FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Biochimica et Biophysica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbacan



Review

Chemopreventive effects of aspirin at a glance



Muhammad Waqas Usman a,b,1, Fuwen Luo c,1, Hailing Cheng a,d,e,*, Jean J. Zhao d,e,f,**, Pixu Liu a,b,d,***

- ^a Cancer Institute, Second Affiliated Hospital, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning, China
- ^b Institute of Cancer Stem Cell, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning, China
- ^c Department of Acute Abdomen Surgery, Second Affiliated Hospital, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning, China
- d Department of Cancer Biology, Dana–Farber Cancer Institute Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- ^e Department of Surgery, Brigham and Women's Hospital Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- f Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 December 2014 Received in revised form 5 March 2015 Accepted 21 March 2015 Available online 2 April 2015

Keywords: Aspirin Cancer Chemoprevention Cyclooxygenase

ABSTRACT

Experimental, epidemiological, and clinical data from the last two decades have each supported the hypothesis that aspirin possesses anticancer properties, and that its use may also reduce the lifetime probability of developing or dying from a number of cancers. Aspirin's ability to act on multiple key metabolic and signaling pathways via inhibition of the cyclooxygenase (COX) enzyme, as well as through COX-independent mechanisms, makes it particularly relevant in the fight against cancer. A growing body of evidence indicates that aspirin may not only reduce cancer risk, but also prevent metastasis and angiogenesis while slowing the rate of mutation-inducing DNA damage. These emerging benefits of aspirin are offset to some extent by the known risks of treatment, such as cardiovascular events and gastrointestinal bleeding. However, it has been shown that pre-treatment risk assessment of individual patients and the use of proton pump inhibitors or *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy concomitantly with aspirin treatment can reduce these potential risks. Thus, the significant benefits of aspirin treatment, coupled with recent data concerning its risks, may prove to tip the balance in favor of aspirin use in cancer prevention.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1.	Background	254
2.	Aspirin as a chemopreventive agent	255
3.	Dose and duration of aspirin therapy for cancer prophylaxis	256
4.	Mechanistic explanations for the anti-tumorigenic potential of aspirin	257
5.	Risk-to-benefit ratio of aspirin use in cancer prevention	259
6.	An emerging clinical entity: "aspirin resistance"	260
7.	Conclusion	260
Tran	sparency document	261
Ackr	nowledgments	261
Refe	rences	

* Correspondence to: H. Cheng, Cancer Institute, Second Affiliated Hospital, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning, China.

1. Background

Aspirin is a widely used, inexpensive drug that is easily available without any prescription. Its story began in the late 18th century with the use of willow bark extract containing salicylate as an analgesic and antipyretic agent. With few modifications, this ancient remedy was first given the name aspirin in 1897 by German Chemist Felix Hoffman [1]. Decades later, in 1971, Sir John Vane elucidated aspirin's active mechanism as an inhibitor of prostaglandin synthetase [2] through the irreversible acetylation of a serine residue at position 529 [3]. Today, the prevalence of aspirin use has significantly increased as it has also

^{**} Correspondence to: J.J. Zhao, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, 450 Brookline Avenue, Smith 936, Boston, MA 02215, USA. Tel.: +1 617 632 2932.

^{***} Correspondence to: P. Liu, Institute of Cancer Stem Cell, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning 116044, China. Tel.: +86 15840970870.

E-mail addresses: hailing_cheng@dfci.harvard.edu (H. Cheng), Jean_zhao@dfci.harvard.edu (J.J. Zhao), Pixu_liu@dlmedu.edu.cn (P. Liu).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

been shown to reduce the risk of myocardial infarction and stroke [4,5]. In recent years, emerging evidence showing the benefits of aspirin in cancer prevention has ignited a renewed interest in its research [6]. In this article, we review the recent literature concerning the role of aspirin in cancer prevention and highlight its influence on several key hallmarks of cancer as a disease. Furthermore, we consider the potential mechanism of aspirin in cancer prevention and its ability to target several signaling pathways. This review also presents some important

insights into the benefits and risks of aspirin use as related to its dose and the duration of treatment, which may prove to be helpful in forming a future clinical standard of aspirin use for cancer prophylaxis.

2. Aspirin as a chemopreventive agent

In the last decade, multiple new lines of evidence from a rapidly growing body of research have strengthened the hypothesis that aspirin

 Table 1

 Effects of aspirin on overall and site-specific cancer incidence and mortality.

Study	Follow-up/exposure period	Definition of aspirin use	Case/control or subject	HR or RR, 95% CI	Reference
Cancer incidence					
Women Health Initiative Observational Study and Clinical Trial	Median follow-up period of 9.7 years	Any aspirin used for ≥ 5 years	496/3743	$HR = 0.98, 95\% \text{ CI } (0.89 \text{ to } 1.08)^a$ overall cancer	Brasky et al. [23]
	Farrage of the James		82/5859	$HR = 0.73, 95\% \text{ CI } (0.54 \text{ to } 0.97)^{\text{b}}$	
			54/5887	gastrointestinal cancer HR = 0.69, 95% CI (0.48 to 0.99) ^b	
			72/5869	colorectal cancer HR = 0.89, 95% CI (0.65 to 1.22) ^a	
			244/5697	lung cancer HR = 1.05, 95% CI (0.89 to 1.23) ^a	
			8/4824	breast cancer HR = 0.37, 95% CI (0.16 to 0.84) ^b	
			24/5917	ovarian cancer HR = 0.69, 95% CI (0.40 to 1.20) ^c	
Cancer Prevention Study II	Median follow-up	Daily use of adult-strength aspirin	493/23,259	melanoma RR = 0.84 , 95% CI $(0.76 \text{ to } 0.93)^{\text{b}}$	Jacobs
ıtrition Cohort	period of 10 years	$(\geq 325 \text{ mg/day}) \text{ for } \geq 5 \text{ years}$	146/12,427	male (overall cancer) RR = 0.86, 95% CI (0.73 to 1.03) ^c	et al. [7]
			225/24,033	female (overall cancer) RR = 0.81, 95% CI (0.70 to 0.94) ^b	
			55/12,721	prostate cancer $RR = 0.83, 95\% \text{ CI } (0.63 \text{ to } 1.10)^{c}$	
			60/38,302	breast cancer $RR = 0.68, 95\% \text{ CI } (0.52 \text{ to } 0.90)^{\text{b}}$	
			85/38,400	colorectal cancer $RR = 0.98, 95\% \text{ CI } (0.76 \text{ to } 1.25)^a$	
Women Health Study	Average follow-up of 10.1 years	100 mg of aspirin administered every other day	2865/39,876	lung cancer RR = 1.01, 95% CI (0.94 to 1.08) ^a	Cook et al. [24]
			1230/39,876	overall cancer RR = 0.98 , 95% CI $(0.87 \text{ to } 1.09)^a$	
			269/39,876	breast cancer $RR = 0.97, 95\% \text{ CI } (0.77 \text{ to } 1.24)^a$	
			205/39,876	colorectal cancer RR = 0.78, 95% CI (0.59 to 1.03) ^c	
			205/39,876	lung cancer RR = 0.95, 95% CI (0.68 to 1.35) ^a	
				ovarian cancer	
Cancer mortality Long Term Effect of Aspirin on Cancer Mortality	20 years follow up	Daily use of aspirin for ≥5 years	1378/10,502	HR = 0.78, 95% CI (0.70 to 0.87) ^b overall cancer	Rothwell et al. [8]
on cancer wortainty			126/NS	$HR = 1.09, 95\% \text{ CI } (0.76 \text{ to } 1.56)^a$	et al. [o]
			179/NS	hematological cancers HR = 0.60, 95% CI (0.45 to 0.81) ^b	
			210/NS	colorectal cancer HR = 0.81, 95% CI (0.61 to 1.06) ^a	
			326/NS	prostate cancer $HR = 0.71, 95\% \text{ CI } (0.58 \text{ to } 0.89)^{\text{b}}$	
			62/NS	lung cancer HR = 0.42, 95% CI (0.25 to 0.71) ^b	
Women Health Study	Average follow-up of 10.1 years	100 mg of aspirin administered every other day for ≥5 years	583/39,876	esophageal cancer RR = 0.98, 95% CI (0.89 to 1.09) ^a	Cook et al. [24]
			65/39,876	overall cancer RR = 0.96 , 95% CI $(0.78 \text{ to } 1.18)^a$	
			140/39,876	colorectal cancer RR = 0.68 , 95% CI $(0.45 \text{ to } 1.05)^{c}$	
			63/39,876	lung cancer RR = 0.96, 95% CI (0.83 to 1.12) ^a	

Abbreviations: NS, not specified; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazards ratios; RR, relative risk.

a No reduction.

b Statistically significant reduction.

^c Statistically non-significant reduction.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10895558

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10895558

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>