

Original article

Effect of aerobic exercise on insulin resistance and central adiposity disappeared after the discontinuation of intervention in overweight women

Shenglong Le^a, Lijuan Mao^{b,*}, Dajiang Lu^{c,*}, Yifan Yang^a,
Xiao Tan^d, Petri Wiklund^d, Sulin Cheng^{a,c}

^a Department of Physical Education, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

^b School of Physical Education and Training, Shanghai University of Sport, Shanghai 200438, China

^c School of Kinesiology, Shanghai University of Sport, Shanghai 200438, China

^d Department of Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä FI-40014, Finland

Received 12 March 2016; revised 30 March 2016; accepted 2 April 2016

Available online 8 April 2016

Abstract

Purpose: This study aimed to assess whether the benefits of exercise on central adiposity and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) are maintained after discontinuation of intervention in the overweight/obese (OWOB) women.

Methods: The study subjects were from 2 independent studies with similar aerobic exercise (AE) intervention programs. In study I, 15 OWOB postmenopausal women with pre-diabetes (body mass index, BMI = 24–33 kg/m², aged 52–65 years) completed an 8-month exercise intervention and were followed for 2 years after the intervention. In study II, 12 OWOB (BMI = 25–35 kg/m², aged 30–50 years) premenopausal women participated in a 6-week AE and were followed for 4 years after the intervention. The exercise program consisted of progressive AE with intensity of 60%–75% of initial fitness level, 30–60 min/time and 3–5 times/week. Fat mass (FM) was assessed by Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA Prodigy; study I) or bioelectrical impedance device (Inbody 720; study II). Plasma glucose and insulin were assessed by chemiluminescent immunoassay and HOMA-IR was calculated.

Results: Both 8-month and 6-week moderate AE were effective in reducing HOMA-IR (−18.9%, $p = 0.012$ and −26.7%, $p = 0.046$, respectively), and 8-month AE reduced FM at upper abdominal region (−6.2%, $p = 0.021$). However, these improvements were not maintained in either study at the follow-up.

Conclusion: The AE program used in these studies was effective to reduce insulin resistance and/or FM in central body region among overweight and obese women. However, when exercise intervention was discontinued, the beneficial effects following both short- and long-term intervention disappeared. Thus maintaining exercise seems to be required if one wants to reap the benefits of exercise in the long-term.

© 2016 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Shanghai University of Sport. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Keywords: Exercise intervention; Obesity; Postmenopause; Premenopause; Relapse

1. Introduction

The current public health burdens caused by chronic disease cluster are associated with sedentary lifestyle and unbalanced diet.¹ Studies have shown that increasing individual levels of physical activity (PA) by exercise intervention is effective for reducing insulin resistance^{2–5} and adiposity,^{6,7} especially among populations with sedentary lifestyle and obesity.⁷ However, such

studies are still facing the challenge in terms of whether the subjects would maintain the PA level after the discontinuation of the organized exercise and whether the benefit gained from exercise intervention remained after intervention over time.

To date, the results of whether the benefit from exercise could remain after exercise intervention are still inconclusive. Some studies have shown that lifestyle intervention including exercise can prevent or delay progression to type 2 diabetes,^{4–12} and that effects persist even after the intervention is stopped.^{10–12} These positive results are mainly from long-term interventions. However, other studies showed that the participants who initially lost weight following lifestyle interventions gradually regained weight by the end of intervention.^{7,13} One of

Peer review under responsibility of Shanghai University of Sport.

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail address: maolijuan@sus.edu.cn (L. Mao); ludajiang2000@aliyun.com (D. Lu).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2016.04.003>

2095-2546/© 2016 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Shanghai University of Sport. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

the main reasons for the loss of the health benefits from exercise is that weight loss is in a dose–response of exercise fashion.¹⁴ One study has shown that more than half of the obese and diabetes patients involved in an exercise program did not maintain the same level of PA at 6 months after the intervention.¹⁵ A decrease of exercise is accompanied with weight regain, even for those who have previously succeeded in loss their weight over time.¹⁶ Therefore, relationships between organized exercise interventions, their cessation, and the maintenance (or lack of) long-term health benefits remain incompletely defined.

The present report aimed to address the following issue: when considering “Exercise is Medicine” for diseases such as impaired glucose metabolism, can the benefit of “Exercise is Medicine” on insulin resistance and central adiposity be maintained after discontinuation of interventions during prolonged follow-up? We reported outcomes based on 2 different studies. Both studies had used same exercise type and intensity; however with different duration of intervention in overweight/obese (OWOB) women.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

The study subjects were from 2 independent studies with similar aerobic exercise (AE) intervention program that were introduced elsewhere.^{17,18} Briefly, for the purpose of this report, only those in the exercise group with both pre-intervention and follow-up assessment results were included. Study I included 15 OWOB postmenopausal women (body mass index, BMI = 24–33 kg/m², aged 52–65 years) with pre-diabetes who have completed an 8-month exercise intervention and followed for 2 years. Study II consisted of 12 OWOB premenopausal women (BMI = 25–35 kg/m², aged 30–50 years) participated in a 6-week AE and followed for 4 years after onset of intervention. Studies I and II were approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Institute of Nutrition (No. 2013–003) and the Ethical Committee of Central Finland Health Care District (7/2011 OTE), respectively. Written informed consents were obtained from all subjects prior to the assessments.

2.2. Background information

Background information including medical history, current health status, and level of PA was collected via self-administered questionnaires and was described elsewhere.^{17,18} For this report, the level of PA in terms of hours and times per week was only considered unsupervised exercises because subjects participating in supervised exercise sessions was similar. The inactivity (IPA) was defined as amount of hours spent sitting and lying down including sleep per day.

2.3. Anthropometry and fat mass (FM)

Anthropometry and body composition were assessed in the morning after 12-h fasting. Height was determined using a wall-fixed measuring device, and body mass using a calibrated scale, and from these, BMI was calculated. In study I, a dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA Prodigy; GE Lunar Corp., Madison, WI, USA) was used to estimate FM of the whole body and abdominal android and gynoid regions. Precision of the

repeated measurements of FM expressed as coefficient of variation was on average 2.2%. In study II, a bioelectrical impedance analysis device (Inbody 720; Biospace Co. Ltd., Seoul, Korea) was used for determining FM. Precision of the repeated measurements of FM expressed as coefficient of variation was on average 0.6%.

2.4. Insulin resistance

Venous blood samples were taken in standardized fasting conditions at 7:00–8:00 a.m. Plasma samples were used to assess glucose and insulin and explained in previous papers.^{17,18} The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) index was calculated as (fasting insulin concentration × fasting glucose concentration)/22.5.¹⁹

2.5. Exercise intervention

Both studies used the same exercise program.¹⁷ Specifically, for exercise intervention, supervised exercise program (mainly aerobic exercise such as Nordic walking plus stretching and other group exercise) based on the recommendation for sedentary adults was implemented.²⁰ The supervised exercise program was progressive and variable and was monitored by an exercise researcher for 2 times a week during the course of the study. The other 1–3 times of unsupervised exercise sessions were performed by participants themselves according the instruction. The intensity of exercise was increased from 60% to 75% of the maximum oxygen uptake (estimated from fitness test) and the duration of exercise was increased from 30 to 60 min per session, and the frequency from 3 to 5 times a week. The exercise intensity was monitored by using heart rate monitor (M5; Suunto, Vantaa, Finland) in each supervised exercise session and monthly 1 time during unsupervised sessions. The exercise protocol was updated weekly in study I¹⁷ and monthly in study II.¹⁸

3. Results

In study I, the changes of unsupervised participation in PA/IPA in terms of hours and times per week and hours per week in sitting and lying down, and body weight and FM are shown in [Table 1](#). The unsupervised exercise sessions significantly increased during intervention ($p < 0.01$) but reduced after the discontinuation of intervention. When taking into account the supervised sessions, the amount of exercise decreased after intervention at the 2 years follow-up. During the intervention, 61.5% of the subjects increased the amount of unsupervised exercise and the remaining 38.5% did not change. After intervention, only 53.8% maintained their exercise participation as during intervention and the other 46.2% decreased their exercise time and frequency.

There were no significant changes in body weight and whole body and lower abdominal FM. Interestingly, the upper abdominal FM at the android region decreased significantly after intervention ($-6.1%$, $p = 0.021$) but regained during the follow-up ($12.8%$, $p < 0.01$, [Fig. 1D](#)). The HOMA-IR decreased significantly after intervention ($-18.9%$, $p = 0.012$) but attenuated during the follow-up ($5.0%$, $p > 0.05$, [Fig. 1C](#)).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1084033>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1084033>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)