobalt 60 or other isotope unit). The Linear Accelerator we use in the current study is the PRECISE (ELEKTA) with MultiLeaf Collimator and produces photons (energies 6 and 18 MV) and electrons (energies 6–18 MeV). The 6 MV photon energy produced has depth of maximum dose 16 mm water and  $TPR_{20,10} = 0.680$ .

#### 2.2. Building a Plexiglas system

For this 6 MV X-ray beam to reach electronic equilibrium, the thickness of the irradiated material demanded is 16 mm (water equivalent). Thus, irradiation of the cell cultures within the wells, have to be performed with a posterior (upwards directed) beam and an adequate bolus material should be placed below the plate so that the beam could penetrate a material with at least 16 mm equivalent water before reaching the cells. Moreover, in order to reassure an equal radiation contribution to all wells from the back

\* Corresponding author. Address: Department of Radiotherapy/Oncology, Democritus University of Thrace, Alexandroupolis 68100, Greece. Fax: +30 25510 30349.

E-mail address: [targ@her.forthnet.gr](mailto:targ@her.forthnet.gr) (M.I. Koukourakis).

and side scatter radiation, a similar material has to be placed over and around the 96-well plate. We, therefore, used  $30 \times 30$  cm plates of Plexiglas (PMMA polymethylmethacrylate) of  $1.190 \text{ g/cm}^3$  density to construct a 'box' that embraces the 96-well plate well. 20 mm thick Plexiglas is equivalent to 22.39 mm of water so that a minimum thickness of 14.29 mm Plexiglas (equivalent 16 mm water) is demanded to allow electron equilibrium. A cross section of the Plexiglas box containing the 96-well plate, using a CT-scanner, is shown in Fig. 1(A). Using the chosen thickness of the Plexiglas, shown in Fig. 1, that are adapted to the dimensions of the 96-well plate, we can use a source surface distance SSD of 97 cm (gantry at  $0^\circ$ ). When the gantry turns to  $180^\circ$  the center of the field is at the center of the cell culture in the well (98 cm from below). The isocenter is at the bottom of the well.

### 2.3. Ion chamber dosimetry

The ion chamber 31003 flexible, with cavity volume  $0.3 \text{ cm}^3$  (PTW, Germany) was used for simulated radiation dose distribution in the 96-well plate. Dosimetric recording was performed using the UNIDOS electrometer (PTW, Germany). The ion chamber provides dose assessment from irradiated point of less than 1 mm, so by moving the chamber by 3 mm steps we could assess differential dose distribution at these small distances. As the well's bottom is about 6 mm wide, three different measurements could be obtained within a well (middle area and two lateral areas in the well). With a specially constructed Plexiglas (same material as "The Plexiglas system") with an insertion to receive the ion chamber and with suitable table movements (electronically monitored by the LINAC table system), the ion chamber measure the radiation at the 3 points per well.

### 2.4. TLD dosimetry

To further measure the dose received by the wells, thermoluminescent dosimetry was applied using GR200 TLDs (LiF: Mg,Cu,P) (tablet form of 4.5 mm of diameter and 0.8 mm thick) and the TLM Reader (Fimel, France). TLDs were calibrated following irradiation at escalated doses with a 6 MV LINAC (ELECTA) and with


a cobalt 60 unit (Theratron) (data not shown). Two TLDs were placed in each well. Tissue equivalent bolus was placed over the TLDs to simulate the height of the cell culture (total volume  $200 \mu\text{l}$ ).

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. The width of the field

A 96-well plated was used to perform irradiation of two and three consecutive columns of the microplate, using a 6 MV X-ray beam. The microplate was scanned by a CT-scanner (Tomoscan EG, Philips) and, using a radiotherapy treatment planning system (Plato, Nucletron), a field was created to encompass two columns only leaving the adjacent ones outside the radiation portal. The center of the field was placed between the two wells. The width of this field was 1.8 cm. A significant variation of dose within one well was noted (data not shown). This field was subsequently applied to deliver 2 Gy of radiation to the ion chamber Plexiglas system, by moving the ion chamber by steps of 3 mm (three steps within one well). A significant drop of the percentage of dose within the same well was noted. From 100% measured at the center of the field (point between the two wells near the one edge of the wells) dropped to 81.5% to the center of the wells and to 59% to the distal edge of the wells. This shows that a field directed to two columns is unacceptable due to the up to 41% dose variation within the same well.

A field to cover 3 columns of wells (27 mm of width) was, therefore, used to assess dose distribution in the irradiated wells. A central column was chosen to pace the center of the field and the dose distribution to the adjacent columns, within and outside the radiation portal, was assessed. Fig. 1(A) shows the isodose curves from the planning system. The dose distribution as shown by the ion chamber dosimetry showed that the central well receives 100% of the dose while the margins of the well received 99.3% of the dose (Fig. 1B). The intra-well dose distribution is, therefore, optimal. Further dosimetry performed using the ion chamber/Plexiglas system at distance corresponding to the consecutive wells confirmed the planning estimations. The percentage of dose to the adjacent well within the field drops to 84%. To the columns outside



**Fig. 1.** (A) A cross section of the Plexiglas 96-well plate system, using CT-scan imaging. The dimensions of the 96-well plate are  $12.8 \times 8.6 \times 1.6$  cm. This is placed over a small 3 mm thick Plexiglas (2 mm additional plexi + 1 mm the bottom of the well) and this above a large 'base' of 17 mm thick Plexiglas; dimensions  $30 \times 30$  cm). Subsequently, Plexiglas ( $30 \times 30 \times 1.6$  cm) with a central empty rectangular space of  $12.8 \times 8.6 \times 1.6$  cm is placed above the large base to encompass the 96-well plate. This is called the 'side' Plexiglas. Above this, a 17 mm thick 'cover' Plexiglas (dimensions  $30 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm} \times 1.7$  cm) is placed (total thickness of plexi 18 mm adding the 1 mm the plexi of the 96-well plate's cap). Using a source surface distance of 97 cm (at the surface of the cover Plexiglas) the gantry being at 0 degrees, the center of the field is focused in the center of the cell culture in the wells, as soon as the gantry turns to 180 degrees. In the central position of the cross section the 12 wells of the 96-well plate are evident (the 2nd and 3rd are empty, while the remaining are filled with culture medium). The isodose curves around a central well, using a three well covering 6 MV beam (27 mm width), as calculated by the radiotherapy planning system are displayed. (B) Dose distribution in subsequent wells, using a three well covering beam, starting from the center of the field (in this case placed at well number 5) and moving to the right to subsequent wells, using ion chamber and TLD dosimetry.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10759803>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10759803>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)