



# The effect of various types of patients' reminders on the uptake of pneumococcal vaccine in adults: A randomized controlled trial



Alexandra S. Ghadieh, Ghassan N. Hamadeh, Dina M. Mahmassani, Najla A. Lakkis\*

Department of Family Medicine, American University of Beirut Medical Center (AUBMC), PO Box 11-0236, Riad El Solh, 1107-2020 Beirut, Lebanon

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 23 May 2015

Received in revised form 15 July 2015

Accepted 17 July 2015

Available online 29 July 2015

### Keywords:

Pneumococcal 23-polyvalent vaccine

Patient's reminders

Sms-text, E-mail

Short phone call

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Invasive pneumococcal disease is one of the most important vaccine-preventable diseases threatening the adult community due to missed opportunities for vaccination. This study compares the effect of three different types of patient reminder system on adulthood *Streptococcus pneumoniae* immunization in a primary care setting.

**Methods:** The study targeted patients aged 40 and older eligible for pneumococcal vaccine, but did not receive it yet (89.5% of 3072 patients) based on their electronic medical records in a family medicine center in Beirut. The sample population was randomized using an automated computer randomization system into six equal groups, receiving short phone calls, short text messaging system (sms-text) or e-mails each with or without patient education. Each group received three identical reminders spaced by a period of four weeks. Documentation of vaccine administration was then added to the longitudinal electronic patient record. The primary outcome was the vaccine administration rate in the clinics.

**Results:** Of the eligible patients due for the pneumococcal 23-polyvalent vaccine, 1380 who had mobile phone numbers and e-mails were randomized into six equal intervention groups. The various reminders increased vaccination rate to 14.9%: 16.5% of the short phone calls group, 7.2% of the sms-text group and 5.7% of the e-mail group took the vaccine. The vaccination rate was independent of the age, associated education message and the predisposing condition.

**Conclusion:** Use of electronic text reminders via e-mails and mobile phones seems to be a feasible and sustainable model to increase pneumococcal vaccination rates in a primary care center.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Pneumococcal infection is a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. Invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) is one of the most important vaccine-preventable diseases threatening the adult community due to missed opportunities for vaccination [1,2]. The rates (per 100,000 population) of IPD in adults older than 65 years in the United States (US) were estimated to be 30.2 in 2013 in contrast to 36.4 in 2010, 40.4 in 2008, 42.2 in 2003 and 60.5 in 1998, exceeding the Healthy People 2020 target of 31.0 per 100,000 [3]. However, more than half of deaths due to IPD occur in adults with specific risk factors (age  $\geq 65$  years, chronic diseases, immunosuppression) for severe disease [2,3]. Such risk factors are an indication for vaccination.

Although the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13) was recently added by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to the adult immunization schedule in 2015 [4], the 23-Valent Pneumococcal Polysaccharide Vaccine (PPSV23) has been recommended since 1989 and is included in the CDC adult immunization schedules since first published in 2002–2003 [5]. PPSV23 contains 12 serotypes in common with PCV13 and 11 additional serotypes [2]. PPSV23 is cost effective and approximately 56–75% efficacious for the prevention of IPD caused by vaccine serotypes with long-lasting immunity [6–8]; however, it has been underutilized [9]. For example, among US adults aged  $\geq 65$  years, 38% and 20–25% of the IPD cases in 2013 were caused by serotypes unique to PPSV23 and by PCV13 serotypes, respectively, and are potentially preventable with the use of PPSV23 and PCV13 vaccines in this population [2]. Increasing pneumococcal resistance to antibiotics emphasizes the importance of vaccination [1,6]. Whereas the Healthy People 2010 goal in the US was to achieve at least 90% coverage for PPSV23 vaccine among persons aged  $\geq 65$  years and 60% for younger high-risk adults, CDC data for 2008 estimates that only 60% of non-institutionalized adults older

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +00961 3 504032; fax: +00961 1 370781.

E-mail addresses: [ag37@aub.edu.lb](mailto:ag37@aub.edu.lb) (A.S. Ghadieh), [ghamadeh@aub.edu.lb](mailto:ghamadeh@aub.edu.lb) (G.N. Hamadeh), [dmm11@aub.edu.lb](mailto:dmm11@aub.edu.lb) (D.M. Mahmassani), [ne23@aub.edu.lb](mailto:ne23@aub.edu.lb) (N.A. Lakkis).

than 65 years and 20% of younger high-risk adults received this vaccine [9].

In developed countries, the delay in implementation of adult immunization is mainly due to: lack of physicians knowledge, inadequate patients access to health services also known as physical barriers (waiting time, distance), vaccination cost, the focus on acute or chronic problems and the failure to follow and remind patients needing vaccination, along with patients' barriers such as lack of benefits' knowledge and safety concerns [10–13]. In Lebanon, concerning the pneumococcal vaccine, the most frequently reported barriers by physicians were patients' refusal, cost and physicians' concerns about efficacy followed by insufficient time and unknown immunization status [13].

Many interventions to increase pneumococcal vaccination were investigated in Western countries including assessment/audit which necessitates good recordkeeping, feedback to the health care providers (immunization coverage status compared with other practices and successful strategies used), providers' incentives (including financial), continuous quality improvement techniques, educational initiatives, organizational and/or team change, providers' reminders, patient-mediated interventions and reminder systems [10,14,15]. In reality, advanced communication technology, particularly mobile smart phones and internet based electronic mails (e-mails), represent an interesting tool to enhance physician–patient relationship and improve patients' awareness including patients' reminders/recalls [16]. In a 2005 Cochrane review (updated in 2009) including a meta-analysis of randomized trials, telephone reminder for pneumococcal vaccination was proven to be the most effective way compared to postcards and letters [17,18] with 2.3 times increase in patient demand for vaccination [18] and was thus advised to be implemented by organizations seeking to raise their vaccination rates despite its high cost [17,18]. In fact, recall and reminder by mail or telephone have been shown to work in several situations and are strongly recommended by the Task Force on Community Preventive Services. However, studies exploring the effect of mobile phone sms and e-mails in improving the rate of pneumococcal vaccination have not been published.

This intervention aimed at evaluating and comparing the effectiveness of two types of electronic reminder systems (mobile phone sms and e-mails) versus the gold standard short phone calls to improve PPSV23 vaccination rate in eligible adults in a family medicine center in Beirut, Lebanon. The electronic medical record (EMR) system in this center included computer-generated reminders that prompt primary care physicians of due health maintenance measures. Mobile phone voice message services were not available in Lebanon and thus were not included in the design.

## 2. Methods

This was a randomized controlled prospective study conducted between October 2014 and January 2015 targeting unvaccinated active patients (who had at least one visit to the clinic in the last 12 months) 40 years and older, who were either smokers, or who had a specific chronic disease (diabetes mellitus, chronic heart failure, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or coronary artery disease) and patients 65 years of age and older, who were beneficiaries of the American University of Beirut–Health Insurance Plan (AUB–HIP) program (89.5%,  $n=2750$  patients eligible for vaccination), and whose or their principle caretaker mobile phone numbers and e-mails were available in their EMR at the Family Medicine Clinics (FMC) at AUB. Exclusion criteria included lack of access to at least one of the reminder methods (mobile phone number or e-mail) or first degree relatives with the same mobile phone number or The sample population ( $n=1380$ ) was

randomized using an automated randomization system into six equal subgroups each receiving a different type of reminder inviting them to get the PPSV23 vaccine. Subgroups 1a, 2a and 3a received, respectively, a standardized phone call reminder by a nurse, sms-text reminder and e-mail reminder; while subgroups 1b, 2b and 3b received, respectively, a standardized phone call reminder by a nurse, sms-text reminder and e-mail reminder, each with additional information about the seriousness of the pneumococcal disease representing the education intervention. Education consisted of a standardized script used identically in all three modalities: “Pneumococcal disease can cause pneumonia, bacteremia, meningitis and even death. Some people are more at risk than others: 65 years and older, smokers, and people who suffer from chronic diseases.” Each subgroup received three identical reminders spaced by a period of four weeks.

In the reminder, patients were asked to call the clinic themselves and schedule an appointment to receive the vaccine. Presenting to a scheduled appointment and getting the vaccine was considered consent from the patient. The vaccine was paid out-of-pocket by the patients (16 US dollars). Data was retrieved and analyzed 4 weeks following the last reminder sent. The study was approved by the ethical review committee at the American University of Beirut. This work did not require any financial support.

### 2.1. Statistical methods

Based on previously published vaccine completion rates, for a statistically significant effect of  $\geq 40\%$  with a two-sided significance of 0.05 and a power of 80%, at least 98 patients per group were needed. To account for wrong phone numbers and e-mails, mislabeled disease coding at the clinic and other confounding causes altering the vaccination rate, groups of 230 patients each were selected randomly with a total of 1380 participants [19]. Data were entered to SPSS 19, and the vaccination rates of pneumococcal vaccine were calculated first at baseline, and then following the reminder interventions, with a comparison between the six distinct subgroups of the study. Frequencies and Chi-square tests were used to compare the outcomes of different interventions.

## 3. Results

The sample population ( $n=1380$ ) was randomly divided into six equal subgroups ( $n_1=230$ ,  $n_2=230$ ,  $n_3=230$ ,  $n_4=230$ ,  $n_5=230$  and  $n_6=230$ ) (Fig. 1). Around 9.8% (135 out of 1380) of the sample population received the vaccine at the FMC at AUB. The vaccination rate was statistically different comparing the short phone group to both e-mail and sms groups (16.5%, 7.2% and 5.7% of the groups short phone call, sms-text and e-mail, respectively) (Chi-square test,  $p$ -value  $<0.05$ ) but not significant comparing sms to e-mail groups (Table 1). However, both sms and e-mail were significantly better than no intervention (1.1%, Chi-square test  $p$ -value  $<0.05$ , control group included patients excluded from the study having no e-mail or phone number or being a family member sharing the same contact information).

The actual rate of vaccination increased from 10.5% to 14.9% before and after this interventional survey, respectively: from 17.2% to 20.4% in patients older than 65 and from 4.0% to 9.7% in patients 40 to 65 years of age.

There was no statistical significance when dividing the data according to age and according to whether the reminder included patient education (Chi-square test,  $p$ -value  $>0.05$ ) (Tables 1 and 2).

Further evaluation of the short phone calls revealed the data in Table 3. By limiting the analysis to those who actually received the intervention, a 26% vaccination rate was reached with short phone calls.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/10964016>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/10964016>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)