Chemical Engineering Science 192 (2018) 1138-1156

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Chemical Engineering Science**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ces

# Interfacial mass transfer limitations of the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis operated in a slurry bubble column reactor at industrial conditions

Camilla Berge Vik\*, Jannike Solsvik, Magne Hillestad, Hugo A. Jakobsen

Department of Chemical Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), N-7491 Trondheim, Norway

### HIGHLIGHTS

- Gas-liquid mass transfer for the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis was studied.
- Conversion varied in the range 45-90% depending on choice of mass transfer model.
- Conversion decreased linearly with increased inlet Sauter-mean diameter.
- Bubble size dependency of the mass transfer coefficient showed effect on conversion.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 March 2018 Received in revised form 22 July 2018 Accepted 7 August 2018 Available online 11 August 2018

Keywords: Mass transfer coefficient Mass transfer Bubble size Fischer-Tropsch Slurry bubble column Population balance equation

## ABSTRACT

At high catalyst volume fractions the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS) operated in a slurry bubble column (SBC) is driven into the mass transfer limited regime. This study utilized literature models for the gasliquid mass transfer coefficients in a multifluid-population balance model in which the gas-phase composition was a function of bubble size. The results confirmed that mass transfer limitations occur and that the choice of mass transfer coefficient model is crucial, yielding final conversion results ranging from 45% to 92% depending on the choice of  $k_L$  models. At smaller  $k_L$  values the composition is highly dependent on bubble size, whilst for the largest  $k_L$  values the composition is not a function of bubble size at all. The population balance modeling (PBM) allowed for explicitly keeping track of the bubble size distribution. Varying the inlet Sauter-mean diameter (SMD) resulted in a linear decrease in conversion as the inlet SMD was increased from 5 mm to 20 mm. Illustrative models for the bubble size dependency of  $k_L$  were implemented, which provided additional information compared to traditional models which use (bubble size) averaged values for the liquid-phase mass transfer coefficient  $k_L$  and/or the gas-liquid interfacial area *a* and composition.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Bubble column and slurry bubble column (SBC) reactors are widely used in the chemical, biochemical, petroleum and metallurgical industries (Leonard et al., 2015). Bubble column reactors and the mathematical models to describe them are presented in e.g. Deckwer (1992) and Jakobsen (2014). Bubble column applications include different types of chemical reactions such as oxidation, chlorination, alkylation, polymerization, esterification and hydrogenation (Leonard et al., 2015). Bubble columns can also be used for fermentation, biological wastewater treatment and the production of liquid fuels from synthesis gas through the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS) (Leonard et al., 2015), an example of gas-to-liquid processes. A review of gas-to-liquid processes in slurry reactors is given in Wang et al. (2007). This study considers the FTS in an SBC, illustrated in Fig. 1.

#### 1.1. The FTS

The FTS is of interest when seeking renewable sources for liquid fuels. Lignocellulosic material such as forestry residue is a potential raw material for advanced biofuels. Torrefied and gasified biomasses are converted to hydrocarbons via synthesis gas. The FTS produces hydrocarbons of various lengths from synthesis gas over a catalyst through the reaction:

$$nCO + (2n + 1)H_2 \rightarrow C_n H_{2n+2} + nH_2 O$$
(1)

The catalyst type applied in this study is cobalt. Most biomass-toliquid concepts have utilized cobalt, as it can be operated at higher





CHEMICAL

ENGINEERING SCIENCE

3

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* camilla.berge.vik@ntnu.no (C.B. Vik), jannike.solsvik@ntnu.no (J. Solsvik), magne.hillestad@ntnu.no (M. Hillestad), hugo.a.jakobsen@ntnu.no (H.A. Jakobsen).

## Nomenclature

Latin letters		$\gamma_s$	size-dependent mass transfer term for species $s [s^{-1}]$
Α	bubble surface area $[m^2]$	$\Gamma_s$	mass transfer term for species $s [kg m^{-3} s^{-1}]$
Α	amplitude of $f_{d,in}$ in Eq. (9), $A = \sum_{\xi} \frac{\alpha_{G,in}(\xi) \rho_{G,in}(\xi) \delta \sqrt{(2\pi)}}{\pi m^2 (\xi - \overline{x})^2 (2\pi^2)^{1/4} (\xi - \overline{x})^2}$	$\epsilon$	turbulent dissipation rate [m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-3</sup> ]
	$[kg m^{-1} m^{-3}]$	η	Kolmogorov scale [-]
а	kinetic parameter [kmol s <sup>-1</sup> (kg cat) <sup>-1</sup> Pa <sup>-2</sup> ]	$\lambda_{G,z,eff}$	effective turbulent conductivity in spatial space [W
$a_L$	gas-liquid interfacial area $[m^2 m^{-3}]$		$m^{-1} K^{-1}$
b	kinetic parameter [Pa <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\mu$	viscosity [Pa s]
$C_p$	heat capacity $[J K^{-1} kg^{-1}]$	$\mu_{G,z,\mathrm{eff}}$	cross-sectionally averaged effective (and turbulent) vis-
D	diffusion coefficient $[m^2 s^{-1}]$		cosity for the gas phase [kg m <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]
$D_C$	reactor inner diameter [m]	v	kinematic viscosity [m <sup>2</sup> s]
$D_{G,z,eff}$	effective axial dispersion coefficient [m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	ζ	bubble diameter [m]
$D_H$	reactor hydraulic diameter [m]	$\sigma$	standard deviation for the inlet distribution of $f_{d,in}$ .
$D_t$	distance between cooling tubes [m]		$\sigma = 10 \times 10^{-1} [-]$
$d_s$	Sauter-mean diameter [m]	$\sigma_{G-L}$	gas-liquid surface tension [N m <sup>-1</sup> ]
ds	solids average particle diameter [m]	$\phi_S$	weight fraction solids in gas-free slurry [-]
$f_d$	mass density function [kg m <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> ]	$\psi_S$	volume fraction solids in gas-free slurry [-]
$F_{G,z}$	cross-sectionally averaged force term [kg m s <sup>-2</sup> ]	ho	density [kg m <sup>-</sup> ]
g	standard acceleration due to gravity [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\omega_{G,s}$	mass fraction of species s in the gas phase [-]
h	mass averaged enthalpy [] kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\omega_{L,s}$	mass fraction of species s in the liquid phase [-]
Н	reactor height [m]		
n	number of moles [mol]	Subscrip	ts
ĸ	turbulent kinetic energy [m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>2</sup> ]	b	bubble
$K_s$	weight based vapor-liquid equilibrium constant [-]	С	continuous
$k_L$	liquid-phase mass transfer coefficient [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]	d	dispersed
р	pressure [Pa]	eff	effective
$q_{G,z}$	cross-sectionally averaged heat transfer term [] kg	G	gas phase
0	S ]	G - L	gas-liquid
Q	total flowrate $[m^3 s^{-1}]$	in	inlet
r <sub>co</sub>	reaction rate of CO [kmoles '(kg cat) ']	L	liquid phase
$S_m$	source term due to coalescence and breakage in the	L	integral scale
6	equation of change for mass [kg m <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	max	maximum
$S_m \omega_s$	source term due to coalescence and Dreakage in the	min	minimum
<b>C</b>	equation of change for species mass [kg m · m · s ·]	S	species s
$S_m v_z$	source term due to coalescence and breakage in the	S	solid phase
c h	equation of change for momentum [kg m <sup>-s</sup> -]	SL	slurry phase
$S_m n$	source term due to coalescence and breakage in the	t	terminal
	equation of change for momentum [] m <sup>-</sup> m <sup>-</sup> ]	Z	$ax_{1al}(z)$ direction
l T	time [S]	λ	Taylor scale
1	temperature [K]		
u <sub>rel</sub>	relative velocity [III S] $[m^3]$	Superscr	ipts
V	volume of bubble [m] j	*	at the interface
$v_L$	cross-sectionally averaged rac valacity [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]	0	at the inlet
$v_z$	crowth velocity $[m c^{-1}]$	S	superficial
$v_{\xi}$	terminal velocity [III S ]		
$v_t$	wetted perimeter [m]	Abbreviations	
vv	mole fraction of c in the liquid phase [ ]	BC	bubble column
$x_s$	note fraction of s in the fiquid phase [-]	FTS	Fischer-Tropsch synthesis
Z		ID	inner diameter
Create latter		PBE	population balance equation
Greek let	ters	PBM	population balance model
α	volume traction [-]	SBC	slurry bubble column
α	product distribution parameter [–]	SMD	Sauter-mean diameter
γ	size-dependent mass transfer term [s <sup>-+</sup> ]		

conversion rates (Imhof and van der Waal, 2013). In this work a conventional 25 wt%Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst is applied. The kinetic model by Yates and Satterfield (1991) was applied in this study, given as:

$$-r_{\rm CO} = \frac{ap_{\rm CO}p_{\rm H_2}}{(1+bp_{\rm CO})}$$
(2)

where  $p_{CO}$  and  $p_{H_2}$  are the partial pressures of CO and H<sub>2</sub> and *a* and *b* are temperature-dependent kinetic parameters. Eq. (2) was re-written into liquid concentrations by reformulating the partial

pressures in terms of liquid concentrations by use of a Henry's law constant (as in e.g. Sehabiague et al. (2008) and Troshko and Zdravistch (2009)) to obtain for Eq. (2):

$$-r_{\rm CO} = \frac{aK_{\rm H_2} x_{\rm H_2} K_{\rm CO} x_{\rm CO} p^2}{(1 + bK_{\rm H_2} x_{\rm H_2} p)} \tag{3}$$

where p is pressure,  $K_s$  is the constant for species s and  $x_s$  is the liquid mole fraction of species s. Values for  $K_s$  were calculated by means of Aspen HYSYS.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11000284

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/11000284

Daneshyari.com