

available at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

www.elsevier.com/locate/molonc



Topoisomerase 1(TOP1) gene copy number in stage III colorectal cancer patients and its relation to prognosis



Maria Unni Rømer^{a,*}, Sune Boris Nygård^a, Ib Jarle Christensen^b, Signe Lykke Nielsen^a, Kirsten Vang Nielsen^c, Sven Müller^c, David Hersi Smith^{a,c}, Ben Vainer^d, Hans Jørgen Nielsen^{e,f}, Nils Brünner^a

^aSection of Pathobiology, Department of Veterinary Disease Biology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Dyrlægevej 88, DK-1870 Frederiksberg, Denmark ^bFinsen Laboratory, Rigshospitalet and Biotech Research and Innovation Centre (BRIC), University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen Biocenter, Ole Maaloes Vej 5, Building 3, 3rd Floor, DK-2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark ^cR&D, Dako A/S, Produktionsvej 42, DK-2600 Glostrup, Denmark

^dDepartment of Pathology, Rigshospitalet, Blegdamsvej 9, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

^eDepartment of Surgical Gastroenterology 360, Hvidovre Hospital, Kettegård Allé 30, DK-2650 Hvidovre, Denmark ^fDepartment of Surgery and Internal Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 July 2012 Received in revised form 6 September 2012 Accepted 21 September 2012 Available online 11 October 2012

Keywords: Colorectal cancer Prognosis FISH Topoisomerase 1 Gene copy number Biomarker

ABSTRACT

Purpose: A Topoisomerase 1 (Top1) poison is frequently included in the treatment regimens for metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). However, no predictive biomarkers for Top1 poisons are available. We here report a study on the TOP1 gene copy number in CRC patients and its association with patient prognosis and tumor cell proliferation.

Experimental design: The study included TOP1 and CEN-20 fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analyses on formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue sections from 154 stage III CRC chemonaïve patients. The frequencies of aberration in the TOP1 gene copy number, the CEN-20 copy number and the TOP1/CEN-20 ratio were analyzed and associated with overall survival (OS), time to recurrence (TTR) and in a subgroup analysis of rectal cancer patients only with time to local recurrence (LR in RC). Moreover, the TOP1 and CEN-20 copy numbers were correlated with the tumor Ki67 proliferation index.

Results: 35.7% of the tumors had an increased TOP1 copy number above 4n gene copies per cell and 28.6% and 9.7% had a TOP1/CEN-20 ratio \geq 1.5 or \geq 2.0, respectively. The TOP1 copy number and the TOP1/CEN-20 ratios were separately added into multivariate analyses as continuous variables, in which also age, gender, primary tumor location and Ki67 status were added as covariates. In contrast to the TOP1/CEN-20 ratio, the TOP1 copy number was significantly associated with OS (HR: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.42–0.90; p = 0.01). Neither the TOP1 copy number nor the ratio was significantly associated with TTR and only the TOP1/CEN-20

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +45 26 14 06 16; fax: +45 35 33 27 55.

Abbreviations: CEN-20, centromere 20; CC, colon cancer; CI, confidence interval; CRC, colorectal cancer; DFS, disease free survival; 5FU, 5-fluorouracil; FFPE, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; GISTIC, Genomic Identification of Significant Targets in Cancer; HR, hazard ratio; Ki67, Antigen KI-67; LR in RC, local recurrence in rectal cancer; mCRC, metastatic CRC; PFS, progression free survival; OS, overall survival; REMARK, REporting recommendations for tumor MARKer prognostic studies; RC, rectal cancer; SN38, 7-Ethyl-10-hydroxy-camptothecin; SD, standard deviation; TTR, time to recurrence; Top1, DNA Topoisomerase 1 protein; TOP1, DNA Topoisomerase 1 gene; Top2a, DNA Topoisomerase 1 alpha protein; TOP2A, DNA Topoisomerase 2 gene.

E-mail addresses: romer@life.ku.dk, n_romer@yahoo.com (M.U. Rømer), snyg@life.ku.dk (S.B. Nygård), ib.jarle@finsenlab.dk (I.J. Christensen), siln@life.ku.dk (S.L. Nielsen), kirsten.vang@dako.com (K.V. Nielsen), sven.muller@dako.com (S. Müller), David.smith@dako.com (D.H. Smith), Ben.vainer@rh.regionh.dk (B. Vainer), h.j.nielsen@ofir.dk (H.J. Nielsen), nbr@life.ku.dk (N. Brünner). 1574-7891/\$ — see front matter © 2012 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molonc.2012.09.001

ratio was significantly associated with LR in RC (HR: 0.25; 95% CI: 0.08-0.83; p = 0.02). No significant correlation was found between the TOP1 copy number and proliferation, while a weak and inverse correlation between the CEN-20 copy number and proliferation was observed.

Conclusions: This study showed that increased TOP1 gene copy numbers are frequent findings in cancer cells in stage III CRC tumors but unrelated to the proliferative status of the tumors. The association with prognosis is important to consider when planning and analyzing future studies investigating TOP1 as a potential predictive biomarker for Top1 poisons.

© 2012 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In early as well as late stage colorectal cancer (CRC) there is a need to identify and validate predictive markers, which will allow for a personalized treatment approach where the individual patient upfront receives the treatment with the highest likelihood of a beneficial effect.

Most predictive biomarkers also carry a prognostic value, which may disturb the interpretation of the clinical value of such biomarkers (Nielsen and Brunner, 2011). One good example of this is the gene for topoisomerase $II\alpha$ (Top2 α), TOP2A. Breast cancer patients with TOP2A gene aberrations (amplifications or deletions) have a significantly worse prognosis than patients with normal TOP2A copy numbers (Knoop et al., 2005). At the same time, these patients have an increased likelihood of obtaining a beneficial effect from treatment with Top 2α poisons. For example, treating TOP2A gene aberrated breast cancer patients with adjuvant chemotherapy containing an anthracycline (Top2a poison) will significantly increase disease free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) of these patients and the TOP2A aberrant patients will now have DFS and OS comparable to TOP2A normal patients treated with an anthracycline (Knoop et al., 2005; Di et al., 2011; Nielsen and Brunner, 2011). However, without a control group receiving non-anthracycline containing chemotherapy, the predictive value of the TOP2A copy number determinations for anthracycline treatment could be overlooked (Tubbs et al., 2009; Harris et al., 2009; Nielsen and Brunner, 2011).

Topoisomerase 1 (Top1) has recently been suggested as a predictive biomarker for the effect of irinotecan, a Top1 poison frequently used in combination therapy of metastatic CRC (mCRC) (Braun et al., 2008). In one study (Braun et al., 2008), the authors reported a significant association between high Top1 immunoreactivity as determined in formalin-fixed paraffinembedded (FFPE) sections from the primary tumor and a benefit (i.e. longer progression free survival (PFS)) for patients receiving irinotecan or oxaliplatin based chemotherapy but not for patients receiving 5-fluorouracil (5FU) alone. In a retrospective case control study (Kostopoulos et al., 2009) investigating Top1 immunoreactivity in FFPE sections from Dukes' stage B and C CRC tumors receiving adjuvant treatment with 5FU or 5FU plus irinotecan, the investigators reported that patients with Top1 high protein expressing tumors had improved OS. However, because of short follow-up time on patients receiving adjuvant 5FU plus irinotecan, the study had limitations in

differentiating between the potential prognostic and/or a predictive value of Top1 (Kostopoulos et al., 2009). The authors raised the question whether high Top1 protein expression was a favorable prognostic marker by itself, or whether the prognosis could be further improved by adding irinotecan to patients with Top1 high expression tumors in the adjuvant treatment of CRC.

Reproducible quantification of protein levels in FFPE tissue may be difficult to obtain, due to variation in the handling of samples and justify the search for alternative methods to establish relationships between Top1 and the clinical response to irinotecan. Counting gene copy numbers with fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) technology is appealing, since DNA is more stable and is not as sensitive to the preanalytical sampling, handling, processing and storage of the samples, as is protein. Furthermore, the gene signals are easily quantified on a cell-to-cell basis.

We recently reported on TOP1 FISH analyses on 50 FFPE primary CRC tissues and 10 human CRC cell lines (Romer et al., 2012). A reference probe representing centromere 20 (CEN-20) was also included. More than 80% of the clinical samples (CRC stage III) demonstrated an increased TOP1 gene copy number as compared with the mean TOP1 gene copy number + 3 standard deviations (SD) in unaffected colorectal mucosa. Approximately 2/3 of the samples had an increased TOP1/CEN-20 ratio as compared with the non-affected mucosa. Furthermore, our study on cell lines suggested an association between TOP1 gene copy number or the TOP1/CEN-20 ratio with sensitivity to the Top1 poison irinotecan (SN38), but not to oxaliplatin.

However, in order to bring TOP1 copy number determination forward as a predictive biomarker for Irinotecan treatment a number of additional analyses have to be performed. We here report on a study that aims to answer three questions: 1) Can the high frequency of TOP1 gene aberrations found in our previous study (Romer et al., 2012) be confirmed in a larger CRC patient population, since a certain frequency is required to make it clinically relevant as a predictive biomarker for irinotecan treatment? 2) Does the TOP1 copy number associate with patient prognosis? i.e. the course of the disease in untreated patients, since as mentioned above, a prognostic component of a biomarker requires a control group in order to study its predictive value, and 3) Is there an association between TOP1 copy number and cancer cell proliferation? This is important because TOP1 gene copy numbers in tumors may be overestimated if more cells are in the

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10914895

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/10914895

Daneshyari.com