



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/nonrwa



Effect of harvesting quota and protection zone in a nonlocal dispersal reaction–diffusion equation<sup>☆</sup>



Yujuan Chen<sup>a,\*</sup>, Yueping Zhu<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of science, Nantong University, Nantong 226007, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Nantong Normal College, Nantong 226010, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 March 2018  
Accepted 14 August 2018  
Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Nonlocal dispersal  
Stationary solution  
Existence and uniqueness  
Principal eigenvalue  
Harvesting  
Protection zone

ABSTRACT

In this article, we study the nonlocal dispersal reaction–diffusion equation with spatially non-homogeneous harvesting

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(x-y)u(y,t)dy - u(x,t) \\ \quad + au(1-u) - ch(x)p(u), & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x,t) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded smooth domain,  $a > 0$  and  $c > 0$  are constants,  $J$  is a continuous and nonnegative dispersal kernel,  $p(u)$  is a harvesting response function which satisfies Holling type II growth condition, and  $h(x)$  is the harvesting distribution function which may be zero in some subdomain of  $\Omega$ . We first establish the existence and uniqueness of positive stationary solutions. Then we show that when the intrinsic growth rate  $a$  is larger than the principal eigenvalue of the protection zone, then the population is always sustainable; while in the opposite case, there exists a maximum allowable catch to avoid the population extinction. The existence of an optimal harvesting pattern is also shown.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the nonlocal dispersal reaction–diffusion equation with spatially non-homogeneous harvesting

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \mathcal{D}u + au(1-u) - ch(x)p(u), & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x,t) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

<sup>☆</sup> Supported by NSFC No. 11771223.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: nttccyj@ntu.edu.cn (Y.J. Chen).

where the function  $u(x, t)$  represents the density of a species at location  $x$  and time  $t$ ; the habitat of the species is a bounded smooth domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ; the constant  $a > 0$  is the intrinsic growth rate. The harvesting effort is described by the term  $ch(x)p(u)$  and  $c > 0$  is the harvesting rate,  $p(u)$  is a harvesting response function which satisfies Holling type II growth condition,  $h(x)$  is the harvesting distribution function which may be zero in some subdomain  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ , i.e.  $\Omega_0$  is a protection zone of the species. The initial function  $u_0(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  is nonnegative and nontrivial, and

$$\mathcal{D}u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(x - y)u(y, t)dy - u(x, t) \tag{1.2}$$

represents the nonlocal dispersal operator with continuous and nonnegative dispersal kernel  $J$ . Throughout this paper, we make the following assumptions on  $J, p$  and  $h$ :

**(J)** The kernel  $J$  is assumed to be a  $C(\mathbb{R}^N)$  function with a compact support. Moreover,  $J(0) > 0, J \geq 0, J(-x) = J(x)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(x)dx = 1$ ;

**(p)**  $p \in C^1([0, +\infty)), p(0) = 0, p'(u) > 0$  for  $u \in [0, \infty)$ , and  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} p(u) = 1$ ; and

**(h)**  $h \in L^\infty(\Omega), 0 \leq h(x) \leq M$  for  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  and some  $M > 0$ , and  $\int_{\Omega} h(x)dx = 1$ , where  $M$  is the maximum harvesting density at any location  $x$ .

For simplicity, we assume that

$$p(u) = \frac{u}{b + u}.$$

For more detailed background of this model, the readers can refer to [1–4] and [5]. Set

$$\Omega_0 = \{x \in \Omega | h(x) = 0\},$$

and assume that  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$  has a smooth boundary. Then  $\Omega_0$  can be looked as a protection zone or no-harvesting zone. Since  $h(x)$  satisfies the condition (h), then we have

$$1 = \int_{\Omega} h(x)dx \leq M(|\Omega| - |\Omega_0|),$$

where  $|\Omega|$  is the Lebesgue measure (area if in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) of a region  $\Omega$ .

A solution of (1.1) which is time independent is called a stationary solution. We are interested in the positive stationary solutions of (1.1) and so we consider the nonlocal equation

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(x - y)u(y)dy - u(x) + au(1 - u) - ch(x)p(u) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

By a solution to (1.3) we mean a function  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  which verifies (1.3) almost everywhere. If  $u > 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , we say it is a positive solution.

Note that the problem (1.1) can be viewed as the nonlocal dispersal counterparts of the following problem associated to random dispersal operator

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + au(1 - u) - ch(x)p(u), & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

The readers can refer to [5] where the corresponding Neumann boundary value problem of (1.4) was investigated.

Let  $\lambda_1^M(\Omega_0)$  be the principal eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_0, \\ \varphi = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega_0 \cap \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega_0 \cap \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11004298>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/11004298>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)