

## Author's Accepted Manuscript

A comparative multi-criteria decision analysis of service life prediction methodologies for rendered façades

A. Silva, J. de Brito, Pedro L. Gaspar



PII: S2352-7102(18)30583-7  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobee.2018.08.009>  
Reference: JOBE561

To appear in: *Journal of Building Engineering*

Received date: 18 May 2018  
Revised date: 9 August 2018  
Accepted date: 13 August 2018

Cite this article as: A. Silva, J. de Brito and Pedro L. Gaspar, A comparative multi-criteria decision analysis of service life prediction methodologies for rendered façades, *Journal of Building Engineering*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobee.2018.08.009>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# A comparative multi-criteria decision analysis of service life prediction methodologies for rendered façades

A. Silva<sup>1</sup>, J. de Brito<sup>2</sup> and Pedro L. Gaspar<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract:

The evaluation of the performance, service life and maintainability of the buildings' envelope is crucial to the accomplishment of more sustainable solutions. For that purpose, reliable methodologies should be defined to predict the service life of the buildings over time and according to their characteristics. In the last decades, several service life prediction methods have been established. This study intends to compare different service life prediction models applied to renderings, based on a multi-criteria decision analysis, in which two main criteria are analyzed: i) decision criteria, evaluating the features of each of the models proposed; and ii) the main goals of the stakeholders when applying a specific model, weighting the decision criteria according to their preferences. The analysis proposed comprises: the designer's perspective, who develops the model; and the user's point of view, who wants to use the model. In this study, a weighting and a sensitivity analysis of the criteria adopted are also proposed, providing stakeholder with information to select the most suitable model for a given use (e.g. a stakeholder without programming experience will tend to give more importance to the criterion "difficulty in learning the model"; whilst insurance companies may find stochastic models more adequate as they include uncertainty and risk). The results obtained in this study reveal that: simpler methods can be highly accu-

---

<sup>1</sup> PhD in Civil Engineering, CERIS/ICIST, Department of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Georresources, Instituto Superior Técnico, University of Lisbon, Av. Rovisco Pais, P-1049-001, Lisbon, Portugal, e-mail: anasilva931@msn.com, Corresponding author

<sup>2</sup> Full Professor, CERIS/ICIST, Department of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Georresources, Instituto Superior Técnico, University of Lisbon, Av. Rovisco Pais, P-1049-001, Lisbon, Portugal, e-mail: jb@civil.ist.utl.pt

<sup>3</sup> Assistant Professor, Faculty of Architecture - University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal, R. Sá Nogueira, Pólo Universitário, Alto da Ajuda, 1349-055 Lisbon, Portugal, email: pmgaspar@fa.ulisboa.pt

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11001091>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/11001091>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)