



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Gene Expression Patterns

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/gep

Transcriptome analysis in cardiomyocyte-specific differentiation of murine embryonic stem cells reveals transcriptional regulation network

Lin Gan^a, Silke Schwengberg^{b,1}, Bernd Denecke^{a,*}

^a Interdisciplinary Center for Clinical Research Aachen (IZKF Aachen), RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

^b Axiogenesis AG, Köln, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 February 2014

Received in revised form 14 July 2014

Accepted 17 July 2014

Available online

Keywords:

Cardiomyocyte differentiation

Heart development

Murine embryonic stem cells

Regulation network

Transcription factor regulation

Transcriptome profiling

ABSTRACT

The differentiation to cardiomyocytes is a prerequisite and an important part of heart development. A good understanding of the complicated cardiomyocyte differentiation process benefits cardiogenesis study. Embryonic stem cells (ESCs), cell lines with infinite ability to proliferate and to be differentiated into all cell types of the adult body, are important research tools for investigation of differentiation and meanwhile good models for developmental research. In the current study, genome-wide gene expression of ESCs is profiled through high throughput platform during cardiomyocyte-specific differentiation and maturation. Gene expression patterns of undifferentiated ESCs and ESC-derived cardiomyocytes provide a global overview of genes involved in cardiomyocyte-specific differentiation, whereas marker gene expression profiles of both ESC-related genes and cardiac-specific genes presented the expression pattern shift during differentiation in a pure ESC-derived cardiomyocyte cell culture system. The differentiation and maturation process was completed at day 19 after initiation of differentiation, according to our gene expression profile results. Functional analysis of regulated genes reveals over-represented biological processes, molecular functions and pathways during the differentiation and maturation process. Finally, transcription factor regulation networks were engineered based on gene expression data. Within these networks, the number of identified important regulators (Trim28, E2f4, Foxm1, Myc, Hdac1, Rara, Mef2c, Nkx2-5, Gata4) and possible key co-regulation modules (Nkx2-5 – Gata4 – Tbx5, Myc – E2F4) could be expanded. We demonstrate that a more comprehensive picture of cardiomyocyte differentiation and its regulation can be achieved solely by studying gene expression patterns. The results from our study contribute to a better and more accurate understanding of the regulation mechanisms during cardiomyocyte differentiation.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Heart development is one of the earliest and most important processes during embryonic development. The morphology of vertebrate heart development has been intensively studied over a very long period of time. Recent studies of heart development have put more emphases on molecular mechanisms involved in this process. Mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) (Evans, 2011) are promising cell sources

for drug discovery (McNeish, 2004), toxicity tests (Denecke and Schwengberg, 2010; Kuske et al., 2012), disease mechanism research (Pardal et al., 2003), as well as for basic biological research (Solter, 2006). The advances of ESC research enabled new accessible ESC models for cardiac development and disease studies (Doetschman et al., 1985). As cardiomyocytes cannot divide, ESCs are also believed to be potential important source for therapeutic purpose of cardiovascular diseases (Passier et al., 2008). Differentiation of ESCs is a comprehensive and highly coordinated process involving multiple stimuli. It is challenging to control the differentiation process and achieve pure cultures of a specific cell type, which is an essential prerequisite for biological/clinical research studies and therapeutic applications. So far, there is no direct and efficient way to generate pure populations of ESC-derived cardiomyocytes (Uosaki et al., 2011). One method to solve this problem is to enrich cardiomyocytes by using a resistance marker under control of a cardiomyocyte specific promoter (Klug et al., 1996; Kolossov et al., 2005). With this system, pure populations of dif-

Abbreviations: BP, biological process; ChIP, Chromatin immunoprecipitation; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; EB, embryoid bodies; EGFP, enhanced green fluorescence protein; ESC, Embryonic stem cells; FCS, fetal calf serum; GO, gene ontology; IMDM, Iscove's modified Dulbecco's Medium; ITFP, Integrated Transcription Factor Platform; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; MF, molecular function; PCA, principal component analysis; TF, transcription factors; α -MHC, α -myosin heavy chain.

* Corresponding author at: IZKF Aachen – RWTH-Aachen University, Pauwelsstrasse 30, 52074 Aachen, Germany. Tel.: +49 (0) 241 8089918; fax: +49 (0) 241 8082124.

E-mail address: bernd.denecke@rwth-aachen.de (B. Denecke).

¹ Current address: Cells at Work Consulting & Services, Düren, Germany.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gep.2014.07.002>

1567-133X/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1 differentiated cardiomyocytes can be generated and applied for a variety
2 of research purposes including gene expression profiling during
3 cardiomyocyte-specific differentiation.

4 Controlled gene expression is not the only decisive factor, but
5 the basis of the manifestation of a certain phenotype. The elucidation
6 of the gene expression profile of ESCs during differentiation is
7 therefore important to understand the molecular mechanisms in
8 developmental processes. High throughput microarray technology
9 provides the possibility to survey transcriptional profile of
10 undifferentiated ESCs and ESC-derived cells at specific differentia-
11 tion stages. In this field, many exciting gene profiling results of ESCs
12 have already been reported (Lee et al., 2010; Palmer et al., 2012;
13 Pashai et al., 2012; Sperger et al., 2003). Thus, genome-wide ex-
14 pression profiling is a powerful tool to analyse changes during the
15 cardiomyocyte-specific differentiation of ESCs.

16 There is an increasing interest in not only the expression pattern,
17 but also in the regulation, i.e. the trigger, maintenance, and coordi-
18 nation of gene expression within the differentiation processes. Regu-
19 lation of expression acts at both transcriptional and post-
20 transcriptional levels. The differentiation of ESCs is a comprehensive
21 process regulated by many factors, with transcription factors (TFs)
22 being one of the most prominent players in ESC differentiation
23 (Kashyap et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2010; Pashai et al., 2012). TFs are
24 proteins, which bind to specific DNA sequences (motif) to promote
25 or repress the recruitment of RNA polymerase and thus enhance
26 or suppress the transcription of target genes. TFs together with other
27 factors, e.g. miRNA, react to external and internal stimuli and regu-
28 late gene expression in a comprehensive manner.

29 Several TFs have been characterized as key regulators for
30 pluripotency of ESCs. For example, a combination of TFs, like Pou5f1,
31 Sox2, Klf4 and Myc, is sufficient to reprogram adult differentiated
32 cells into induced pluripotent ESC-like cells (Okita et al., 2007).
33 Pou5f1, a member of the POU family, is found to control the
34 pluripotency of stem cells in a quantitative manner. It is deter-
35 mined that high levels of Pou5f1 expression drives ESCs to endoderm
36 and mesoderm lineages, while ESCs with low levels of Pou5f1 dif-
37 ferentiate into trophoectoderm. Only a certain level of Pou5f1 can
38 retain stem cells in a pluripotent state (Niwa et al., 2000). Also the
39 TF Sox2, a member of the SRY-high mobility box TF family, has been
40 implicated in controlling differentiation in many ways, including e.g.
41 (i) maintenance of self-renewal and pluripotency in ESCs and neural
42 retinal stem cells (Kiernan et al., 2005), (ii) differentiation of tongue
43 taste buds and ear sensory cells from progenitors (Okubo et al., 2006),
44 and (iii) induction of reprogramming (Taranova et al., 2006). The TFs
45 Nanog and Pou5f1 share substantial overlap of target genes. Nanog
46 also regulates the expression of Pou5f1 and Sox2. One likely mech-
47 anism is that Nanog sustains self-renewal and the undifferentiated
48 state through the modulation of Pou5f1 and Sox2 levels, and these
49 two TFs in turn control the downstream genes (Loh et al., 2006).

50 In recent years, several cardiac-related TFs were discovered: Mef2
51 proteins possess similar structure, with a MADS-box at their
52 N-termini mediating homo- and hetero-dimerization, and binding
53 to the DNA sequence CTA(A/T)₄TAG/A. Adjacent to the MADS-box
54 domain is a Mef2-specific domain that influences DNA-binding af-
55 finity and cofactor interactions. Mef2 proteins contain C-terminal
56 transcriptional activation domains and were found to play an im-
57 portant role in skeletal and cardiac muscle differentiation programs
58 (Edmondson et al., 1994; McKinsey et al., 2002).

59 Gata TF family (Gata4, 5, and 6), a group of zinc-finger cardiac
60 TFs, are essential TFs in cardiomyocyte differentiation of ESCs
61 (Boheler et al., 2002). Nkx2-5 is a co-activator of Gata4 and elicits
62 the transcription of cardiac restricted genes (Sachinidis et al., 2003).

63 TF binding sites determine the primary TF targets and there-
64 fore the resulting regulation. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)
65 can provide the real binding information of TFs in a specific bio-
66 logical context (Weinmann, 2004), while computational (“in silico”)

67 methods can be used to predict potential TF binding sites (Mathelier
68 and Wasserman, 2013). Due to the multiple targeting of TFs, the com-
69 plexity of these networks increases rapidly with increasing number
70 of TFs involved. Much effort has been dedicated to modelling gene
71 regulation networks (Karlebach and Shamir, 2008). Several models
72 (e.g. logical model (Thomas, 1973), Boolean networks (Glass and
73 Kauffman, 1973), and probabilistic Boolean networks (Shmulevich
74 et al., 2002)) have been introduced in this research field. Gaussian
75 graphical Markov model is a simple and useful tool for estimating
76 correlation of expression data (Castelo and Roverato, 2009). This
77 model can be fitted to evaluate connections between TFs and regu-
78 lated genes on transcription level, and to identify possible
79 connections. The correlation information achieved from the Gaus-
80 sian graphical model in combination with TF target knowledge
81 enables the engineering of TF regulation networks for further in-
82 depth studies concerning transcription regulation.

83 In the current study, pure cell populations of ESCs and ESC-
84 derived cardiomyocytes at various differentiation and maturation
85 stages were applied in transcriptome analysis in order to charac-
86 terize cardiomyocyte-specific differentiation in the view of the
87 transcriptional level, targeting the identification of TF regulatory
88 mechanisms during this process.

1. Results

1.1. Pure cardiomyocyte culture were successfully generated by specific differentiation of mouse ESCs

95 In order to profile samples of pure cell populations, transgenic
96 mouse ESCs were cultured, and differentiated into cardiomyocytes.
97 Resulting cardiomyocytes were purified using Puromycin selec-
98 tion, and further cultured to obtain mature phenotypes. At start time
99 point day (d) 0, typical stem cell colonies were observed (Fig. 1a).
100 The Puromycin selection was started at d9 for a total of 3 days. At
101 d12 after initiation of differentiation, reporter gene expression (EGFP
102 under control of the α -MHC promoter) was observed in all remain-
103 ing, spontaneously beating cardiomyocytes, with a complete overlay
104 to phase contrast microscopy photo (Fig. 1b, c). Cells without active
105 α -MHC promoter were successfully eliminated through the 3 days
106 of puromycin selection (d9-d12). Cardiac α -actin staining at d19
107 showed mature cardiomyocytes with cardiomyocyte morphology
108 and sarcomeric banding pattern (Fig. 1d). The resulting
109 cardiomyocyte cultures have a high purity of over 99% (Kolosso-
110 v et al., 2006).

1.2. Gene expression reflects cardiomyocyte specific differentiation and maturation process

115 Gene expression of mouse ESCs (d0) and ESC-derived
116 cardiomyocytes at various stages of differentiation and matura-
117 tion (d12, d19, and d26) were characterized genome-wide with
118 Affymetrix GeneChip[®] Mouse Exon 1.0 ST Arrays. In principle com-
119 ponent analysis (PCA), the gene expression of differentiated mouse
120 ESCs showed significant distance to undifferentiated mouse ESCs
121 (Fig. 2a) at PC1, which represent 63.86% of variance. d12 samples
122 (differentiated cardiomyocytes at early stage) were distinctively sepa-
123 rated from d19 and d26 samples (mature cardiomyocytes) at PC2
124 (9.81% variance), while no clear separation was observed between
125 d19 and d26 samples. These results indicate that at d19 the matura-
126 tion process of cardiomyocyte was almost completed in terms
127 of gene expression.

128 For further analysis, genes whose expression values were over
129 threefold changed with a p-value lower than 0.01 were defined as
130 regulated genes at different stages of cardiomyocyte differentia-
131 tion and maturation. According to these stringent criteria, in total
132 652 genes were up-regulated and 722 genes were down-regulated

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10940182>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10940182>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)