ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Library & Information Science Research

# Benchmarking local public libraries using non-parametric frontier methods: A case study of Flanders



Library &

# Jesse Stroobants \*, Geert Bouckaert

Public Governance Institute, University of Leuven, Faculty of Social Sciences, Parkstraat 45 bus 3609, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium

### A R T I C L E I N F O

## ABSTRACT

Available online 28 October 2014

Keywords: Benchmarking Performance information Efficiency Free disposal hull (FDH) Data envelopment analysis (DEA) Being faced with significant budget cuts and continual pressure to do more with less, issues of efficiency and effectiveness became a priority for local governments in most countries. In this context, benchmarking is widely acknowledged as a powerful tool for local performance management and for improving the efficiency and effectiveness of local service delivery. Performance benchmarking exercises are regularly carried out using ratio analysis, by comparing single indicators. Since this approach offers only limited assessments in absolute terms, it is difficult for decision-makers to track and improve overall performance. Therefore, the use of non-parametric frontier methods, namely free disposal hull (FDH) and data envelopment analysis (DEA) is presented as an alternative technique for benchmarking the performance of organizations in relative terms. The potential applications and strengths of these non-parametric frontier methods for benchmarking the applying FDH and DEA techniques to the local public libraries in Flanders. Incorporating all possible paths of expansion – both in space and in time – enables a focus on sustainability within efficiency benchmarking.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The current economic and financial situation puts public sector performance under pressure, both in Flanders and abroad. In fact, the budgetary space for the different Belgian governments is shrinking, while the demand for qualitative public services keeps rising (Troupin, Stroobants, & Steen, forthcoming). Also local governments in Flanders cannot avoid the consequences of the economic crisis and decreasing revenues (Belfius, 2013). In its policy statement on Internal Administration 2009–2014, the Flemish Government indicated that over the coming years there is no policy space for creating important financial incentives, meaning that local governments themselves have to take responsibility for improving their efficiency and effectiveness in order to perform better, and to provide more and better services, with less means (Bourgeois, 2009).

One of today's main instruments for measuring and evaluating performance, as a tool for identifying and adopting more efficient and effective practices, is *benchmarking* (Fenna, 2012). Benchmarking involves placing an entity's performance in context by comparing performance with standards, with figures for the same measures in previous reporting periods, or with performance results achieved by others (Ammons, 2012). Applied in the public sector, benchmarking is defined as contextualising the current performance of a public

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* jesse.stroobants@soc.kuleuven.be (J. Stroobants). sector organization by comparing it with other (similar) organizations or its own past, with an intent to improve (Askim, Johnsen, & Christophersen, 2008; Berg, 2010).

Benchmarking methods for performance comparisons are mostly developed and introduced by practitioners. Many practitioners use simple techniques rather than analytical methods (Talluri, 2000). Besides these more simple benchmarking approaches, non-parametric frontier techniques can be effective and alternative methods for performance analysis and benchmarking when the measurement issue is considered in terms of (technical) efficiency.<sup>1</sup> For benchmarking local government performance, however, there is still a need to demonstrate the application and value of these non-parametric frontier methods: free disposal hull (FDH) and data envelopment analysis (DEA).

### 2. Problem statement

Benchmarking and performance comparisons of public sector organizations are usually conducted by using (a set of) indicators or performance measures, especially when carried out by practitioners and decision-makers in the policy arena (van Helden & Reichard, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Technical efficiency is the ability to convert a certain bundle of inputs to the maximum possible amount of output (with current technology, as evidenced by the best performance observed) – or, alternatively, the situation in which as little input as possible is used in producing a certain amount of output (Agasisti & Johnes, 2009).

#### Table 1

Efficiency analyses of libraries<sup>a</sup>, specification of inputs and outputs.

| Kwack (1993)     20 university libraries/DEA     Library staff     Reader visits       Area of library space     Book circulation                                      |                     |
|--|---------------------|
| Area of library space Book circulation   |                     |
|  |                     |
| Number of library books  |                     |
| Chen (1997) 23 university and college Library staff Reader visits  |                     |
| Indianes/DEA Book acquisition experioriture Book circulation<br>Book collection Reference and on-line r  | search              |
| Area of library space Annual service hours   | searen              |
| Seating Capacity Reader satisfaction   |                     |
| Interlending service   |                     |
| Mann (1997) 108 university libraries/DEA Staff 104 university libraries/DEA Total volumes added  |                     |
| Current serials <sup>b</sup>   |                     |
| Vitaliano (1998)184 public libraries/DEATotal holdings of all itemsAnnual total circulation  | of all              |
| (books, audiovisual, maps, etc.) library materials   |                     |
| I OTAI hours of operation per week Number of reference qu<br>New books purchased answered  | estions             |
| Total serial subscriptions currently active <sup>b</sup>   |                     |
| Sharma, Leung, and Zane (1999)         47 public libraries/DEA         Book collection         Book circulation  |                     |
| Library staff Reader visits  |                     |
| Days open (in 8-nour days) Reference transaction Operating expenses  |                     |
| Worthington (1999) 168 local public libraries/DEA Gross library expenditure Number of library issue  |                     |
| Shim (2000)         95 academic libraries/DEA         Professional staff         Total circulation   |                     |
| Support staff Reference transactions   |                     |
| Student stall Interlibrary fending<br>Volumes held Interlibrary horrowing  |                     |
| Net volumes added Library instruction  |                     |
| Monographs purchased   |                     |
| Total serials <sup>0</sup><br>Total serials <sup>0</sup>   |                     |
| Hammond (2002) 99 public library systems/DEA lotal opening nours per week lotal number of items is<br>Number of books and audio-visual material borrowers over the yea | sued to             |
| Acquisitions of new material Number of enquiries pr  | cessed              |
| Number of serial subscriptions <sup>b</sup> Number of requests pro   | cessed              |
| Reichmann (2004)     118 university libraries/DEA     Staff (number of FTEs)     Number of book materia  | ls added            |
| Book materials neid weekly opening nours<br>Circulation  |                     |
| Serial subscription <sup>b</sup>   |                     |
| Jo, Park, Lee, and Yoon (2009)26 university libraries/DEANumber of employeesNumber of visitors   |                     |
| Size of library Number of loan books   | ding and            |
| Number of books borrowing  | ung anu             |
| Miidla and Kikas (2009) 20 central public libraries/DEA Yearly acquisition expenditures Number of readers  |                     |
| Yearly salary expenditures Number of loans   |                     |
| Collection size  |                     |
| Reichmann and 68 university libraries/DEA Number of employees (FTEs) Number of book materi   | ls added            |
| Sommersguter-Reichmann (2010)Total number of book materialsTotal Circulation   |                     |
| Nucleo (2011) Number of serial subscr  | ptions <sup>b</sup> |
| Noh (2011) 89 university libraries/DEA Budget Number of circulation b<br>Number of librarians Number of users  | OOKS                |
| Number of books Number of website visi   | S                   |
| Number of serials Number of database use   | rs                  |
| Number of e-journals   |                     |
| Number of e-books  |                     |
| Number of computers  |                     |
| Internally developed database units  |                     |
| De Witte and Geys (2011) 290 local public libraries/FDH & DEA Personnel expenditures Opening hours per wee   | 5                   |
| Infrastructure Fiction and non-fiction   | ooks                |
| Media (CD, DVD, VHS, C   | D-ROM)              |
| De Witte and Geys (2012)291 local public libraries/FDHPersonnel expendituresYouth books  |                     |
| Operating expenditures Fiction and non-fiction   | ooks                |
| Media (CD, DVD, VHS, C<br>Young horrowers (<16   | vears)              |
| Total book circulation   | ,                   |
| Media circulation  |                     |
| Simon, Simon, and Arias (2011) 34 university libraries/DEA Personnel Total circulation   |                     |
| Total expenditures on hibliographic-related Number of documents  |                     |
| materials (monographs, serial subscriptions, downloaded  |                     |
| access to electronic resources, etc.) Number of monographs   |                     |
| Number of serial subscr<br>Number of seats   | ptions              |

Service hours

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1099440

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1099440

Daneshyari.com