

Accepted Manuscript

Differences in Options Investors' Expectations and the Cross-Section of Stock Returns

Panayiotis C. Andreou, Anastasios Kagkadis, Dennis Philip, Ruslan Tuneshev

PII: S0378-4266(18)30165-1
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2018.07.016>
Reference: JBF 5392



To appear in: *Journal of Banking and Finance*

Received date: 14 July 2017
Revised date: 25 June 2018
Accepted date: 26 July 2018

Please cite this article as: Panayiotis C. Andreou, Anastasios Kagkadis, Dennis Philip, Ruslan Tuneshev, Differences in Options Investors' Expectations and the Cross-Section of Stock Returns, *Journal of Banking and Finance* (2018), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2018.07.016>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Differences in Options Investors' Expectations and the Cross-Section of Stock Returns*

Panayiotis C. Andreou^{†§} Anastasios Kagkadis[‡]

Dennis Philip[§] Ruslan Tuneshev[¶]

This draft: July 2018

Abstract

We provide strong evidence that the dispersion of individual stock options trading volume across moneynesses (IDISP) contains valuable information about future stock returns. Stocks with high IDISP consistently underperform those with low IDISP by more than 1% per month. In line with the idea that IDISP reflects dispersion in investors' beliefs, we find that the negative IDISP-return relationship is particularly pronounced around earnings announcements, in high sentiment periods and among stocks that exhibit relatively high short-selling impediments. Moreover, the IDISP effect is highly persistent and robustly distinct from the effects of a large array of previously documented cross-sectional return predictors.

JEL Classification: G10, G11, G12, G14

Keywords: Dispersion of beliefs; Disagreement in options market; Cross-section of stock returns; Equity options; Option trading volume

*We would like to thank two anonymous referees, Yakov Amihud, Daniel Andrei, Constantinos Antoniou, Kevin Aretz, Eser Arisoy, Turan Bali, Anurag Banerjee, Jie Cao, Ray Chou, Sudipto Dasgupta, Prosper Dovonon, Ingmar Nolte, Ioannis Papantonis, Piet Sercu, George Skiadopoulos, Viktor Todorov, Paul Whelan, Qi Zhang and seminar and conference participants at Lancaster University, Liverpool University, OptionMetrics Research 2015 Conference, MFS Spring 2016 Conference, EFMA 2016 Meeting, Financial Econometrics and Empirical Asset Pricing 2016 Conference, and CEPR 2017 Spring Symposium in Financial Economics for valuable comments and suggestions. All errors are our own responsibility.

[†]Department of Commerce, Finance and Shipping, Cyprus University of Technology, 140, Ayiou Andreou Street, 3603 Lemesos, Cyprus; Email: panayiotis.andreou@cut.ac.cy

[‡]Department of Accounting and Finance, Lancaster University Management School, Lancaster, LA1 4YX, UK; Email: a.kagkadis@lancaster.ac.uk

[§]Durham University Business School, Durham University, Mill Hill Lane, Durham DH1 3LB, UK; Email: dennis.philip@durham.ac.uk

[¶]School of Economics and Finance, University of St Andrews, The Scores, St Andrews, KY16 9AR, UK; rt65@st-andrews.ac.uk

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11004823>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/11004823>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)